第17届全国重味物理和CP破坏研讨会 2019.07.29-08.01,内蒙古大学



LHCb overview and highlights 杨振伟 (代表LHCb合作组) 清华大学高能物理研究中心

2019.07.29



Outline

Introduction

> Highlights of CP violation and RD

> Highlights of hadron spectroscopy

> Prospect & summary

Other LHCb talks

- ✓ Rare B decays at LHCb Jibo He, 14:30-15:00, 29 July
- ✓ Hadron spectroscopy and exotic states at LHCb
 - Hang Yin, 16:20-16:50, 29 July
- ✓ CP violation in B decays at LHCb
 Wenbin Qian, 9:00-9:30, 30 July
- ✓ CP violation in charm decays at LHCb

Liang Sun, 9:30-10:00, 31 July

✓ LHCb future upgrade and prospects Jike Wang, 16:00-16:20, 31 July

The LHCb experiment



A forward spectrometer at the LHC designed for the study of heavy flavour physics

• Indirect searches for NP via precision measurement of *b*- and *c*-hadrons



The LHCb collaboration

 1378 Members, from 79 institutes in 18 countries

LHCb China: from 2000 to 2019

> 7 institutes:

- ~ 20 faculties + 10 postdocs + 50 students
- ・ 2000, Tsinghua (清华), founded by Prof. Y. Gao
- ・ 2013, CCNU (华中师范)
- ・ 2015, UCAS (国科大)
- ・ 2016, Wuhan Univ (武大)
- ・ 2018, IHEP (高能所) SCNU (华南师大)
- ・ 2019, PKU (北大)

The LHCb detector

JINST 3 (2008) S08005 Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 30 (2015) 1530022



Data taking (run1+run2)



> A huge amount of $b\overline{b}$ and $c\overline{c}$ have been produced

• ~ $10^{12} b\overline{b}$

• ~ $10^{13} c\bar{c}$

Many impressive results have been achieved

> More than 9 fb⁻¹ accumulated in Run1+Run2

CP violation



Discovery of CPV in charm sector



PRL122 (2019) 211803



Observation of CPV in *D*⁰

• SM expectation of A^{dir}_{CP} small, but "how small" is uncertain

$$\mathbf{A}_{CP}^{dir} \equiv \frac{\left|\mathbf{A}\left(\mathbf{D}^{0} \to \mathbf{f}\right)\right|^{2} - \left|\mathbf{A}\left(\overline{\mathbf{D}}^{0} \to \overline{\mathbf{f}}\right)\right|^{2}}{|\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{D}^{0} \to \mathbf{f})|^{2} + |\mathbf{A}\left(\overline{\mathbf{D}}^{0} \to \overline{\mathbf{f}}\right)|^{2}} \le \mathbf{O}(10^{-3})$$

- Was not observed at LHCb until 2019 using full Run1+Run2 data
- $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+$ (π -tagged) and $B \rightarrow D^0 \mu^- X$ (μ -tagged)

$$\Delta A_{CP}^{\pi-tag} = (-18.2 \pm 3.2 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-4}$$
$$\Delta A_{CP}^{\mu-tag} = (-9 \pm 8 \pm 5) \times 10^{-4}$$

Compatible with previous LHCb results and WA

LHCb combination (9 fb⁻¹)

$$\Delta A_{CP} = (-15.4 \pm 2.9) \times 10^{-4}$$





CP violation in charm observed at 5.3 σ !

Oscillation of charm mesons in $D^0 \rightarrow K_s^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$

PRL122 (2019) 231802

Oscillation of charm mesons in $D^0 \rightarrow K_s^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$

- $> D^0$ and \overline{D}^0 can oscillate between each other
 - Mass eigenstates $|D_{1,2}\rangle \equiv p|D^0\rangle \pm q|\overline{D}^0\rangle$ with $m_{1,2}$ ($\Gamma_{1,2}$) mass (width) of $D_{1,2}$
 - Mixing parameters: $x \equiv \frac{m_1 m_2}{\Gamma}$ $y \equiv \frac{\Gamma_1 \Gamma_2}{\Gamma}$
 - x determines the oscillation rate
 - CPV can occur in the mixing
 - Oscillation rates differ for D^0 and \overline{D}^0
- x is small in SM, but NP can enhance x and CPV
- ≻ LHCb Run1 tagged $D^0 \rightarrow K_s^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$
 - 1.3M (prompt) + 1M (secondary)





