

CEPC calorimetry workshop IHEP, Beijing

Muon detectors and MPGDs

P. Giacomelli INFN Bologna





Muon detectors at existing large HEP experiments



- Muon detectors at existing large HEP experiments
- Muon detectors for future accelerators (ILC, CepC, SppC, FCC-ee, FCC-hh, CLIC)



- Muon detectors at existing large HEP experiments
- Muon detectors for future accelerators (ILC, CepC, SppC, FCC-ee, FCC-hh, CLIC)
- Micro Pattern Gas Detectors (MPGD)



- Muon detectors at existing large HEP experiments
- Muon detectors for future accelerators (ILC, CepC, SppC, FCC-ee, FCC-hh, CLIC)
- Micro Pattern Gas Detectors (MPGD)
- An example of a new MPGD: the μRWell and its application for future muon systems



- Muon detectors at existing large HEP experiments
- Muon detectors for future accelerators (ILC, CepC, SppC, FCC-ee, FCC-hh, CLIC)
- Micro Pattern Gas Detectors (MPGD)
- An example of a new MPGD: the μRWell and its application for future muon systems
- Conclusions



Most current large detector experiments have a cylindrical structure closed at the two ends by 2 endcaps.

Most current large detector experiments have a cylindrical structure closed at the two ends by 2 endcaps.

Detectors for future accelerators (ILC, CepC, SppC, FCC-ee, FCC-hh, CLIC) adopt a similar design.

Most current large detector experiments have a cylindrical structure closed at the two ends by 2 endcaps.

Detectors for future accelerators (ILC, CepC, SppC, FCC-ee, FCC-hh, CLIC) adopt a similar design.

All these detectors have a length exceeding 20m and a radius of at least 7-8m.

Most current large detector experiments have a cylindrical structure closed at the two ends by 2 endcaps.

Detectors for future accelerators (ILC, CepC, SppC, FCC-ee, FCC-hh, CLIC) adopt a similar design.

All these detectors have a length exceeding 20m and a radius of at least 7-8m.

Muon detection systems need to identify muons and measure their momentum with accurate precision. In hadronic collisions they also have to provide a standalone muon trigger and BX identification.

Most current large detector experiments have a cylindrical structure closed at the two ends by 2 endcaps.

Detectors for future accelerators (ILC, CepC, SppC, FCC-ee, FCC-hh, CLIC) adopt a similar design.

All these detectors have a length exceeding 20m and a radius of at least 7-8m.

Muon detection systems need to identify muons and measure their momentum with accurate precision. In hadronic collisions they also have to provide a standalone muon trigger and BX identification.

Muon systems are typically composed of several stations (ranging from 2 to 7) of detectors interleaved in the iron yoke (or in air) at several meters from the IP.

Most current large detector experiments have a cylindrical structure closed at the two ends by 2 endcaps.

Detectors for future accelerators (ILC, CepC, SppC, FCC-ee, FCC-hh, CLIC) adopt a similar design.

All these detectors have a length exceeding 20m and a radius of at least 7-8m.

Muon detection systems need to identify muons and measure their momentum with accurate precision. In hadronic collisions they also have to provide a standalone muon trigger and BX identification.

Muon systems are typically composed of several stations (ranging from 2 to 7) of detectors interleaved in the iron yoke (or in air) at several meters from the IP.

The barrel part of a muon detection system has dimensions of a few thousands of m², while the endcaps are typically about half of this size.

Most current large detector experiments have a cylindrical structure closed at the two ends by 2 endcaps.

Detectors for future accelerators (ILC, CepC, SppC, FCC-ee, FCC-hh, CLIC) adopt a similar design.

All these detectors have a length exceeding 20m and a radius of at least 7-8m.

Muon detection systems need to identify muons and measure their momentum with accurate precision. In hadronic collisions they also have to provide a standalone muon trigger and BX identification.

Muon systems are typically composed of several stations (ranging from 2 to 7) of detectors interleaved in the iron yoke (or in air) at several meters from the IP.

The barrel part of a muon detection system has dimensions of a few thousands of m², while the endcaps are typically about half of this size.

For evident reasons of price, gas detectors are the obvious choice for equipping these extremely large surfaces.



Gas detectors used for muon detection systems can be separated into three main groups:

• Wire detectors (DTs, CSCs, MDT, etc.)

- Wire detectors (DTs, CSCs, MDT, etc.)
 - Relatively simple construction, good space and time resolution

- Wire detectors (DTs, CSCs, MDT, etc.)
 - Relatively simple construction, good space and time resolution
- RPCs

- Wire detectors (DTs, CSCs, MDT, etc.)
 - Relatively simple construction, good space and time resolution
- RPCs
 - Simple and cheap construction, very good time resolution, poor space resolution

- Wire detectors (DTs, CSCs, MDT, etc.)
 - Relatively simple construction, good space and time resolution
- RPCs
 - Simple and cheap construction, very good time resolution, poor space resolution
- Micro Pattern Gas Detectors (GEM, MicroMegas, µRWell, etc.)

- Wire detectors (DTs, CSCs, MDT, etc.)
 - Relatively simple construction, good space and time resolution
- RPCs
 - Simple and cheap construction, very good time resolution, poor space resolution
- Micro Pattern Gas Detectors (GEM, MicroMegas, μRWell, etc.)
 - Newer technology, provides both good space and time resolution. Uses PCB methods and can be mass produced by <u>industry</u>.

- Wire detectors (DTs, CSCs, MDT, etc.)
 - Relatively simple construction, good space and time resolution
- RPCs
 - Simple and cheap construction, very good time resolution, poor space resolution
- Micro Pattern Gas Detectors (GEM, MicroMegas, μRWell, etc.)
 - Newer technology, provides both good space and time resolution. Uses PCB methods and can be mass produced by <u>industry</u>.
- Muon detectors in large HEP experiments are used to measure the muon momentum with a pretty good resolution and to provide a standalone muon trigger and the BX identification (at least in hadron colliders). This translates into a required time resolution of a few ns.



Muon detectors for CepC

In the baseline option, inspired from ILD, the muon detection system is composed of two layers of RPC stations.

An upgrade of the muon detector by using MPGDs could provide a much finer space resolution with a similar time resolution at a relatively modest increase in price.

The fine space resolution of the detectors could allow to obtain a standalone muon momentum measurement and to trace back the muon stabs to the tracker tracks.



Muon detectors for CepC

In the baseline option, inspired from ILD, the muon detection system is composed of two layers of RPC stations.

An upgrade of the muon detector by using MPGDs could provide a much finer space resolution with a similar time resolution at a relatively modest increase in price.

The fine space resolution of the detectors could allow to obtain a standalone muon momentum measurement and to trace back the muon stabs to the tracker tracks.

