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## Questions and suggestions

1.The motivation part can also be added to the continuum process until the final state is decrease, so the observed cross section is basically the contribution of the resonance state.
2. The case chose to use PID, but there are two problems. One is that the current PID package is very inefficient at low momentum, such as the efficiency of the 4009 energy point is much lower than others; in addition, the $\mathrm{dE} / \mathrm{dx}$ amplitude could be good to distinguish protons from other particles.
3.Lacked the chi^2 distribution of data.
4.After kinematics fitting, E_meas/E_tot must be around 1, so this condition is basically useless.

## Questions and suggestions

5.Why is the distribution of the upper right corner of the angular distribution different from the others?
6 .Is it possible to consider only kinematics fitting of momentum (3C) and then look at energy changes?
7.Have you considered the background from the beam?
8. There may be a background,such as $4 \mathrm{~K}+\mathrm{n}$ gams.
9.Because the measurement cross section at that point is 0 ,the ISR correction factor at 4210 is large. It is recommended to use a smooth curve fit to make an ISR correction factor estimate.

4 1. The motivation part can also be added to the continuum process until the final state is decrease, so the observed cross section is basically the contribution of the resonance state.

## Motivation

$>$ Search for the new decay mode of $Y(4260)$ and confirm if it is multi-quark state. $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow p p \overline{p p}$ is a good candidate channel (few background contamination)
> Confirm the double structures near 4.26 GeV
2. The case chose to use PID, but there are two problems. One is that the current PID package is very inefficient at low momentum, such as the efficiency of the 4009 energy point is much lower than others; in addition, the $\mathrm{dE} / \mathrm{dx}$ amplitude could be good to distinguish protons from other particles.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{\text {de } / \mathrm{dx}}$ | Eff $_{\text {de/dx }}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{\text {pid }}$ | Eff $_{\text {pid }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4009 | 2144 | 0.2144 | 2128 | 0.2128 |
| 4180 | 3736 | 0.3736 | 3736 | 0.3736 |
| 4190 | 3870 | 0.387 | 3870 | 0.387 |
| 4200 | 4036 | 0.4036 | 4036 | 0.4036 |
| 4210 | 3965 | 0.3965 | 3965 | 0.3965 |
| 4220 | 4111 | 0.4111 | 4111 | 0.4111 |
| 4230 | 4262 | 0.4262 | 4262 | 0.4262 |
| 4237 | 4297 | 0.4297 | 4297 | 0.4297 |
| 4246 | 4391 | 0.4391 | 4391 | 0.4391 |
| 4260 | 4465 | 0.4465 | 4465 | 0.4465 |
| 4270 | 4432 | 0.4432 | 4432 | 0.4432 |
| 4360 | 4796 | 0.4796 | 4796 | 0.4796 |
| 4420 | 4829 | 0.4829 | 4829 | 0.4829 |
| 4600 | 5179 | 0.5179 | 5179 | 0.5179 |

It indicates that the current PID is OK.

6 3. Lacked the chi^2 distribution of data.

## Previous result

## Backgroung study



Previously, I only looked at the 4.600 GeV energy. Because there are fewer events, I don't compare the data with MC.

## New result


$\chi^{2}{ }_{3 C}$ distribution from single MC and data

## New result



After the data and mc are normalized, and mc uses the events to weight.

## 9 4. After kinematics fitting, E_meas/E_tot must be around 1, so this condition is basically useless.

## New result

Final state energy distribution from Signal MC


## New result

Final state energy distribution from Data














cut:
chi<40 \&\&

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\text {meas }} / \mathrm{E}_{\text {tot }}>0.985 \& \&
$$

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\text {meas }} / \mathrm{E}_{\text {tot }}<1.015
$$

11


The typical energy points are weighted by events, and the data and mc are normalized.
125. Why is the distribution of the upper right corner of the angular distribution different from the others?

## Previous result

11
Angular distribution between data and MC


I used to separate two protons belonging to homologous particles to draw angular distribution.