Oscillation of charm mesons in $D^0 \rightarrow K_s^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ PRL122 (2019) 231802

- Model independent analysis (bin-flip method)
 To void officiency medalling
 - To void efficiency modelling
- ➢ Results $x_{CP} = (2.7 \pm 1.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$ $\Delta x = (-0.53 \pm 0.70 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-3}$ $y_{CP} = (7.4 \pm 3.6 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-3}$ $\Delta y = (0.6 \pm 1.6 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-3}$
 - Most precise determination of the mass difference *x* from a single experiment
 - New world average (assume CP symmetry in mixing and interference)

 $x_{\rm CP} = (3.9^{+1.1}_{-1.2}) \times 10^{-3}$

First evidence of mass difference between D^0 mass eigenvalues





CPV parameter A_{Γ} in $D^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^-$, $\pi^+ \pi^-$

LHCb-CONF-2019-001

CPV parameter A_{Γ} in $D^0 \rightarrow K^+K^-(\pi^+\pi^-)$

LHCb-CONF-2019-001

The asymmetry of $D^0 \rightarrow f(=K^+K^- \text{ or } \pi^+\pi^-)$ decay rates is sensitive to CPV in charm mesons

$$A_{CP}(f,t) \equiv \frac{\Gamma(D^0 \to f,t) - \Gamma(\overline{D}{}^0 \to f,t)}{\Gamma(D^0 \to f,t) + \Gamma(\overline{D}{}^0 \to f,t)} \approx A_{CP}^{\text{decay}}(f) - A_{\Gamma}(f)\frac{t}{\tau_{D^0}}$$

- $> A_{\Gamma}$ probes CPV in mixing and interference
- ➤ Can be extracted by a linear fit to A_{CP} in bins of D^0 decay time
 ➤ $A_{\Gamma}^{SM} \approx 3 \times 10^{-5}$ [arXiv:1812.07638]

CPV parameter A_{Γ} in $D^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^- (\pi^+ \pi^-)$

 $> D^0 \rightarrow K^+K^- \text{ and } D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- \text{ samples from } D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0\pi^+ \text{ in 2015-2016 data (1.9 fb^{-1})}$

Results

 $A_{\Gamma}(K^{+}K^{-}) = (1.3 \pm 3.5 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-4}$ $A_{\Gamma}(\pi^{+}\pi^{-}) = (11.3 \pm 6.9 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-4}$

$$\Delta A_{\Gamma} \equiv A_{\Gamma}(K^{+}K^{-}) - A_{\Gamma}(\pi^{+}\pi^{-})$$

= (-10.1 ± 7.8 ± 0.5) × 10⁻⁴
$$A_{\Gamma}(K^{+}K^{-} + \pi^{+}\pi^{-}) = (3.4 \pm 3.1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$$



Combined with the Run1 result

 $\Delta A_{\Gamma} = (-8.6 \pm 5.0 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ $A_{\Gamma}(K^{+}K^{-} + \pi^{+}\pi^{-}) = (0.9 \pm 2.1 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-4}$

Consistent with SM More data needed

ϕ_s measurement

arXiv:1903.05530 arXiv:1906.08356



$B_s^0 - \overline{B}_s^0$ mixing phase ϕ_s in $b \to c\overline{c}s$ decays

CP phase sensitive to new physics

 $\boldsymbol{\phi}_{s} = \boldsymbol{\phi}_{M} - 2\boldsymbol{\phi}_{D}$

Tree-dominated decay

- Large signal yield
- Clean SM expectation

 $\phi_s \approx -2\beta_s = -36.8^{+9.6}_{-6.8}$ mrad (CKM fitter)

LHCb uses $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^+ K^-$, $J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$



ϕ_s in $b \rightarrow c \overline{c} s$ decays

arXiv:1903.05530

arXiv:1906.08356

LHCb combination (7/8/13 TeV, 4.9 fb^{-1})