In the IDEA detector concept, a muon detection system, made of three MPGD stations interleaved in the iron return yoke, is already foreseen.







There are two detector concepts for FCC-ee: a CLIC-inspired detector and IDEA.

There are two detector concepts for FCC-ee: a CLIC-inspired detector and IDEA.

In the CLIC-inspired detector the muon system is made of 7 muon stations interleaved in the iron return yoke, and every muon station is made of RPCs.

There are two detector concepts for FCC-ee: a CLIC-inspired detector and IDEA.

In the CLIC-inspired detector the muon system is made of 7 muon stations interleaved in the iron return yoke, and every muon station is made of RPCs.



There are two detector concepts for FCC-ee: a CLIC-inspired detector and IDEA.

In the CLIC-inspired detector the muon system is made of 7 muon stations interleaved in the iron return yoke, and every muon station is made of RPCs.

Also this muon detector could be improved by adopting finer space resolution MPGDs.



There are two detector concepts for FCC-ee: a CLIC-inspired detector and IDEA.

In the CLIC-inspired detector the muon system is made of 7 muon stations interleaved in the iron return yoke, and every muon station is made of RPCs.

Also this muon detector could be improved by adopting finer space resolution MPGDs.



There is also the IDEA concept, discussed in the previous slide.





Improve gas detectors

Principle of operation of MPGDs

Improve gas detectors

Slow ion motion Limited multi-track separation



Reduce multiplication region size Faster ion evacuation Higher spatial resolution

S. Franchino, 2016
Principle of operation of MPGDs

Improve gas detectors

Slow ion motion Limited multi-track separation



Reduce multiplication region size Faster ion evacuation Higher spatial resolution

S. Franchino, 2016

First MPGD: Micro Strip Gas Chamber (MSGC) OED, 1988



Principle of operation of MPGDs

Improve gas detectors

Slow ion motion Limited multi-track separation



Reduce multiplication region size Faster ion evacuation Higher spatial resolution



Reduce the size of the detecting cell (~100 μ m) using chemical etching techniques Use PCB technology to obtain very fine electrodes O(10 μ m) Same working principle as proportional wire chambers

- Conversion region (low E field)
- High E field in well localised regions where multiplication happens



Micro Gap Chambers





Figure 28. Two vessels of vessil-gap cheaters, using thick polyierate ratios to prevent the most of discharges.

Charge 10 (Maral 2)

Angelini F, et al. Nucl. Instrum. Methods A335:69 (1993)

Micro Gap Wire Chamber



Figure 2.27 Science of a MOWE with expiremental and field lines. The circle filled with lines in the section of an anode wire [CHRINTOPHEL1997].

E. Christophel et al, Nucl. Instr. and Meth, vol 398 (1997) 195

Micro Wire Chamber



B. Adeva et al., Nucl. Instr. And Meth. A435 (1999) 402

MicroDot



Figure 26 Submatter of the accession chamber. A pattern of metallic mode dots marginated by field and cathode electrodies is implemented on an invaluting substrate, using microelectronics technology. America are interconnected for readent

Biagi SF, Jones TJ. Nucl. Instrum. Methods A361:72 (1995)



MicroGroove



MicroWELL

100 M

Indiana separat

Nucl. Instr. and Meth.

R. Bellazziniet al

A423(1999)125

MicroPin



P. Rehak et al., IEEE Nucl. Sci. Symposium seattle 1999

3rd July 2014

Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A424(1999)444

DT Training Seminar

Muon detectors and MPGDs - Paolo Giacomelli



More recent MPGDs



More recent MPGDs



More recent MPGDs



Ageing: OK (no thin wires)

Spark protection: multiple amplification stages, resistive electrodes

9





 Gas multiplication and/or readout are performed by "micro patterns" instead of conventional wire chambers



- Gas multiplication and/or readout are performed by "micro patterns" instead of conventional wire chambers
- Fine patterning realized with PCB photolithography techniques



- Gas multiplication and/or readout are performed by "micro patterns" instead of conventional wire chambers
- Fine patterning realized with PCB photolithography techniques
 - Fine position resolution (< 100 microns)



- Gas multiplication and/or readout are performed by "micro patterns" instead of conventional wire chambers
- Fine patterning realized with PCB photolithography techniques
 - Fine position resolution (< 100 microns)
 - Good timing resolution (< 10 nsec)



- Gas multiplication and/or readout are performed by "micro patterns" instead of conventional wire chambers
- Fine patterning realized with PCB photolithography techniques
 - Fine position resolution (< 100 microns)
 - Good timing resolution (< 10 nsec)
 - High rate capability (> 10⁷ counts/mm)



- Gas multiplication and/or readout are performed by "micro patterns" instead of conventional wire chambers
- Fine patterning realized with PCB photolithography techniques
 - Fine position resolution (< 100 microns)
 - Good timing resolution (< 10 nsec)
 - High rate capability (> 10⁷ counts/mm)
 - Excellent radiation hardness



- Gas multiplication and/or readout are performed by "micro patterns" instead of conventional wire chambers
- Fine patterning realized with PCB photolithography techniques
 - Fine position resolution (< 100 microns)
 - Good timing resolution (< 10 nsec)
 - High rate capability (> 10⁷ counts/mm)
 - Excellent radiation hardness
 - Use components that can be mass produced by industry





AD Antiproton Decelerator CTF-3 Clic Test Facility CNCS Cern Neutrinos to Gran Sasso ISOLDE Isotope Separator OnLine DEvice Leir Low Energy Ion Ring LINAC LINear ACcelerator n-ToF Neutrons Time Of Flight

Muon detectors and MPGDs - Paolo Giacomelli





The μ -RWELL detector is composed of two elements: the **cathode** and the μ -RWELL_PCB.



The μ -RWELL detector is composed of two elements: the **cathode** and the μ -RWELL_PCB.



Drift/cathode PCB

The μRWell technology The μRWell technology

The μ -RWELL detector is composed of two elements: the **cathode** and the μ -RWELL_PCB.

The **µ-RWELL_PCB** is realized by **coupling**:



The μ -RWELL detector is composed of two elements: the **cathode** and the μ -RWELL_PCB.

The μ -RWELL_PCB is realized by coupling:

1. a "**suitable WELL patterned kapton foil** as "amplification stage"



The μ -RWELL detector is composed of two elements: the **cathode** and the μ -RWELL_PCB.

The μ -RWELL_PCB is realized by coupling:

- 1. a "**suitable WELL patterned kapton foil** as "amplification stage"
- 2. a "**resistive stage**" for the discharge suppression & current evacuation



The μ -RWELL detector is composed of two elements: the **cathode** and the μ -RWELL_PCB.