## New result



Angular distribution of two homologous particles

## 14 6. Is it possible to consider only kinematics fitting of momentum (3C) and then look at energy changes?

## New result ${ }_{\text {Observation section }}$



$$
\sigma^{o b s}=\frac{N_{\mathrm{net}}}{\mathcal{E} \cdot L}
$$

Born section


$$
\sigma^{B}=\frac{\sigma^{o b s}}{\left(1+\delta^{v a c}\right)\left(1+\delta^{I S R}\right)}
$$

In order to see the possible resonant structure, the Bonn section only draws 4.180 to 4.600 GeV .

|  | Luminosity (pb-1) | MC |  | data |  |  | $\sigma$ obs (fb) | $1+\delta \mathrm{ISR}$ | $1+\delta \mathrm{vac}$ | $\sigma$ Born (fb) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{N}_{\text {sig }}$ | efficiency | $\mathrm{N}_{\text {sig }}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{\text {bkg }}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{\text {net }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 4009 | 482. $0 \pm 0.1 \pm 4.7$ | 15917 | 0.16 | $1.00_{-0.83}^{+2.30}$ | $0.50_{-0.32}^{+0.66}$ | $0.50_{-0.51}^{+1.64}$ | $6.00_{-7.00}^{+21.00}$ | 0.0322 | 1.0438 | $179.00_{-197.00}^{+633.00}$ |
| 4180 | 3160 | 31606 | 0.32 | $16.00_{-3.95}^{+5.09}$ | $1.755_{-0.65}^{+0.94}$ | $14.25_{-3.31}^{+4.15}$ | $14.00_{-3.00}^{+4.00}$ | 0.9325 | 1. 0543 | $14.00_{-3.00}^{+4.00}$ |
| 4190 | $526.0 \pm 0.1 \pm 2.1$ | 32566 | 0.32 | $10.00_{-3.11}^{+4.27}$ | $0.00_{-0.00}^{-0.25}$ | $10.00_{-3.11}^{+4.52}$ | $59.00_{-18.00}^{+27.00}$ | 0.6555 | 1. 0559 | $85.00_{-27.00}^{+39.00}$ |
| 4200 | $526.0 \pm 0.1 \pm 2.1$ | 33508 | 0.33 | $6.00_{-2.38}^{+3.58}$ | $0.00_{-0.00}^{-0.25}$ | $6.00_{-2.38}^{+3.83}$ | $35.00_{-14.00}^{+22.00}$ | 0.8145 | 1. 0565 | $41.00_{-16.00}^{+26.00}$ |
| 4210 | $518.0 \pm 0.1 \pm 1.8$ | 32646 | 0.32 | $1.00_{-0.83}^{+2.30}$ | $0.755_{-0.41}^{+0.73}$ | $0.25_{-0.42}^{+1.57}$ | $2.00_{-3.00}^{+9.00}$ | 0.7521 | 1. 0568 | $2.52_{+0.67}^{+9.40}$ |
| 4220 | $514.6 \pm 0.1 \pm 1.8$ | 34158 | 0.34 | $8.00_{-2.77}^{+3.95}$ | $0.25_{-0.21}^{+0.58}$ | $7.75_{-2.56}^{+3.34}$ | $44.00_{-15.00}^{+19.00}$ | 0.6896 | 1. 0564 | $60.00_{-20.00}^{+26.00}$ |
| 4230 | 1056. $4 \pm 0.1 \pm 7.0$ | 36164 | 0.36 | $13.00_{-3.56}^{+4.70}$ | $0.75_{-0.41}^{+0.73}$ | $12.25_{-3.15}^{+3.97}$ | $32.00_{-8.00}^{+10.00}$ | 0.8680 | 1. 0561 | $35.00_{-9.00}^{+11.00}$ |
| 4237 | $530.3 \pm 0.1 \pm 2.7$ | 36103 | 0.36 | $10.00_{-3.11}^{+4.27}$ | $0.25_{-0.21}^{+0.58}$ | $9.75_{-2.90}^{+3.70}$ | $51.00_{-15.00}^{+19.00}$ | 0.7137 | 1.0555 | $68.00_{-20.00}^{+26.00}$ |
| 4246 | $538.1 \pm 0.1 \pm 2.6$ | 36340 | 0.36 | $3.00_{-1.63}^{+2.92}$ | $0.00_{-0.00}^{-0.25}$ | $3.00_{-1.63}^{+3.17}$ | $15.00_{-8.00}^{+16.00}$ | 1. 1978 | 1. 0555 | $12.00_{-7.00}^{+13.00}$ |
| 4260 | $828.4 \pm 0.1 \pm 5.5$ | 37300 | 0.37 | $4.00_{-1.91}^{+3.16}$ | $0.25_{-0.21}^{+0.58}$ | $3.75_{-1.70}^{+2.59}$ | $12.00_{-6.00}^{+8.00}$ | 1.0773 | 1. 0535 | $11.00_{-5.00}^{+7.00}$ |
| 4270 | $531.1 \pm 0.1 \pm 3.1$ | 37554 | 0.37 | $2.00_{-1.29}^{+2.64}$ | $0.25_{-0.21}^{+0.58}$ | $1.75_{-1.08}^{+2.07}$ | $9.00_{-6.00}^{+11.00}$ | 1. 1899 | 1.0531 | $7.00_{-4.00}^{+8.00}$ |
| 4360 | $543.9 \pm 0.1 \pm 3.6$ | 41849 | 0. 42 | $4.00_{-1.91}^{+3.16}$ | $0.00_{-0.00}^{-0.25}$ | $4.00_{-1.91}^{+3.41}$ | $18.00_{-8.00}^{+15.00}$ | 0.8850 | 1.0511 | $19.00_{-9.00}^{+16.00}$ |
| 4420 | $1043.9 \pm 0.1 \pm 6.9$ | 42221 | 0.42 | $11.00_{-3.26}^{+4.42}$ | $0.75_{-0.41}^{+0.73}$ | $10.25_{-2.85}^{+3.69}$ | $23.00_{-7.00}^{+8.00}$ | 0.8657 | 1.0525 | $25.00_{-7.00}^{+9.00}$ |
| 4600 | $586.9 \pm 0.1 \pm 3.9$ | 45719 | 0.46 | $18.00_{-4.20}^{+5.32}$ | $1.00_{-0.48}^{+0.79}$ | $17.00_{-3.72}^{+4.53}$ | $63.00_{-14.00}^{+17.00}$ | 0.5594 | 1.0546 | $107.00_{-23.00}^{+28.00}$ |