 $\phi_s = -41 \pm 25 \text{ mrad}$

 $\Delta\Gamma_{s} = 0.0816 \pm 0.0048 \text{ ps}^{-1}$

HFLAV average

 $\phi_s = -55 \pm 21 \text{ mrad}$ $\Delta\Gamma_s = 0.0762 \pm 0.0033 \text{ ps}^{-1}$ ATLAS combination (7/8/13 TeV, 100 fb⁻¹)

 $\phi_s = -76 \pm 34 \pm 19 \text{ mrad}$

 $\Delta\Gamma_s=0.068\pm0.004\pm0.003\ \text{ps}^{\text{-1}}$

[ATLAS-CONF-2019-019]



γ measurement

LHCb-CONF-2018-002 arXiv:1906.08297



$$\gamma = -\arg(V_{ud}V_{ub}^*/V_{cd}V_{cb}^*)$$

The least well-known angle of the CKM Unitarity Triangle
 Can be measured in the interference between b → c (Cabibbo favored) and b - u (Cabibbo suppressed) transitions, e.g.



Many decay modes combined to achieve the ultimate precision due to small yields ($B \approx 10^{-7}$) and small interference effects (~ 10%)

Combination of γ

- > Tension (2 σ) between B^+ and B_s^0 results
- Tension (2σ) direct measurements and indirect constraints from UT



LHCb-CONF-2018-002

Run1: 3 fb⁻¹ (2011+2012) Run2: 2 fb⁻¹ (2015+2015)

B decay	D decay	Method	Ref.	$Dataset^{\dagger}$
$B^+ \rightarrow DK^+$	$D ightarrow h^+ h^-$	GLW	[14]	Run 1 & 2
$B^+ \to DK^+$	$D \to h^+ h^-$	ADS	[15]	Run 1
$B^+ \to D K^+$	$D \to h^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	$\mathrm{GLW}/\mathrm{ADS}$	[15]	Run 1
$B^+ \to DK^+$	$D \to h^+ h^- \pi^0$	$\mathrm{GLW}/\mathrm{ADS}$	[16]	Run 1
$B^+ \to DK^+$	$D \to K^0_{\rm S} h^+ h^-$	GGSZ	[17]	${\rm Run}\ 1$
$B^+ \to DK^+$	$D \to K^0_{\rm S} h^+ h^-$	GGSZ	[18]	$\operatorname{Run}2$
$B^+ \to DK^+$	$D \to K^0_{\rm s} K^+ \pi^-$	GLS	[19]	Run 1
$B^+ \to D^* K^+$	$D \to h^+ h^-$	GLW	[14]	Run 1 & 2
$B^+ \to DK^{*+}$	$D \to h^+ h^-$	$\mathrm{GLW}/\mathrm{ADS}$	[20]	$\mathrm{Run}\;1\;\&\;2$
$B^+ \to D K^{*+}$	$D \to h^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	$\mathrm{GLW}/\mathrm{ADS}$	[20]	$\mathrm{Run}\;1\;\&\;2$
$B^+ \to D K^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	$D \to h^+ h^-$	$\mathrm{GLW}/\mathrm{ADS}$	[21]	$\operatorname{Run}1$
$B^0 \to D K^{*0}$	$D \to K^+ \pi^-$	ADS	[22]	$\mathrm{Run}\ 1$
$B^0\!\to DK^+\pi^-$	$D \to h^+ h^-$	$\operatorname{GLW-Dalitz}$	[23]	Run 1
$B^0 \to DK^{*0}$	$D\to K^0_{\rm s}\pi^+\pi^-$	GGSZ	[24]	Run 1
$B^0_s \to D^\mp_s K^\pm$	$D_s^+\!\to h^+h^-\pi^+$	TD	[25]	Run 1
$B^0\!\to D^{\mp}\pi^{\pm}$	$D^+\!\to K^+\pi^-\pi^+$	TD	[26]	Run 1

 γ (LHCb) = (74.0^{+5.0}_{-5.8})°

 γ (HFLAV) = $(71.1^{+4.6}_{-5.3})^{\circ}$ γ (CKM fitter) = $(65.8^{+1.0}_{-1.7})^{\circ}$