The μ -RWELL_PCB is realized by coupling:

- 1. a "**suitable WELL patterned kapton foil** as "amplification stage"
- 2. a "**resistive stage**" for the discharge suppression & current evacuation
 - i. "Low particle rate" (LR) ~ 100 kHz/cm²: single resistive layer → surface resistivity ~100 MΩ/□ (CMS-phase2 upgrade - SHIP)



The μ -RWELL detector is composed of two elements: the **cathode** and the μ -RWELL_PCB.

The $\mu\text{-RWELL_PCB}$ is realized by coupling:

- 1. a "**suitable WELL patterned kapton foil** as "amplification stage"
- 2. a "**resistive stage**" for the discharge suppression & current evacuation
 - i. "Low particle rate" (LR) ~ 100 kHz/cm²: single resistive layer \rightarrow surface resistivity ~100 M Ω / \Box (CMS-phase2 upgrade - SHIP)
 - ii. "High particle rate" (HR) > 1 MHz/cm²: more sophisticated resistive scheme must be implemented (MPDG_NEXT- LNF & LHCbmuon upgrade)



The μ -RWELL detector is composed of two elements: the **cathode** and the μ -RWELL_PCB.

The $\mu\text{-RWELL_PCB}$ is realized by coupling:

- 1. a "**suitable WELL patterned kapton foil** as "amplification stage"
- 2. a **"resistive stage"** for the discharge suppression & current evacuation
 - i. "Low particle rate" (LR) ~ 100 kHz/cm²: single resistive layer → surface resistivity ~100 MΩ/□ (CMS-phase2 upgrade - SHIP)
 - ii. "High particle rate" (HR) > 1 MHz/cm²: more sophisticated resistive scheme must be implemented (MPDG_NEXT- LNF & LHCbmuon upgrade)

3. a standard readout PCB



The μ -RWELL detector is composed of two elements: the **cathode** and the μ -RWELL_PCB.

The $\mu\text{-RWELL_PCB}$ is realized by coupling:

- 1. a "**suitable WELL patterned kapton foil** as "amplification stage"
- 2. a **"resistive stage"** for the discharge suppression & current evacuation
 - i. "Low particle rate" (LR) ~ 100 kHz/cm²: single resistive layer → surface resistivity ~100 MΩ/□ (CMS-phase2 upgrade - SHIP)
 - ii. "High particle rate" (HR) > 1 MHz/cm²: more sophisticated resistive scheme must be implemented (MPDG_NEXT- LNF & LHCbmuon upgrade)
- 3. a standard readout PCB



G. Bencivenni et al., 2015_JINST_10_P02008

Collaboration of INFN, CERN, Eltos

The μ -RWELL detector is composed of two elements: the **cathode** and the μ -RWELL_PCB.

The $\mu\text{-RWELL_PCB}$ is realized by coupling:

- 1. a "**suitable WELL patterned kapton foil** as "amplification stage"
- 2. a "**resistive stage**" for the discharge suppression & current evacuation
 - i. "Low particle rate" (LR) ~ 100 kHz/cm²: single resistive layer → surface resistivity ~100 MΩ/□ (CMS-phase2 upgrade - SHIP)
 - ii. "High particle rate" (HR) > 1 MHz/cm²: more sophisticated resistive scheme must be implemented (MPDG_NEXT- LNF & LHCbmuon upgrade)
- 3. a standard readout PCB

Collaboration of INFN, CERN, Eltos



G. Bencivenni et al., 2015_JINST_10_P02008

Major advantages wrt. GEM

- 1 kapton foil instead of 3
- No stretching
- Spark safe



A natural evolution of the GEM technology





G. Bencivenni - RD51 Mini-week - 2016

GEM detector sketch

MM detector sketch



A natural evolution of the GEM technology



μ**RWell**

G. Bencivenni - RD51 Mini-week - 2016





- μ -RWELL guiding principles
 - Retain the same excellent performances of GEM and MicroMegas
 - Improve the resistance to sparks
 - Simplify the components construction and final assembly



- μ -RWELL guiding principles
 - Retain the same excellent performances of GEM and MicroMegas
 - Improve the resistance to sparks
 - Simplify the components construction and final assembly
- Simpler construction
 - Only 1 kapton foil instead of 3 (GEM)
 - Single amplification layer
 - Simpler etching of the kapton foil



- μ -RWELL guiding principles
 - Retain the same excellent performances of GEM and MicroMegas
 - Improve the resistance to sparks
 - Simplify the components construction and final assembly
- Simpler construction
 - Only 1 kapton foil instead of 3 (GEM)
 - Single amplification layer
 - Simpler etching of the kapton foil
- More robust
 - Resistive DLC layer makes the detector very spark safe



- μ -RWELL guiding principles
 - Retain the same excellent performances of GEM and MicroMegas
 - Improve the resistance to sparks
 - Simplify the components construction and final assembly
- Simpler construction
 - Only 1 kapton foil instead of 3 (GEM)
 - Single amplification layer
 - Simpler etching of the kapton foil
- More robust
 - Resistive DLC layer makes the detector very spark safe
- Simpler final assembly
 - Kapton foil glued to PCB: no stretching needed



- + μ -RWELL guiding principles
 - Retain the same excellent performances of GEM and MicroMegas
 - Improve the resistance to sparks
 - Simplify the components construction and final assembly
- Simpler construction
 - Only 1 kapton foil instead of 3 (GEM)
 - Single amplification layer
 - Simpler etching of the kapton foil
- More robust
 - Resistive DLC layer makes the detector very spark safe
- Simpler final assembly
 - Kapton foil glued to PCB: no stretching needed
- Less components, simpler construction \rightarrow significant cost reduction



- + μ -RWELL guiding principles
 - Retain the same excellent performances of GEM and MicroMegas
 - Improve the resistance to sparks
 - Simplify the components construction and final assembly
- Simpler construction
 - Only 1 kapton foil instead of 3 (GEM)
 - Single amplification layer
 - Simpler etching of the kapton foil
- More robust
 - Resistive DLC layer makes the detector very spark safe
- Simpler final assembly
 - Kapton foil glued to PCB: no stretching needed
- Less components, simpler construction → significant cost reduction
- Technology transfer to industry (Eltos, Techtra) started 2 years ago


Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare

CMS GE1/1 μ -RWELL prototype at H8 test beam

Ar/CO₂/CF₄ VFAT FEE 45/15/40



CMS GE1/1 μ -RWELL prototype at H8 test beam Stitute Automated I Fisice Nuclear



Ar/CO₂/CF₄ VFAT FEE 45/15/40



(MF) CMS GE1/1 μ -RWELL prototype at H8 test beam



Ar/CO₂/CF₄ VFAT FEE 45/15/40



Muon detectors and MPGDs - Paolo Giacomelli







μ RWell prototypes exposed inside the GIF++





μ RWell prototypes exposed inside the GIF++





μ RWell prototypes exposed inside the GIF++













M4 μ -RWELL prototype is a trapezoid of ~55-60x50 cm² Largest μ -RWELL ever built and operated! M4 μ -RWELL





GE2/1 20⁰ sector with 2 M4 μRWells (2 m height, 1.2 m base)



M4 μ -RWELL prototype is a trapezoid of ~55-60x50 cm² Largest μ -RWELL ever built and operated! M4 μ -RWELL



ΔΕΛ CMS GE2/1 sector μ-RWELL prototype



H4 test beam with 150 GeV muons:

- Voltage scan (amplification scan)
- Uniformity scan across the surface of the detector at 530 V (~12000 gain, still to be conditioned)

The excellent results obtained demonstrate the great collaboration between INFN-Eltos and Rui de Oliveira's lab

GE2/1 20° sector with 2 M4 µRWells (2 m height, 1.2 m base)



M4 μ -RWELL prototype is a trapezoid of ~55-60x50 cm² Largest μ -RWELL ever built and operated!