## 16 7. Have you considered the background from the beam?

At present, the work of estimating the beam background has not been done.

## 8. There may be a background, such as $\mathbf{4 K}+\mathbf{n}$ gams.

This kind of background cannot exist in the 4C, so there is no such background in the last result. But now I am switching to 3C, which may need to be considered. I have not done any related work yet, and I will do it later.

## 9. Because the measurement cross section at that point is 0 , the ISR correction factor at 4210 is large. It is recommended to use a smooth curve fit to make an ISR correction factor estimate.

Since I did not fit the entire spectrum, the section of the theoretical curve was not used to make an estimate of the radiation correction, thus producing such a result. I will do related work later.

## ${ }^{17}$ TopoAnaAlg

| No. | decay chain | final states | iTopo | nEvt |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| nTot |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \psi^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \Xi^{0} \bar{K}^{*} \Lambda, \Xi^{0} \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^{0}, K^{*} \rightarrow K^{-} \pi^{+}, \Lambda \rightarrow \pi^{0} n, \Lambda \rightarrow \bar{p} \pi^{+}$ | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow n \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{0} K^{-} \bar{p}$ | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \psi^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c 0}, \chi_{c 0} \rightarrow \bar{\Delta}^{--} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \Delta^{++}, \bar{\Delta}^{--} \rightarrow \bar{p} \pi^{-}, \Delta^{++} \rightarrow \pi^{+} p$ | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \gamma p \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} \bar{p}$ | 1 | 1 |



| No. | decay chain | final states | iTopo | nEvt | nTot |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \gamma \psi^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{-} \pi^{+} J / \psi, J / \psi \rightarrow \bar{p} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} p$ | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \gamma p \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} \bar{p}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 |



MC reconstruction 500,000 event
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No. | decay chain | final states | iTopo | nEvt | nTot |
| 0 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \phi \chi_{c 2}, \phi \rightarrow K^{-} K^{+}, \chi_{c 2} \rightarrow \gamma J / \psi, J / \psi \rightarrow \Sigma^{0} \Sigma^{0}, \Sigma^{0} \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda} \gamma, \Sigma^{0} \rightarrow \gamma \Lambda, \Lambda \rightarrow \pi^{-} p, \bar{\Lambda} \rightarrow \bar{p} \pi^{+}$ | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \gamma p K^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} K^{-} \bar{p}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 |

hadrons 4575


VAR1 [22] [VAR2[0]==18\&VAR1[0]<40\&\&VAR1 [22]>0.98\&\&VAR1[22]|1.02


| No. | decay chain | final states | iTopo | nEvt |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \gamma D I Y 1, D I Y 1 \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} J / \psi, J / \psi \rightarrow \Xi^{+} \Xi^{-}, \Xi^{+} \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^{+}, \Xi^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{-} \Lambda, \Lambda \rightarrow \pi^{-} p, \Lambda \rightarrow \bar{p} \pi^{+}$ | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \gamma p \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} \bar{p}$ | 0 | 1 | 1