杨振伟,清华大学高能物理研究中心

$\gamma \text{ in } B^0 \to DK^{*0}$

→ Latest measurement in $B^0 \rightarrow DK^{*0}$ with $D \rightarrow K\pi, KK, \pi\pi, K3\pi, 4\pi$ in Run1 (3 fb⁻¹)+Run2 (1.9 fb⁻¹)



Provide powerful constraint when combined

BESIII measurements on strong phase parameters in *D* decays important

Lepton flavour anomalies in *b*-hadron decays

Latest world average of R_D and R_{D^*}



Update of *R_K*

PRL 122 (2019) 191801

$$R_{K} = \frac{\mathcal{B}(B^{+} \to K^{+} \mu^{+} \mu^{-})}{\mathcal{B}(B^{+} \to J/\psi \, (\to \mu^{+} \mu^{-}) K^{+})} \Big/ \frac{\mathcal{B}(B^{+} \to K^{+} e^{+} e^{-})}{\mathcal{B}(B^{+} \to J/\psi \, (\to e^{+} e^{-}) K^{+})}$$



 $1 < q^2 < 6 \text{ GeV}^2/c^4$ PRL 113 (2014) 151601

 R_K LHCb 1.5 1.0BaBar 0.5 ▲ Belle ▼ LHCb Run 1 • LHCb Run 1 + 2015 + 2016 0.0 15 20 5 10 ĺ٦ $q^2 \,[{\rm GeV^2/c^4}]$

 $R_K = 0.846^{+0.060+0.016}_{-0.054-0.014}$ $1 < q^2 < 6 \, \text{GeV}^2/c^4$

Run1+2015+2016: 2.5σ

Run1: 2.6σ

杨振伟,清华大学高能物理研究中心

Hadron spectroscopy

Doubly charmed baryons

≻ Three ground states: $\Xi_{cc}^{++}(ccu)$, $\Xi_{cc}^{+}(ccd)$ and $\Omega_{cc}^{+}(ccs)$

- Ξ_{cc}^{++} observed, searches for others are ongoing
- Lifetime measured: $\tau_{\Xi_{cc}^{++}} = 0.256^{+0.024}_{-0.022} \pm 0.014$ ps PRL 121 (2018) 052002

> No signal is observed in $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow D^+ p K^- \pi^+$

$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{\mathcal{B}(\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to D^+ p K^- \pi^+)}{\mathcal{B}(\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+)}$$

 $\mathcal{R} < 1.7 \ (2.1) \times 10^{-2} \text{ at } 90\% \ (95\%) \text{ CL}$



Observation of X(3842) in $m(D\overline{D})$

JHEP07(2019)035

- > First observation of *X*(3842), probably $\psi(1^3D_3)$
- > First observation of hadroproduced $\psi(3770)$ and $\chi_{c2}(3930)$



Observation of excited B_c^+



Excited Λ_b^0 in $\Lambda_b^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$

- Full Run1+Run2 dataset
- $\succ \Lambda_b^0$ reconstructed in $\Lambda_c^+ \pi^-$ and $J/\psi p K^-$
- ➤ Two new resonances around 6.15 GeV
- > Mass and mass-splitting agree with expectation for $\Lambda_b(1D)^0$ doublet

		preliminary		
$m_{\Lambda_{ m b}(6146)^0}$	=	$6146.17 \pm 0.33 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.16 \mathrm{MeV} ,$		
$m_{\Lambda_{ m b}(6152)^0}$	=	$6152.51 \pm 0.26 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.16 \mathrm{MeV} ,$		
$\Gamma_{\Lambda_{\rm b}(6146)^0}$	=	$2.9 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.3 \text{ MeV},$		
$\Gamma_{\Lambda_{\rm b}(6152)^0}$	=	$2.1 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.3 \text{ MeV},$		

LHCb-PAPER-2019-025 in preparation



Pentaquarks



Observation of pentaquarks

- > Two P_c^+ states observed in full amplitude analysis of $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow J/\psi p K^-$ decays
- Planned to update the results with full dataset
 - To determine the *J*^{*P*} quantum numbers
 - To better determine other properties