- CMS GE1/1 prototype at H8 test beam in 2016
 - Very good time resolution, σ_t <6 ns (about 4.5 ns obtained)
 - Fully efficient for a gain of >3000
 - Tested with a rate up to ~35 kHz/cm² (only limited by beam rate)

- CMS GE1/1 prototype at H8 test beam in 2016
 - Very good time resolution, σ_t <6 ns (about 4.5 ns obtained)
 - Fully efficient for a gain of >3000
 - Tested with a rate up to ~35 kHz/cm² (only limited by beam rate)
- Position resolution
 - μ -RWELL prototypes have obtained position resolution of ~60 μ m

- CMS GE1/1 prototype at H8 test beam in 2016
 - Very good time resolution, σ_t <6 ns (about 4.5 ns obtained)
 - Fully efficient for a gain of >3000
 - Tested with a rate up to ~35 kHz/cm² (only limited by beam rate)
- Position resolution
 - μ -RWELL prototypes have obtained position resolution of ~60 μ m
- GIF++ ageing (radiation tolerance) test
 - Tested with global irradiation up to 100 kHz/cm² for CMS prototype
 - Gain stability up to 20000
 - No dark current, no discharges
 - $Q_{int} > Q_{int} \sim 32 \text{ mC/cm}^2$

- CMS GE1/1 prototype at H8 test beam in 2016
 - Very good time resolution, σ_t <6 ns (about 4.5 ns obtained)
 - Fully efficient for a gain of >3000
 - Tested with a rate up to ~35 kHz/cm² (only limited by beam rate)
- Position resolution
 - μ -RWELL prototypes have obtained position resolution of ~60 μ m
- GIF++ ageing (radiation tolerance) test
 - Tested with global irradiation up to 100 kHz/cm² for CMS prototype
 - Gain stability up to 20000
 - No dark current, no discharges
 - $Q_{int} > Q_{int} \sim 32 \text{ mC/cm}^2$

- CMS GE1/1 prototype at H8 test beam in 2016
 - Very good time resolution, σ_t <6 ns (about 4.5 ns obtained)
 - Fully efficient for a gain of >3000
 - Tested with a rate up to ~35 kHz/cm² (only limited by beam rate)
- Position resolution
 - μ -RWELL prototypes have obtained position resolution of ~60 μ m
- GIF++ ageing (radiation tolerance) test
 - Tested with global irradiation up to 100 kHz/cm² for CMS prototype
 - Gain stability up to 20000
 - No dark current, no discharges
 - $Q_{int} > Q_{int} \sim 32 \text{ mC/cm}^2$
- Technology Transfer with the Eltos and Techtra companies

- CMS GE1/1 prototype at H8 test beam in 2016
 - Very good time resolution, σ_t <6 ns (about 4.5 ns obtained)
 - Fully efficient for a gain of >3000
 - Tested with a rate up to ~35 kHz/cm² (only limited by beam rate)
- Position resolution
 - μ -RWELL prototypes have obtained position resolution of ~60 μ m
- GIF++ ageing (radiation tolerance) test
 - Tested with global irradiation up to 100 kHz/cm² for CMS prototype
 - Gain stability up to 20000
 - No dark current, no discharges
 - $Q_{int} > Q_{int} \sim 32 \text{ mC/cm}^2$
- Technology Transfer with the Eltos and Techtra companies
- Large 50-60x50 cm² μ-RWELL modules built
 - Exposed at the CERN H4 test beam in July 2017
 - Excellent uniformity! Efficiency between 98-99% over the whole surface.

- CMS GE1/1 prototype at H8 test beam in 2016
 - Very good time resolution, σ_t <6 ns (about 4.5 ns obtained)
 - Fully efficient for a gain of >3000
 - Tested with a rate up to ~35 kHz/cm² (only limited by beam rate)
- Position resolution
 - μ -RWELL prototypes have obtained position resolution of ~60 μ m
- GIF++ ageing (radiation tolerance) test
 - Tested with global irradiation up to 100 kHz/cm² for CMS prototype
 - Gain stability up to 20000
 - No dark current, no discharges
 - $Q_{int} > Q_{int} \sim 32 \text{ mC/cm}^2$
- Technology Transfer with the Eltos and Techtra companies
- Large 50-60x50 cm² μ-RWELL modules built
 - Exposed at the CERN H4 test beam in July 2017
 - Excellent uniformity! Efficiency between 98-99% over the whole surface.





$\underbrace{\mu} RWELL-based muon detector for CepC$

In the IDEA detector, the muon detection system is made of 3 μ RWELL-based stations interleaved in the iron return yoke.

Typical geometry with a central barrel hermetically closed by 2 endcaps.



$\mu \textbf{RWELL-based muon detector for CepC}$

In the IDEA detector, the muon detection system is made of 3 μ RWELL-based stations interleaved in the iron return yoke.

Typical geometry with a central barrel hermetically closed by 2 endcaps.



$\mu \textbf{RWELL-based muon detector for CepC}$

In the IDEA detector, the muon detection system is made of 3 μ RWELL-based stations interleaved in the iron return yoke.

Typical geometry with a central barrel hermetically closed by 2 endcaps.



 μ RWELL detectors have demonstrated very high detection efficiency \rightarrow no need of many layers. Baseline could also use this technology.

$\mu \textbf{RWELL-based muon detector for CepC}$

In the IDEA detector, the muon detection system is made of 3 μ RWELL-based stations interleaved in the iron return yoke.

Typical geometry with a central barrel hermetically closed by 2 endcaps.







In the IDEA concept, μ RWell detectors are foreseen for the preshower and the muon detector.

Similar in size, 50x50 cm², but with different strip pitch, 400 μ m in the preshower and 1500 μ m in the muon detector.