HCT 4180


qqbar 4009


Table 1: Topology Analysis


| No. | decay chain | final states | iTopo | nEvt | nTot |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \gamma J / \psi, J / \psi \rightarrow \bar{p} \pi^{-} \pi^{0} \Delta^{++}, \Delta^{++} \rightarrow \pi^{+} p$ | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \gamma p \pi^{+} \pi^{0} \pi^{-} \bar{p}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Table 1: Topology Analysis
RR1S 4180


## DSTODSTO 4180 <br> Table 1: Topology Analysis



TwoGam 4180


| No. | decay chain | final states | iTopo | nEvt | nTot |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow e^{+} e^{+}, \rightarrow \Sigma^{0} \Lambda, \Sigma^{0} \rightarrow \Lambda \gamma$ | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \gamma \Lambda e^{+} e^{+} \Lambda$ | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Table 1: Topology Analysis

qq 4180

No peak background

| Table 2: Decay final states. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| index | decay final states | iDcyFSt | nEtrs | nCmltEtrs |  |
| 1 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow p p p p$ | 1 | 14457 | 14457 |  |
| 2 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} p p$ | 2 | 25 | 14482 |  |
| 3 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} p p$ | 5 | 25 | 14507 |  |
| 4 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$ | 12 | 15 | 14522 |  |
| 5 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} K^{+} K^{-} \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$ | 15 | 14 | 14536 |  |
| 6 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} K^{0} \Lambda \Lambda$ | 10 | 11 | 14547 |  |
| 7 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$ | 32 | 11 | 14558 |  |
| 8 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} K^{+} K^{-} \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$ | 68 | 11 | 14569 |  |
| 9 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} p p$ | 36 | 10 | 14579 |  |
| 10 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$ | 25 | 9 | 14588 |  |
| 11 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} K^{0} K^{-} \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$ | 28 | 8 | 14596 |  |
| 12 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} p p$ | 88 | 8 | 14604 |  |
| 13 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} K^{-} p \Lambda$ | 14 | 7 | 14611 |  |
| 14 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} K^{+} p \Lambda$ | 0 | 7 | 14618 |  |
| 15 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} K^{+} K^{-} p p$ | 4 | 6 | 14624 |  |
| 16 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} K^{0} p \bar{\Lambda}$ | 38 | 6 | 14630 |  |
| 17 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} K^{-} \Lambda \Lambda$ | 17 | 6 | 14636 |  |
| 18 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} K^{-} \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$ | 23 | 6 | 14642 |  |
| 19 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$ | 51 | 5 | 14647 |  |
| 20 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{-} K^{0} p \Lambda$ | 55 | 5 | 14652 |  |
| 21 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{+} K^{-} \Lambda \Lambda$ | 7 | 5 | 14657 |  |
| 22 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} n p$ | 71 | 5 | 14662 |  |
| 23 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{-} K^{0} p \bar{\Lambda}$ | 26 | 5 | 14667 |  |
| 24 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} p p$ | 92 | 5 | 14672 |  |
| 25 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} K^{-} p \bar{\Lambda}$ | 118 | 5 | 14677 |  |
| 26 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} K^{0} p \Lambda$ | 128 | 5 | 14682 |  |
| 27 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} K^{0} \Lambda \Lambda$ | 27 | 4 | 14686 |  |
| 28 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} p p$ | 16 | 4 | 14690 |  |
| 29 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$ | 63 | 4 | 14694 |  |
| 30 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} K^{0} \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \gamma$ | 100 | 4 | 14698 |  |
| 31 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} K^{0} p \Lambda$ | 66 | 4 | 14702 |  |
| 32 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} K^{0} K^{0} p p$ | 29 | 4 | 14706 |  |