Resonance	Mass (MeV)	Width (MeV)	Fraction(%)
$P_{c}(4380)^{+}$	$4380 \pm 8 \pm 29$	$205 \pm 18 \pm 86$	$8.4 \pm 0.7 \pm 4.2$
$P_{c}(4450)^{+}$	$4449.8 \pm 1.7 \pm 2.5$	$39 \pm 5 \pm 19$	$4.1 \pm 0.5 \pm 1.1$

 $\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_b^0 \to P_c(4380)^+ K^-) \mathcal{B}(P_c^+ \to J/\psi p) = (2.66 \pm 0.22 \pm 1.33^{+0.48}_{-0.38}) \times 10^{-5} \\ \mathcal{B}(\Lambda_b^0 \to P_c(4450)^+ K^-) \mathcal{B}(P_c^+ \to J/\psi p) = (1.30 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.35^{+0.23}_{-0.18}) \times 10^{-5}$

Chin. Phys. C40 (2016) 011001





PRL 115 (2015) 072001

Observation of new pentaquarks

- ≻ Full Run1+Run2 → 9x $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow J/\psi pK^-$ signals
- Fit with Run1 model gives results consistent with those of Run1, however, fine structures appears with larger sample
 - $P_c(4450)^+$ splits into two substructures
 - A new narrow peak at around 4312 MeV
- > One dimensional fit done
 - J^P and confirmation of $P_c(4380)^+$ need 6D amplitude analysis

State	M [MeV $]$	$\Gamma [$ MeV $]$	(95% CL)	$\mathcal{R}~[\%]$
$P_c(4312)^+$	$4311.9\pm0.7^{+6.8}_{-0.6}$	$9.8 \pm 2.7^{+}_{-} ~ {}^{3.7}_{4.5}$	(< 27)	$0.30 \pm 0.07^{+0.34}_{-0.09}$
$P_c(4440)^+$	$4440.3 \pm 1.3^{+4.1}_{-4.7}$	$20.6 \pm 4.9^{+\ 8.7}_{-10.1}$	(< 49)	$1.11 \pm 0.33^{+0.22}_{-0.10}$
$P_c(4457)^+$	$4457.3 \pm 0.6^{+4.1}_{-1.7}$	$6.4 \pm 2.0^+_{-1.9}$	(< 20)	$0.53 \pm 0.16^{+0.15}_{-0.13}$
$P_c(4440)^+$ $P_c(4457)^+$	$4440.3 \pm 1.3^{+4.1}_{-4.7}$ $4457.3 \pm 0.6^{+4.1}_{-1.7}$	$20.6 \pm 4.9^{+8.7}_{-10.1}$ $6.4 \pm 2.0^{+5.7}_{-1.9}$	(< 49) (< 20)	1.11 ± 0.33 0.53 ± 0.16

PRL122 (2019) 222001



Prospects



LHCb Upgrade (2019-2020)

[<u>LHCB-TDR-017</u>]



CERN-LHCC-2012-007

> Increase luminosity to $2 \times 10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$

- 5 times larger than current maximum instantaneous luminosity
- All sub-detectors read out at 40 MHz for a full software trigger
 - Record with 10 GB/s
- All subdetector apart from muon and calorimeter systems will be fully replaced

Scintillating Fibre (SciFi) tracker installation



2019/07/29

LHCb Upgrade 2

Upgrade 2 proposed to take full profit of HL-LHC

- $\mathcal{L} = 1 2 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$, 10 times larger than Upgrade 1
- Aiming at 300 fb⁻¹ after Run5



Consolidate in LS3
 Major upgrade in LS4

EOI submitted in 2017 (CERN-LHCC-2017-003)
 Physics document submitted in 2018 (arXiv:1808.08865)



beauty

0.5

+ RUN I (3 fb⁻¹)

+ Upgrade II (300 fb Breit-Wigner

 $M_{Z(4430)} = 4475 \text{ MeV}$ $\Gamma_{Z(4430)} = 172 \text{ MeV}$

0.2

Re A_{Z(4430)}-

BDT(*bcludsg*)

light parton

Initial (no-tagging) sample:

0

-0.5

LHCb

-0.4

-0.2

0

1000

800

600

400

-200

-0

Opportunities



Summary and outlook

- LHCb has been operated well in run1+run2 and produced nice results in both flavour physics and QCD (including spectroscopy)
- LHCb Upgrade is under construction
 - Expect to accumulate data of 50 fb^{-1} after Run4 (2029)
- LHCb Upgrade2 proposed and R&D started
 - Expect to accumulate data of 300 fb^{-1} after Run5 (around 2035)
- Stay tuned for new results from LHCb



Backup

Heavy flavour in pp collisions at LHCb



Large $b\overline{b}$ ($c\overline{c}$) cross-sections at the LHC

 $\sigma(b\overline{b}X) \sim 0.2\% \times \sigma_{pp}^{\text{inesl}},$ ~ $10^{11} b$ -hadrons per fb⁻¹ $\sigma(c\overline{c}X) \sim 4\% \times \sigma_{pp}^{\text{inesl}},$ ~ $10^{12} c$ -hadrons per fb⁻¹ All species of heavy flavour hadrons:

 $\begin{array}{c} B^{0}, B^{0}_{s}, B^{\pm}, B^{+}_{c}, \Lambda^{0}_{b}, \\ D^{0}, D^{\pm}, D^{\pm}_{s}, \Lambda^{\pm}_{c}, \Xi^{++}_{cc}, P^{+}_{c}, \\ J/\psi, Y(\mathbf{n}S), \dots \end{array}$



Two extremes of LHCb trigger

> The LHCb trigger has to cover two extremes, e.g.



▶ High efficiency to collect rare decays like $B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu \mu^1$

 \blacktriangleright High purity for enormous charm signals like $D^0 \to K \pi^2$

Pros of hadron spectroscopy at LHCb



Pros of hadron spectroscopy at LHCb



杨振伟,清华大学高能物理研究中心

Vertex Locator

 $200 \ \mu m$ n-on-n Si short strips double metal layer for readout with Beetle chip (1/4 μm CMOS)



They have to be placed in secondary vacuum \rightarrow complex mechanics

RICH detector

Performance of particle ID



Invariant mass [GeV/c²]

Origin of LHCb: 1995

LHC-B

CERN/LHCC 95-5 LHCC/ I 8 25 August 1995

Last update 28 March 1996

LETTER OF INTENT

A Dedicated LHC Collider Beauty Experiment for Precision Measurements of CP-Violation

Abstract

The LHC-B Collaboration proposes to build a forward collider detector dedicated to the study of CP violation and other rare phenomena in the decays of Beauty particles. The forward geometry results in an average 80 GeV momentum of reconstructed B-mesons and, with multiple, efficient and redundant triggers, yields large event samples. B-hadron decay products are efficiently identified by Ring-Imaging Cerenkov Counters, rendering a wide range of multiparticle final states accessible and providing precise measurements of all angles, α,β and γ of the unitarity triangle. The LHC-B microvertex detector capabilities facilitate multi-vertex event reconstruction and proper-time measurements with an expected few-percent uncertainty, permitting measurements of B_s-mixing well beyond the largest conceivable values of x_s . LHC-B would be fully operational at the startup of LHC and requires only a modest luminosity to reveal its full performance potential.

... dedicated to the study of CPV and other rare phenomena in the decays of Beauty particles.

... precise measurements of the CKM angles...

2019/07/29

Original members in LOI

IHPE, Beijing, P.R.C.

C. Jiang, S. Kang, X. Li, F. Sun, X. Xia

University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, P.R.C.

X.-Q. Yu, G. Jin, X.-S. Lin, T.-K. Liu, X.-Y. Wu

Nanjing University, Nanjing, P.R.C.