- Muon detector with 3 stations in both barrel and endcaps
 - Barrel surface $\sim 900 \times 2$ (layers) = 1800 m²
 - Endcap surface $\sim 500 \times 2$ (layers) = 1000 m²
 - Total muon detector surface 2800 m²

- Muon detector with 3 stations in both barrel and endcaps
 - Barrel surface $\sim 900 \times 2$ (layers) = 1800 m²
 - Endcap surface $\sim 500 \times 2$ (layers) = 1000 m²
 - Total muon detector surface 2800 m²
- μ RWELL detector dimensions 50 x 50 cm²

- Muon detector with 3 stations in both barrel and endcaps
 - Barrel surface $\sim 900 \times 2$ (layers) = 1800 m²
 - Endcap surface $\sim 500 \times 2$ (layers) = 1000 m²
 - Total muon detector surface 2800 m²
- + μ RWELL detector dimensions 50 x 50 cm²
- Strip pitch ~ 1500 μm (1.5 mm)

- Muon detector with 3 stations in both barrel and endcaps
 - Barrel surface $\sim 900 \times 2$ (layers) = 1800 m²
 - Endcap surface $\sim 500 \times 2$ (layers) = 1000 m²
 - Total muon detector surface 2800 m²
- μ RWELL detector dimensions 50 x 50 cm²
- Strip pitch ~ 1500 μ m (1.5 mm)
- Total number of channels ~4 million

- Muon detector with 3 stations in both barrel and endcaps
 - Barrel surface $\sim 900 \times 2$ (layers) = 1800 m²
 - Endcap surface $\sim 500 \times 2$ (layers) = 1000 m²
 - Total muon detector surface 2800 m²
- μ RWELL detector dimensions 50 x 50 cm²
- Strip pitch ~ 1500 μ m (1.5 mm)
- Total number of channels ~4 million
- Position resolution ~270-300 μ m per layer in both spatial directions

- Muon detector with 3 stations in both barrel and endcaps
 - Barrel surface $\sim 900 \times 2$ (layers) = 1800 m²
 - Endcap surface $\sim 500 \times 2$ (layers) = 1000 m²
 - Total muon detector surface 2800 m²
- μ RWELL detector dimensions 50 x 50 cm²
- Strip pitch ~ 1500 μ m (1.5 mm)
- Total number of channels ~4 million
- Position resolution ~270-300 μ m per layer in both spatial directions
- Provides standalone muon momentum measurement

- Muon detector with 3 stations in both barrel and endcaps
 - Barrel surface $\sim 900 \times 2$ (layers) = 1800 m²
 - Endcap surface $\sim 500 \times 2$ (layers) = 1000 m²
 - Total muon detector surface 2800 m²
- + μ RWELL detector dimensions 50 x 50 cm²
- Strip pitch ~ 1500 μ m (1.5 mm)
- Total number of channels ~4 million
- Position resolution ~270-300 μ m per layer in both spatial directions
- Provides standalone muon momentum measurement
- Time resolution ~ 5-6 ns
IDEA Muon detector characteristics

- Muon detector with 3 stations in both barrel and endcaps
 - Barrel surface $\sim 900 \times 2$ (layers) = 1800 m²
 - Endcap surface $\sim 500 \times 2$ (layers) = 1000 m²
 - Total muon detector surface 2800 m²
- + μ RWELL detector dimensions 50 x 50 cm²
- Strip pitch ~ 1500 μ m (1.5 mm)
- Total number of channels ~4 million
- Position resolution ~270-300 μ m per layer in both spatial directions
- Provides standalone muon momentum measurement
- Time resolution ~ 5-6 ns

IDEA Muon detector characteristics

- Muon detector with 3 stations in both barrel and endcaps
 - Barrel surface $\sim 900 \times 2$ (layers) = 1800 m²
 - Endcap surface $\sim 500 \times 2$ (layers) = 1000 m²
 - Total muon detector surface 2800 m²
- + μ RWELL detector dimensions 50 x 50 cm²
- Strip pitch ~ 1500 μ m (1.5 mm)
- Total number of channels ~4 million
- Position resolution ~270-300 μ m per layer in both spatial directions
- Provides standalone muon momentum measurement
- Time resolution ~ 5-6 ns
- Detectors mass producible by industry

IDEA Muon detector characteristics

- Muon detector with 3 stations in both barrel and endcaps
 - Barrel surface $\sim 900 \times 2$ (layers) = 1800 m²
 - Endcap surface $\sim 500 \times 2$ (layers) = 1000 m²
 - Total muon detector surface 2800 m²
- μ RWELL detector dimensions 50 x 50 cm²
- Strip pitch ~ 1500 μ m (1.5 mm)
- Total number of channels ~4 million
- Position resolution ~270-300 μ m per layer in both spatial directions
- Provides standalone muon momentum measurement
- Time resolution ~ 5-6 ns
- Detectors mass producible by industry
- Quality control can be performed by collaborating institutes

- Muon detector with 3 layers in both barrel and endcaps
 - Barrel surface $\sim 900 \times 2$ (layers) = 1800 m²
 - Endcap surface $\sim 500 \times 2$ (layers) = 1000 m²

- Muon detector with 3 layers in both barrel and endcaps
 - Barrel surface $\sim 900 \times 2$ (layers) = 1800 m²
 - Endcap surface $\sim 500 \text{ x } 2 \text{ (layers)} = 1000 \text{ m}^2$
- + μ RWELL Detector dimensions 50 x 50 cm²

- Muon detector with 3 layers in both barrel and endcaps
 - Barrel surface ~900 x 2 (layers) = 1800 m²
 - Endcap surface ~500 x 2 (layers) = 1000 m²
- + μ RWELL Detector dimensions 50 x 50 cm²
- Strip pitch ~ 1500 μm (1.5 mm)

- Muon detector with 3 layers in both barrel and endcaps
 - Barrel surface ~900 x 2 (layers) = 1800 m²
 - Endcap surface $\sim 500 \text{ x } 2 \text{ (layers)} = 1000 \text{ m}^2$
- + μ RWELL Detector dimensions 50 x 50 cm²
- Strip pitch ~ 1500 μm (1.5 mm)
- Total number of channels ~4 million

- Muon detector with 3 layers in both barrel and endcaps
 - Barrel surface $\sim 900 \times 2$ (layers) = 1800 m²
 - Endcap surface $\sim 500 \times 2$ (layers) = 1000 m²
- + μ RWELL Detector dimensions 50 x 50 cm²
- Strip pitch ~ 1500 μm (1.5 mm)
- Total number of channels ~4 million
- Position resolution ~300 μm per layer in both spatial directions