| index | decay final states | iDcyFSt | nEtrs | nCmltEtrs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} K^{+} \Lambda \Lambda$ | 65 | 3 | 14709 |
| 34 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} K^{+} \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$ | 31 | 3 | 14712 |
| 35 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} K_{L} K_{S} \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$ | 39 | 3 | 14715 |
| 36 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} p \Lambda$ | 41 | 3 | 14718 |
| 37 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \gamma \gamma$ | 76 | 3 | 14721 |
| 38 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} R^{0} p \bar{\Lambda}$ | 79 | 3 | 14724 |
| 39 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} K^{-} \Lambda \Lambda$ | 80 | 3 | 14727 |
| 40 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} K^{-} p \Lambda$ | 81 | 3 | 14730 |
| 41 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} K^{0} K^{-} p p$ | 43 | 3 | 14733 |
| 42 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} K^{0} \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \gamma$ | 47 | 3 | 14736 |
| 43 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} K^{0} p \Lambda$ | 94 | 3 | 14739 |
| 44 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} K^{-} \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \gamma$ | 19 | 3 | 14742 |
| 45 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-} K^{-} p \bar{\Lambda}$ | 112 | 3 | 14745 |
| 46 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{-} K^{0} p \Lambda$ | 34 | 3 | 14748 |
| 47 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} K^{+} K^{-} p p$ | 22 | 3 | 14751 |
| 48 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} K^{0} p p$ | 72 | 2 | 14753 |
| 49 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} K^{-} p \bar{\Lambda}$ | 74 | 2 | 14755 |
| 50 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} K^{0} \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \gamma$ | 20 | 2 | 14757 |
| 51 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} p \bar{\Lambda}$ | 33 | 2 | 14759 |
| 52 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} R^{0} p p$ | 57 | 2 | 14761 |
| 53 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} K^{0} p \mathrm{p}$ | 58 | 2 | 14763 |
| 54 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow K_{L} K_{S} \Lambda \Lambda \lambda \gamma \gamma$ | 86 | 2 | 14765 |
| 55 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} K^{-} p \bar{\Lambda} \gamma$ | 59 | 2 | 14767 |
| 56 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} p p$ | 18 | 2 | 14769 |
| 57 | $\left.e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{-} K^{0} K^{+} \Lambda \Lambda\right\rangle \gamma \gamma$ | 64 | 2 | 14771 |
| 58 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} n p$ | 35 | 2 | 14773 |
| 59 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} K^{+} K^{-} \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \gamma$ | 105 | 2 | 14775 |
| 60 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} K^{-} p \mathrm{~N} \gamma$ | 44 | 2 | 14777 |
| 61 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{-} K^{+} \Lambda \Lambda$ | 113 | 2 | 14779 |
| 62 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{-} K^{+} \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \gamma$ | 46 | 2 | 14781 |
| 63 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} n \bar{\Lambda} \gamma$ | 6 | 2 | 14783 |
| 64 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} K^{0} K^{0} \Lambda \Lambda$ | 8 | 1 | 14784 |


| index | decay final states | iDcyFSt | nEtrs | nCmltEtrs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{-} K^{+} n \Lambda$ | 42 | 1 | 14785 |
| 66 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{-} K^{0} p \bar{\Lambda}$ | 24 | 1 | 14786 |
| 67 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow K_{L} \pi^{+} K_{s} K^{0} K^{+} K^{-} K^{-}$ | 13 | 1 | 14787 |
| 68 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} K^{0} n \Lambda$ | 67 | 1 | 14788 |
| 69 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \eta n p$ | 45 | 1 | 14789 |
| 70 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} K^{-} p \bar{\Lambda} \gamma$ | 69 | 1 | 14790 |
| 71 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{-} K^{+} n n$ | 70 | 1 | 14791 |
| 72 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} K^{-} n \bar{\Lambda}$ | 9 | 1 | 14792 |
| 73 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \gamma$ | 3 | 1 | 14793 |
| 74 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{-} K^{0} K^{+} \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$ | 73 | 1 | 14794 |
| 75 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} K^{0} K^{-} \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \gamma$ | 48 | 1 | 14795 |
| 76 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} K^{0} K^{-} p \bar{\Lambda}$ | 75 | 1 | 14796 |
| 77 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \eta p p$ | 49 | 1 | 14797 |
| 78 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{+} K^{0} K^{-} p p$ | 77 | 1 | 14798 |
| 79 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} K^{-} n \bar{\Lambda}$ | 78 | 1 | 14799 |
| 80 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} p p$ | 50 | 1 | 14800 |
| 81 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \eta p \Lambda$ | 21 | 1 | 14801 |
| 82 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} K^{-} \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \gamma$ | 52 | 1 | 14802 |
| 83 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} K^{-} n p$ | 82 | 1 | 14803 |
| 84 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} K^{0} K^{-}$ | 83 | 1 | 14804 |
| 85 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \Lambda \Lambda \gamma$ | 84 | 1 | 14805 |
| 86 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{-} K^{0} K^{+} \Lambda \overline{\Lambda \gamma}$ | 85 | 1 | 14806 |
| 87 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} p \bar{\Lambda}$ | 53 | 1 | 14807 |
| 88 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} \pi^{-}$ | 87 | 1 | 14808 |
| 89 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} n \Lambda$ | 54 | 1 | 14809 |
| 90 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$ | 89 | 1 | 14810 |
| 91 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} n \bar{\Lambda}$ | 90 | 1 | 14811 |
| 92 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-\eta K^{-} p \bar{\Lambda}}$ | 91 | 1 | 14812 |
| 93 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} K^{+} K^{-} \Lambda \Lambda$ | 37 | 1 | 14813 |
| 94 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} K^{-} \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \overline{1}$ | 93 | 1 | 14814 |
| 95 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} p p$ | 56 | 1 | 14815 |
| 96 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{-} K^{+} \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$ | 95 | 1 | 14816 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 96 |  |  |  |  |