T.-Y. Chen, D. Gao, Z.-X. Sha, D. Xi, Z.-W. Zhang

University of Aarhus - Institute for Synchrotron Radiation, Aarhus, Denmark K. Kirsebom, S.P. Møller, E. Uggerhøj

Collège de France, Paris, France J. Seguinot, T. Ypsilantis

DAPNIA, CE-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France J. Zsembery

Humboldt University, Berlin, Germany T. Lohse, R. Mankel

University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany H. Fischer, J. Franz, K. Königsmann, H. Schmitt

Physikalisches Institut, University of Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany P. Bock, F. Eisele, M. Feuerstack, A. Hölscher, P. Igo-Kemenes, J. von Krogh, P. Schleper, U. Straumann

Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, Heidelberg, Germany K.-T. Knöpfle, M. Schmelling, W. Hofmann

University of Bologna and INFN, Bologna, Italy A. Bertin, M. Bruschi, M. Capponi, I. D'Antone, S. De Castro, A. Ferretti, D. Galli, B. Giacobbe, U. Marconi, I. Massa, M. Piccinini, M. Poli, N. Semprini-Cesari, R. Spighi, S. Vecchi, A. Vezzani, F. Vigotti., M. Villa, A. Vitale, A. Zoccoli

University of Rome - "La Sapienza" and INFN, Rome, Italy A. Frenkel, G. Martellotti, G. Penso

University of Rome - "Tor Vergata" and INFN, Rome, Italy G. Carboni

NIKHEF, Amsterdam, The Netherlands ¹University of Amsterdam, ²University of Utrecht, ³Foundation for Fundamental Research of Matter in the Netherlands G. v. Apeldoorn¹, Th.S. Bauer^{2,3}, A. Buijs², W. Hoogland³, B. Koene³, P.M. Kooijman¹, M. Merk³, W. Ruckstuhl³, J. Timmermans³, J. Visschers³, L. Wiggers³

University of Lodz, Poland J. Kempa, J. Wdowczyk, T. Wibig, Inz.J. Zabierowski

IHPE, Beijing, P.R.C. C. Jiang, S. Kang, X. Li, F. Sun, X. Xia

University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, P.R.C. X.-Q. Yu, G. Jin, X.-S. Lin, T.-K. Liu, X.-Y. Wu

Nanjing University, Nanjing, P.R.C. T.-Y. Chen, D. Gao, Z.-X. Sha, D. Xi, Z.-W. Zhang

Institute of Atomic Physics, Bucharest-Magurel, Romania D. Anghel, C. Coca, S. Costache, C. Kusko, D. Lazarovici, H. Petrascu, R. Ruscu

JINR, Dubna, Russia E.N. Kozarenko, I.E. Kreslo, V. Tyukov

Key measurements listed in 2009

Roadmap for selected key measurements of LHCb

LHCb-PUB-2009-029 16 February 2010

1	Introduction1.1Key measurements1.2The LHCb trigger	1 . 3 . 4
2	The tree-level determination of γ	8
3	Charmless charged two-body B decays	58
4	Measurement of mixing-induced CP violation in $B^0_s \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$	150
5	Analysis of the decay $B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$	229
6	Analysis of the decay $B^0 \to K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-$	275
7	Analysis of $B^0_s \rightarrow \phi \gamma$ and other radiative B decays	313

Physics cases: RD and CPV

±10.0	±2.6	±90	LHCb	$\pm 33.0 \times 10^{-4}$	±5.4	±49	$\pm 28.0 \times 10^{-5}$	LHCb
			Current					Current
±3.6 ±2.2	±0.50 ±0.72	±34	Belle II ATLAS/CMS LHCb	$\pm 10.0 \times 10^{-4}$	±1.5 ±1.5	±14	$\pm 35.0 \times 10^{-5}$ $\pm 4.3 \times 10^{-5}$	Belle II ATLAS/CMS LHCb 2025
±0.70 R _K [%]	±0.20 R(D*) [%]	± 21 ± 10 $\frac{B(B^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)}{B(B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)} [\%]$	HL-LHC	$\pm 3.0 \times 10^{-4}$ a_{sl}^{s}	±0.35 γ[°]	±22 ±4 ¢ _s [mrad]	$\pm 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ A_{Γ}	HL-LHC

Classification of CPV



ΔA_{CP} strategy



If D^0 kinematics are similar for the two modes (after proper weighting), $\Delta A_{\rm CP} \equiv A_{\rm CP}(K^+K^-) - A_{\rm CP}(\pi^+\pi^-) \approx A_{\rm raw}(K^+K^-) - A_{\rm raw}(\pi^+\pi^-)$