- Muon detector with 3 layers in both barrel and endcaps
 - Barrel surface $\sim 900 \times 2$ (layers) = 1800 m²
 - Endcap surface ~500 x 2 (layers) = 1000 m²
- + μ RWELL Detector dimensions 50 x 50 cm²
- Strip pitch ~ 1500 μ m (1.5 mm)
- Total number of channels ~4 million
- Position resolution ~300 μm per layer in both spatial directions
- Time resolution ~ 5-6 ns

- Muon detector with 3 layers in both barrel and endcaps
 - Barrel surface $\sim 900 \times 2$ (layers) = 1800 m²
 - Endcap surface ~500 x 2 (layers) = 1000 m²
- + μ RWELL Detector dimensions 50 x 50 cm²
- Strip pitch ~ 1500 μ m (1.5 mm)
- Total number of channels ~4 million
- Position resolution ~300 μm per layer in both spatial directions
- Time resolution ~ 5-6 ns

- Muon detector with 3 layers in both barrel and endcaps
 - Barrel surface $\sim 900 \times 2$ (layers) = 1800 m²
 - Endcap surface $\sim 500 \text{ x } 2 \text{ (layers)} = 1000 \text{ m}^2$
- + μ RWELL Detector dimensions 50 x 50 cm²
- Strip pitch ~ 1500 μm (1.5 mm)
- Total number of channels ~4 million
- Position resolution ~300 μm per layer in both spatial directions
- Time resolution ~ 5-6 ns
- Today's µRWELL cost ~5 keuro/m²
 - Mass production by industry should decrease this cost by at least a factor of 2 \rightarrow 2.5 keuro/m²
 - Cost of the whole muon detector ~7 Meuro
 - Cost of electronics and services ~12-14 Meuro
 - Total cost ~20 Meuro



- Preshower detector with 1 layers in both barrel and endcaps
 - Barrel surface $\sim 77 \times 2$ (layers) = 160 m²
 - Endcap surface $\sim 37 \times 2$ (layers) = 75 m²

- Preshower detector with 1 layers in both barrel and endcaps
 - Barrel surface $\sim 77 \times 2$ (layers) = 160 m²
 - Endcap surface $\sim 37 \times 2$ (layers) = 75 m²
- + μ RWELL Detector dimensions 50 x 50 cm²

- Preshower detector with 1 layers in both barrel and endcaps
 - Barrel surface $\sim 77 \times 2$ (layers) = 160 m²
 - Endcap surface ~37 x 2 (layers) = 75 m²
- + μ RWELL Detector dimensions 50 x 50 cm²
- Strip pitch ~ 400 μ m

- Preshower detector with 1 layers in both barrel and endcaps
 - Barrel surface $\sim 77 \times 2$ (layers) = 160 m²
 - Endcap surface $\sim 37 \times 2$ (layers) = 75 m²
- + μ RWELL Detector dimensions 50 x 50 cm²
- Strip pitch ~ 400 μ m
- Total number of channels ~550 thousand

- Preshower detector with 1 layers in both barrel and endcaps
 - Barrel surface $\sim 77 \times 2$ (layers) = 160 m²
 - Endcap surface ~37 x 2 (layers) = 75 m²
- + μ RWELL Detector dimensions 50 x 50 cm²
- Strip pitch ~ 400 μ m
- Total number of channels ~550 thousand
- Position resolution ~60-70 μm per layer in both spatial directions

- Preshower detector with 1 layers in both barrel and endcaps
 - Barrel surface $\sim 77 \times 2$ (layers) = 160 m²
 - Endcap surface ~37 x 2 (layers) = 75 m²
- + μ RWELL Detector dimensions 50 x 50 cm²
- Strip pitch ~ 400 μ m
- Total number of channels ~550 thousand
- Position resolution ~60-70 μm per layer in both spatial directions
- Time resolution ~ 5-6 ns

- Preshower detector with 1 layers in both barrel and endcaps
 - Barrel surface $\sim 77 \times 2$ (layers) = 160 m²
 - Endcap surface ~37 x 2 (layers) = 75 m²
- + μ RWELL Detector dimensions 50 x 50 cm²
- Strip pitch ~ 400 μ m
- Total number of channels ~550 thousand
- Position resolution ~60-70 μm per layer in both spatial directions
- Time resolution ~ 5-6 ns

- Preshower detector with 1 layers in both barrel and endcaps
 - Barrel surface $\sim 77 \times 2$ (layers) = 160 m²
 - Endcap surface ~37 x 2 (layers) = 75 m²
- + μ RWELL Detector dimensions 50 x 50 cm²
- Strip pitch ~ 400 μ m
- Total number of channels ~550 thousand
- Position resolution ~60-70 μ m per layer in both spatial directions
- Time resolution ~ 5-6 ns
- Today's µRWELL cost ~5 keuro/m²
 - Mass production by industry should decrease this cost by at least a factor of 2 \rightarrow 2.5 keuro/m²
 - Cost of the whole muon detector ~0.6 Meuro
 - Cost of electronics and services ~1.5 Meuro
 - Total cost ~2 Meuro





 The IDEA Muon detector based on a 3 station configuration in both barrel and endcap regions



- The IDEA Muon detector based on a 3 station configuration in both barrel and endcap regions
- Each station made of two layers of μ RWELL detectors (could use one layer of detectors with bidimensional readout)



- The IDEA Muon detector based on a 3 station configuration in both barrel and endcap regions
- Each station made of two layers of μ RWELL detectors (could use one layer of detectors with bidimensional readout)
- Total surface: ~1800 m² (barrel) and ~1000 m² endcaps
 - ~2800 m² of monodimensional μ RWELL detectors
 - ~1400 m² of bidimensional μ RWELL detectors



- The IDEA Muon detector based on a 3 station configuration in both barrel and endcap regions
- Each station made of two layers of μ RWELL detectors (could use one layer of detectors with bidimensional readout)
- Total surface: ~1800 m² (barrel) and ~1000 m² endcaps
 - ~2800 m² of monodimensional μ RWELL detectors
 - ~1400 m² of bidimensional μ RWELL detectors
- Dimensions of single μ RWELL detectors 50x50 cm²
 - 333 channels per detector (monodimensional)



- The IDEA Muon detector based on a 3 station configuration in both barrel and endcap regions
- Each station made of two layers of μ RWELL detectors (could use one layer of detectors with bidimensional readout)
- Total surface: ~1800 m² (barrel) and ~1000 m² endcaps
 - ~2800 m² of monodimensional μ RWELL detectors
 - ~1400 m² of bidimensional μ RWELL detectors
- Dimensions of single μ RWELL detectors 50x50 cm²
 - 333 channels per detector (monodimensional)
- Position resolution ~270-300 μ m