| index | decay final states | iDcyFSt | nEtrs | nCmltEtrs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 97 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} K^{\circ} \Lambda \Lambda \%$ | 96 | 1 | 14817 |
| 98 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} n p$ | 97 | 1 | 14818 |
| 99 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} K^{0} p p$ | 98 | 1 | 14819 |
| 100 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} K^{0} n \Lambda \gamma$ | 99 | 1 | 14820 |
| 101 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} K^{0} R^{0}$ | 11 | 1 | 14821 |
| 102 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} \eta \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$ | 101 | 1 | 14822 |
| 103 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} K^{+} p \Lambda \gamma$ | 102 | 1 | 14823 |
| 104 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} p p$ | 103 | 1 | 14824 |
| 105 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} K^{+} p \Lambda$ | 104 | 1 | 14825 |
| 106 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{-} K^{0} K^{0} p \bar{\Lambda}$ | 30 | 1 | 14826 |
| 107 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{-} K^{0} K^{+} \Lambda \Lambda$ | 106 | 1 | 14827 |
| 108 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \eta K^{-} \Lambda \Lambda$ | 107 | 1 | 14828 |
| 109 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} p \mathrm{~A}$ | 108 | 1 | 14829 |
| 110 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}{ }^{+} \mathrm{m}^{-}$pp | 109 | 1 | 14830 |
| 111 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \eta \mathrm{n} \Lambda$ | 110 | 1 | 14831 |
| 112 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \gamma$ | 111 | 1 | 14832 |
| 113 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} p p \gamma$ | 40 | 1 | 14833 |
| 114 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-} K^{-} p \overline{\text { a }}$ \% | 60 | 1 | 14834 |
| 115 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} p \overline{ }$ | 114 | 1 | 14835 |
| 116 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{+} K^{-} p \mathrm{~A}$ | 115 | 1 | 14836 |
| 117 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} R^{0} p \bar{\Lambda} \gamma$ | 116 | 1 | 14837 |
| 118 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} n p$ | 117 | 1 | 14838 |
| 119 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow K_{s} K_{s} K^{-} p \overline{\mathrm{~N}} \gamma$ | 61 | 1 | 14839 |
| 120 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} K^{0} n p$ | 119 | 1 | 14840 |
| 121 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} K^{0} K^{-} p \overline{ }$ | 120 | 1 | 14841 |
| 122 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} \pi^{-}$ | 121 | 1 | 14842 |
| 123 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} \eta p p$ | 122 | 1 | 14843 |
| 124 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} n n$ | 123 | 1 | 14844 |
| 125 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} K^{+} p p$ | 124 | 1 | 14845 |
| 126 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$ | 125 | 1 | 14846 |
| 127 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{-} \eta K^{+} \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \gamma$ | 126 | 1 | 14847 |
| 128 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} \eta p p$ | 127 | 1 | 14848 |