杨振伟,清华大学高能物理研究中心

Results

57

$$\Delta A_{CP}^{\pi-tag} = (-18.2 \pm 3.2 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-4}$$
$$\Delta A_{CP}^{\mu-tag} = (-9 \pm 8 \pm 5) \times 10^{-4}$$

Compatible with previous LHCb results and WA

LHCb combination (9 fb⁻¹)

$$\Delta A_{CP} = (-15.4 \pm 2.9) \times 10^{-4}$$

CP violation in charm observed at 5.3 σ ! At the upper end of the SM expectations



New $\Lambda_h^0 \rightarrow J/\psi p K^-$ sample

Signal yield is 9x more than



Data consistency check

 $\Lambda_h^0 \to J/\psi \Lambda^* (\to K^- p)$ $\Lambda_{h}^{0} \to K^{-}P_{c}^{+}(\to J/\psi p)$ PRL 115, 072001 (2015) Candidates/(15 MeV) 🗕 data LHCb total fit 🗕 data background Run 1 🗕 total fit Pc(4450) (old selection) - P_(4380) +-- Λ(1405) - ···· Λ(1520) Λ(1600) Λ(1670) Δ(1690 ·· Λ(1520) --<u>*</u>-- Λ(1800) ·--⊡-- Λ(1810) ···*·· Λ(1820) --**y**--- Λ(1830) ---▲--- Λ(1890) ----- A(2100) 200 ---<u>Δ</u>--- Λ(2110) 2.2 2.4 m_{Kp} [GeV] Candidates/(15.MeV) data MeV 9000 LHCb background Run 1 + 2 ഹ P_c(4450) (new selection) $P_{c}(4380)$ $\Lambda(1405)$ Λ(1520 Λ(1600) ٨(1670) A(1800) 8000 $\Lambda(1810)$ A(1820) and other 6000 3000F (1830) Λ*s ۸(1890 4000 2000 ----- Λ(2100) ---<u>A</u>--- Λ(2110) 2000 1000 m_{K_D} [GeV]



PRL 115 (2015) 072001 PRL122 (2019) 222001

6D amplitude analysis fit to masses and decay angles

- > Fit with the same amplitude model to the full data sample
 - It gives parameters of $P_c(4450)^+$ and $P_c(4380)^+$ that are **consistent** with the run1 results

> However, more could be different ...

Fits with interferences

- No interferences considered for nominal fits
- Fits with coherent sum between various BW amplitudes, including the broad P⁺_c state with the same J^P are also tried
- No significant evidence for interferences
 - but it provides the source of the largest systematic uncertainty on the mass and width determinations.

Example of the fit with interference: $P_c(4312)^+$ interfering with the broad P_c^+



Results

To determine the relative P_c^+ production rates, fit inclusive $m(J/\psi p)$ obtained with $1/\varepsilon$ event-weights, where ε is the efficiency parameterization in 6D Λ_b^0 decay phase-space (masses and angles)

$$\mathcal{R} \equiv \frac{\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_b^0 \to P_c^+ K^-) \mathcal{B}(P_c^+ \to J/\psi \, p)}{\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_b^0 \to J/\psi \, p K^-)}$$

State	M [MeV $]$	$\Gamma [$ MeV $]$	(95% CL)	$\mathcal{R}~[\%]$
$P_c(4312)^+$	$4311.9\pm0.7^{+6.8}_{-0.6}$	$9.8 \pm 2.7^{+}_{-} ~ {}^{3.7}_{4.5}$	(< 27)	$0.30\pm0.07^{+0.34}_{-0.09}$
$P_c(4440)^+$	$4440.3 \pm 1.3^{+4.1}_{-4.7}$	$20.6 \pm 4.9^{+\ 8.7}_{-10.1}$	(< 49)	$1.11 \pm 0.33^{+0.22}_{-0.10}$
$P_c(4457)^+$	$4457.3 \pm 0.6^{+4.1}_{-1.7}$	$6.4 \pm 2.0^{+}_{-} ~ {}^{5.7}_{1.9}$	(< 20)	$0.53 \pm 0.16^{+0.15}_{-0.13}$

Further information needs the amplitude analysis of the $\Lambda^0 \rightarrow J/\psi p K^-$ decay