- The IDEA Muon detector based on a 3 station configuration in both barrel and endcap regions
- Each station made of two layers of μRWELL detectors (could use one layer of detectors with bidimensional readout)
- Total surface: ~1800 m² (barrel) and ~1000 m² endcaps
 - ~2800 m² of monodimensional μ RWELL detectors
 - ~1400 m² of bidimensional μ RWELL detectors
- Dimensions of single μ RWELL detectors 50x50 cm²
 - 333 channels per detector (monodimensional)
- Position resolution ~270-300 μm
- Time resolution ~5-7 ns



- The IDEA Muon detector based on a 3 station configuration in both barrel and endcap regions
- Each station made of two layers of μ RWELL detectors (could use one layer of detectors with bidimensional readout)
- Total surface: ~1800 m² (barrel) and ~1000 m² endcaps
 - ~2800 m² of monodimensional μ RWELL detectors
 - ~1400 m² of bidimensional μ RWELL detectors
- Dimensions of single μRWELL detectors 50x50 cm^2
 - 333 channels per detector (monodimensional)
- Position resolution ~270-300 μm
- Time resolution ~5-7 ns
- Efficiency >97% per station



- The IDEA Muon detector based on a 3 station configuration in both barrel and endcap regions
- Each station made of two layers of μ RWELL detectors (could use one layer of detectors with bidimensional readout)
- Total surface: ~1800 m² (barrel) and ~1000 m² endcaps
 - ~2800 m² of monodimensional μ RWELL detectors
 - ~1400 m² of bidimensional μ RWELL detectors
- Dimensions of single μRWELL detectors 50x50 cm^2
 - 333 channels per detector (monodimensional)
- \bullet Position resolution ~270-300 μm
- Time resolution ~5-7 ns
- Efficiency >97% per station
- Muon detector provides a standalone muon momentum measurement



- The IDEA Muon detector based on a 3 station configuration in both barrel and endcap regions
- Each station made of two layers of μ RWELL detectors (could use one layer of detectors with bidimensional readout)
- Total surface: ~1800 m² (barrel) and ~1000 m² endcaps
 - ~2800 m² of monodimensional μ RWELL detectors
 - ~1400 m² of bidimensional μ RWELL detectors
- Dimensions of single μRWELL detectors 50x50 cm^2
 - 333 channels per detector (monodimensional)
- \bullet Position resolution ~270-300 μm
- Time resolution ~5-7 ns
- Efficiency >97% per station
- Muon detector provides a standalone muon momentum measurement
- Mass production of detectors by industry



- The IDEA Muon detector based on a 3 station configuration in both barrel and endcap regions
- Each station made of two layers of μRWELL detectors (could use one layer of detectors with bidimensional readout)
- Total surface: ~1800 m² (barrel) and ~1000 m² endcaps
 - + ~2800 m² of monodimensional μ RWELL detectors
 - ~1400 m² of bidimensional μ RWELL detectors
- Dimensions of single μRWELL detectors 50x50 cm^2
 - 333 channels per detector (monodimensional)
- \bullet Position resolution ~270-300 μm
- Time resolution ~5-7 ns
- Efficiency >97% per station
- Muon detector provides a standalone muon momentum measurement
- Mass production of detectors by industry
- $\cdot \mu RWELL$ technology suitable also for baseline solution

Backup

Micro Pattern Gaseous Detector Technologies

MWPC /

S~1.mm

100 µm

-+

10 µm

Drift Chamber

- Micromegas
- ≻ GEM
- Thick-GEM, Hole-Type and RETGEM
- MPDG with CMOS pixel ASICs ("InGrid")
- ➢ Micro-Pixel Chamber (µPIC)

Micromegas



THGEM









Drif Cathole HV1 = TSOV Ionsalion Region Micro Mesh Amplification Region 40 Ku/Sm HV2 = 400V





lons







MHSP

μPIC







MPGDs: one of the most versatile technologies

A. Ochi, CEPC2017



GEM / Micromegas : ATLAS and CMS upgrades

Development and optimization of large-area MPGDs for tracking and triggering

MM for the ATLAS Muon System Upgrade:

Standard Bulk MM suffers from limited efficiency at high rates due to discharges induced dead time Solution: Resistive Micromegas tecgnology:

- → Add a layer of resistive strips above the readout strips
- Spark neutralization/ suppression (sparks still occur, but become inoffensive)







2.4 x 1m² MM resistive chamber constructed and characterized at CERN RD51 lab



GEMs for the CMS Muon System Upgrade:

Single-mask GEM technology (instead of double-mask)

→ Reduces cost /allows production of large-area GEM

→ R&D: 6 generations of triple-GEM detectors

2010	for 20 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2012	2013	2014	2014/2015
Generation I	Generation II	Generation III	Generation IV	Generation V	Generation VI
The first 1m-class detector ever built but still with spacer ribs and only 8 sectors total. Ref.: 2010 IEEE (also RD51-Note-2010-005)	First large detector with 24 readout sectors (3x8) and 3/1/2/1 gaps but still with spacers and all glued. Ref.: 2011 IEEE. Also RD51-Note-2011-013.	The first sans-spacer detector, but with the outer frame still glued to the drift. Ref.: 2012 IEEE N14- 137.	First detector with complete mechanical assembly; no more gluing parts together! MPGD 2013; and IEEE2013.	Nearly final CMS design: stretching apparatus that is now totally inside gas volume. Ongoing test beam campaign for final performance measurements.	Latest detector design; to be installed in CMS. Optimized final dimensions for max. acceptance and final eta segmentation. Ongoing test beam campaign for DAQ

M. Titov, MPGD2017

Assembly optimization: self-stretching technique: assembly time reduction from 3 days \rightarrow 2 hours






μPIC / μRWELL for ATLAS Large- η Tagger Phase II Upgrade

- ➤ Proposed for Phase II upgrade (~2023)
- ➤ Need high granularity ~ 0.1mm
- ➢ BG rate > 100kHz/cm² (HIP, gamma)
- Rate tolerant, Pixel type detector needed
 - μ -PIC with resistive Diamond-LC electrodes:



Spark rate reduction using resistive μ -PIC for fast neutron



Resistive µ-PIC using sputtered C:



Muon detectors and MPGDs - Paolo Giac





- Very reliable
- Almost completely discharge-free
- adequate for high particle rates O(1MHz/cm²) thanks to the *segmented-resistive-layer*
- suitable for large area applications (1.8 x 1.2 m² proto was tested in 2017)



Litituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare

MPGD Technologies for the ILC

Experiment / Timescale	Application Domain	MPGD Technology	Total detector size / Single module size	Operation Characteristics / Performance	Special Requirements/ Remarks
ILC Time Projection Chamber for ILD: Start: > 2030	High Energy Physics (tracking)	Micromegas GEM (pads) InGrid (pixels)	Total area: ~ 20 m ² Single unit detect: ~ 400 cm ² (pads) ~ 130 cm ² (pixels)	Max. rate: < 1 kHz Spatial res.: <150μm Time res.: ~ 15 ns dE/dx: 5 % (Fe55) Rad. Hard.: no	Si + TPC Momentum resolution : dp/p < 9*10- ⁵ 1/GeV Power-pulsing
ILC Hadronic (DHCAL) Calorimetry for ILD/SiD Start > 2030	High Energy Physics (calorimetry)	GEM, THGEM RPWELL, Micromegas	Total area: ~ 4000 m ² Single unit detect: 0.5 - 1 m ²	Max.rate:1 kHz/cm ² Spatial res.: ~ 1cm Time res.: ~ 300 ns Rad. Hard.: no	Jet Energy resolution: 3-4 % Power-pulsing, self- triggering readout



Experiment / Timescale	Application Domain	MPGD Technology	Total detector size / Single module size	Operation Characteristics / Performance	Special Requirements / Remarks
ATLAS Muon System Upgrade: Start: 2019 (for 15 y.)	High Energy Physics (Tracking/Triggering)	Micromegas	Total area: 1200 m ² Single unit detect: (2.2x1.4m ²) ~ 2-3 m ²	Max. rate:15 kHz/cm ² Spatial res.: <100μm Time res.: ~ 10 ns Rad. Hard.: ~ 0.5C/cm ²	- Redundant tracking and triggering; Challenging constr. in mechanical precision:
ATLAS Muon Tagger Upgrade: Start: > 2023	High Energy Physics (Tracking/triggering)	µ-PIC	Total area: ~ 2m ²	Max.rate:100kHz/cm ² Spatial res.: < 100µm	
CMS Muon System Upgrade: Start: > 2020	High Energy Physics (Tracking/Triggering)	GEM, μRWell	Total area: ~ 143 m ² Single unit detect: 0.3-0.4m ²	Max. rate:10 kHz/cm ² Spatial res.: ~100µm Time res.: ~ 5-7 ns Rad. Hard.: ~ 0.5 C/cm ²	- Redundant tracking and triggering
CMS Calorimetry (BE) Upgrade Start > 2023	High energy Physics (Calorimetry)	Micromegas, GEM	Total area: ~ 100 m ² Single unit detect: 0.5m ²	Max. rate: 100 MHz/cm ² Spatial res.: ~ mm	Not main option; could be used with HGCAL (BE part)
ALICE Time Projection Chamber: Start: > 2020	Heavy-Ion Physics (Tracking + dE/dx)	GEM w/ TPC	Total area: ~ 32 m ² Single unit detect: up to 0.3m ²	Max.rate:100 kHz/cm ² Spatial res.: ~300µm Time res.: ~ 100 ns dE/dx: 12 % (Fe55) Rad. Hard.: 50 mC/cm ²	 - 50 kHz Pb-Pb rate; - Continues TPC readout - Low IBF and good energy resolution
TOTEM:	High Energy/ Forward Physics	GEM (semicircular	Total area: ~ 4 m ²	Max.rate:20 kHz/cm ² Spatial res.: ~120µm	Operation in pp, pA and AA collisions.
Run: 2009-now	(5.3≤1eta1≤6.5)	shape)	Single unit detect: up to 0.03m ²	Time res.: ~ 12 ns Rad. Hard.: ~ mC/cm ²	. Titov, MPGD2017
LHCb Muon System Run: 2010 - now	High Energy / B-flavor physics (muon triggering)	GEM	Total area: ~ 0.6 m ² Single unit detect: 20-24 cm ²	Max.rate:500 kHz/cm ² Spatial res.: ~ cm Time res.: ~ 3 ns Rad. Hard.: ~ C/cm ²	- Redundant triggering
FCC Collider Start: > 2035	High Energy Physics (Tracking/Triggering/ Calorimetry/Muon)	GEM,THGEM Micromegas, µ-PIC, InGrid	Total area: 10.000 m ² (for MPGDs around 1.000 m ²)	Max.rate:100 kHz/cm ² Spatial res.: <100µm Time res.: ~ 1 ns	Maintenance free for decades

ALICE TPC Endplate upgrade with GEMs



Muon detector for FCC-ee

CLIC Detector requirements from physics



GE1/1 µ**RWell: test at H8 (nov. 2016)** INFŃ

- 1. Construction & test of the first **1.2x0.5m² (GE1/1) μ-RWELL** 2016 2016-2017
- 2. Mechanical study and mock-up of 1.8x1.2 m² (GE2/1) µ-RWELL
- 3. Construction of the first **1.8x1.2m² (GE2/1) µ-RWELL (only M4 active)** 01-09/2017

GE1/1 μ**RWell prototype**



H8 Beam Area (18th Oct. 9th Nov 2016) Muon/Pion beam: 150 GeV/c



Duration of the test:

will stay at least 6 months. GE2/1 HLin a short time (few weeks)

```
1) GE1/1 µ-RWell (ArCO<sub>2</sub>)
```

LHC dose achievable 2) "high rate" µ-RWell (ArCO₂CF₄) 10cmx10cm

3) reference µ-RWell (ArCO₂) 5cmx5cm

50 cm

50 cm

36



Context:

CMS Muon System, R&D Phase II Upgrade with MPGD: µ-RWell

Motivations:

Need to qualify the behaviour and performance of μ -RWell detectors in a harsh radiation environment.

GE2/1 μ**RWell: GIF++** ageing test









Highest spikes are of the order of 1-2 μ A. This further demonstrates the intrinsic robustness of μ RWell.

Muon detectors and MPGDs - Paolo Giacomelli

GE2/1 alternative option: µRWell

We have built a full scale GE2/1 sector with 2 M4 μ -RWELL operating detectors.

0.01%

- 1) M4 left and right are mirrored.
- Size: 606.5 x 498.5 x 1 mm 2)
- 3) Strip layout inspired to the GE2/1 GEM option
- 4) Final drawing finished (Gatta-LNF)
- 5) DLCed foils ready (Ochi-Kobe)
- 6) Preliminary tests at ELTOS done
- PCB production at Eltos done, then glueing with 7) kapton foil





GE2/1 sector equipped with two active M4 μ RWell



Summary on μRWell

- µRWell is a natural evolution of the GEM technology, with the <u>same</u> performances but:
 - Simpler construction
 - Less components (1 stage of amplification only)
 - Typical gain 4000 (but has been shown to work up to >20000)
 - More robust
 - Spark safe, due to DLC layer
 - Simpler assembly
 - No stretching, kapton foil glued to PCB (in the future caption foil could be floating, making assembly even simpler...)
- CMS GE1/1 size μ RWell prototype tested up to ~100 kHz/cm²
- High rate μRWell prototypes exist for rates up 1 MHz/cm², tested at GIF up to 250 kHz/cm²
- μ RWell vs. GEM \rightarrow significant cost reduction