| index | decay final states | iDcyFSt | nEtrs | nCmitEtrs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 129 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} K^{0} \Lambda \Lambda \gamma$ | 62 | 1 | 14849 |
| 130 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} K^{-} \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \gamma$ | 129 | 1 | 14850 |
| 131 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \eta \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \gamma$ | 130 | 1 | 14851 |
| 132 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{+} K^{+} K^{-} p \Lambda$ | 131 | 1 | 14852 |
| 133 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} n \bar{\Lambda}$ | 132 | 1 | 14853 |
| 134 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} K^{0} K^{0} n p$ | 133 | 1 | 14854 |
| 135 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} K^{-} n \bar{N} \gamma$ | 134 | 1 | 14855 |
| 136 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} K^{0} K^{-} \Lambda \Lambda$ | 135 | 1 | 14856 |
| 137 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \gamma$ | 136 | 1 | 14857 |
| 138 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} K^{-} p \bar{\Lambda}$ | 137 | 1 | 14858 |
| 139 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow K_{L L} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} K_{S} \Lambda \Lambda$ | 138 | 1 | 14859 |
| 140 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{-} \eta K^{+} p p$ | 139 | 1 | 14860 |
| 141 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} p \Lambda \gamma$ | 140 | 1 | 14861 |
| 142 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} K^{+} n \Lambda$ | 141 | 1 | 14862 |
| 143 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} K^{0} n \Lambda$ | 142 | 1 | 14863 |
| 144 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} K^{0} \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$ | 143 | 1 | 14864 |
| 145 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} K^{0} p p$ | 144 | 1 | 14865 |
| 146 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} p p \gamma \gamma$ | 145 | 1 | 14866 |
| 147 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} K^{-} p p$ | 146 | 1 | 14867 |
| 148 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} K^{+} K^{-} p p$ | 147 | 1 | 14868 |
| 149 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow K_{L} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} K_{S} K^{0} K^{+}$ | 148 | 1 | 14869 |
| 150 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} p \overline{\mathrm{~N}} \gamma$ | 149 | 1 | 14870 |
| 151 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{+} K^{0} K^{0} K^{0} K^{-}$ | 150 | 1 | 14871 |
| 152 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{+} K^{0} p /$ | 151 | 1 | 14872 |
| 153 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \eta K^{+} p \mathrm{~A}$ | 152 | 1 | 14873 |
| 154 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} K^{+} p \Lambda$ | 153 | 1 | 14874 |
| 155 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} K^{+} p \mathrm{~A} \gamma$ | 154 | 1 | 14875 |
| 156 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$गpp | 155 | 1 | 14876 |
| 157 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} K^{0} n \Lambda$ | 156 | 1 | 14877 |
| 158 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} K^{-} K^{-} p \bar{\Lambda}$ | 157 | 1 | 14878 |
| 159 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} \pi^{-}$ | 158 | 1 | 14879 |
| 160 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-} \Lambda \Lambda$ | 159 | 1 | 14880 |


| index | decay final states | iDcyFSt | nEtrs | nCmltEtrs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 161 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} K^{0} p p$ | 160 | 1 | 14881 |
| 162 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \eta p p$ | 161 | 1 | 14882 |
| 163 | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{+} p \Lambda$ | 162 | 1 | 14883 |

## ${ }^{23}$ TopoAnaAlg

| Topo | 4009 |  | 4230 | 4260 | 4360 | 4420 | 4575 |  | 4600 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{N}_{\text {track }}$ | N | N | N | N | N | $\mathrm{N}_{\text {track }}$ | N | N |
| QED |  | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | 0 |
| hadrons | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DDbar |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| qqbar | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\mathbf{N}_{\text {track: }}$ :Number of decay process; N :Event

| Topo (4180) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | RR1S | DDSTPIp | DSTODST0 | HCT | RR3770 | DOD0 | DpDm | DSTpDm | mm | DDSTPI0 | DSTOD0 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\text {track }}$ |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TwoGam | DDPIO | DsDs | DSTpDSTm | qq | tt | DDPIp | DsSTDs | ee | eeNLO | RR2S |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\text {track }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

Topology analysis of eight energy points has been completed and a large number of samples of MC reconstruction have been performed on the possible peak background.

## ${ }^{24}$ Summary

-The line-shape of $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow p p \overline{p p}$ favors the double structures hypothesis around Ecm=4.26 GeV. Just like our measurement of $\sigma\left(\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mathrm{J} / \psi\right)$.
-The ISR factor at Ecm=4.009 need to be further studied.
-We will try to fit the line-shape according to the scheme in $\sigma\left(\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mathrm{J} / \psi\right)$ and $\sigma\left(\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$.

