Summary of Recent AMPT Developments and Future Directions

> Zi-Wei Lin (林子威) East Carolina University Central China Normal University





The International Workshop on Partonic and Hadronic Transport Approaches for Relativistic Heavy Ion Collisions *May 11-12, 2019, Dalian, China* 

# Outline

- Motivation
- Recent developments to improve AMPT
- Possible future directions

# Outline

- Motivation
- Recent developments to improve AMPT
- Possible future directions

### A Multi-Phase Transport (AMPT)

serves as a comprehensive event generator for heavy ion collisions. So it aims to

evolve the system from initial condition to final observables; include particle productions of different flavours at different  $P_T \& y$ ; keep non-equilibrium features and dynamics

(e.g. intrinsic fluctuations and correlations).



#### Difference between transport and hydro for finite systems

The escape mechanism: anisotropic escape as interaction-induced response to anisotropic geometry



v<sub>2</sub> Ratio AMPT dAu (b=0fm) 0.9••• AMPT AuAu (b=7.3fm) 0.8  $\leftarrow$  MPC AuAu (b=7.3fm)  $\langle v_2 \rangle$ 0.7 0.6 <V<sub>2</sub>>random/ 0.5 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 0 20 10 60 3 40  $\sigma$  (mb) Anisotropic particle escape is dominant contribution of  $v_2$  for small systems & even for semi-central AuAu at RHIC At very large  $\sigma$  or opacity, hydrodynamic collective flow

L He et al. PLB 753 (2016) ZWL et al. NPA 956 (2016)

will be the dominant contribution of  $\boldsymbol{v}_2$ 

# $v_2$ from the escape mechanism has strong flavor dependence

#### ZWL at SQM2017 HL Li et al., PRC 99 (2019)

					T					
		pPb $(b = 0 \text{ fm})$			AuAu $(b = 6.6-8.1 \text{ fm})$			PbPb $(b = 8 \text{ fm})$		
	Quark flavor	u,d	$\mathbf{S}$	с	u,d	s	с	u,d	$\mathbf{S}$	с
	$\langle N_{ m coll}  angle$	2.02	2.54	4.23	4.58	5.45	8.68	9.82	11.14	15.48
	$\sigma_{\Delta\phi}$	0.86	0.55	0.20	1.04	0.70	0.27	1.00	0.70	0.26
	$\sigma_{\Delta\phi} \cdot \sqrt{\langle N_{ m coll}  angle}$	1.22	0.87	0.41	2.23	1.63	0.80	3.13	2.34	1.02
	$\langle v_2 \rangle_{ m Random}$	2.39%	1.89%	1.21%	2.93%	2.27%	0.85%	3.21%	2.23%	0.67%
	$\langle v_2 \rangle_{ m Normal}$	3.28%	3.20%	2.14%	4.47%	4.78%	3.89%	7.56%	8.42%	7.92%
Escape fraction ~	$\langle v_2 \rangle_{\mathrm{Random}} / \langle v_2 \rangle_{\mathrm{Normal}}$	73%	59%	57%	66%	47%	22%	43%	27%	8.5%

- This reflects the difference between kinetic theory/transport model & hydrodynamics for finite opacity/size
- It is important to develop transport model/kinetic theory & compare with hydro to understand the physics of different systems

Heiselberg and Levy 1999; Borghini and Gombeaud 2011; Borghini et al. 2018; Kurkela et al. 2018

#### History: difference between transport model, thermal model, and kinetic equation for finite systems

#### **KAON PRODUCTION IN RELATIVISTIC NUCLEAR COLLISIONS †**

J. RANDRUP and C. M. KO

Nuclear Science Division, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

Received 19 February 1980

Abstract: Kaon production in relativistic nuclear collisions is studied on the basis of a conventional multiple-collision model. The input is the differential cross sections for kaon production in elementary baryon-baryon collisions, estimated in a simple model. Inclusive kaon spectra are calculated at 2.1 GeV/nucleon for a number of experimental cases. The calculated kaon yield is approximately isotropic in the mid-rapidity frame and extends considerably beyond the nucleon-nucleon kinematical limit.

Transport model described the kaon yield in low energy collisions. But thermal model yield is much higher / wrong. Why?

#### Statistical Thermodynamics in Relativistic Particle and Ion Physics: Canonical or Grand Canonical?

#### 5. Conclusions

R. Hagedorn and K. Redlich <sup>1</sup>		We have constructed a description of an ideal relati-				
CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Sw	itzerland	vistic Boltzmann gas which is grand canonical in particle numbers but canonical in $B$ and/or $S$ conservation.				
Received 10 September 1984	Canonical suppression is proposed					
	& thermal n	nodel yield is corrected when Nk is small.				

VOLUME 86, NUMBER 24

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

11 JUNE 2001

#### Kinetic Equation with Exact Charge Conservation

C. M. Ko,<sup>1</sup> V. Koch,<sup>2</sup> Zi-wei Lin,<sup>1</sup> K. Redlich,<sup>2,3</sup> M. Stephanov,<sup>4,5</sup> and Xin-Nian Wang<sup>2</sup>

Kinetic equation is corrected for small systems, analytical understanding of transport model results & canonical suppression *V. Conclusions.*—We have formulated the kinetic master equation for strongly correlated production of particles, where the correlation is due to local charge conservation required by a U(1) internal symmetry. Our general rate equation is valid for an arbitrary value of  $\langle N \rangle$ ; thus it reduces to the grand canonical results for large  $\langle N \rangle$  and to the canonical results for small  $\langle N \rangle$ . Our equation provides

## Parton opacity puzzle Only resolved recently

DM & Gyulassy, NPA 697 ('02):  $v_2(p_T,\chi)$  in Au+Au at RHIC



perturbative  $\sigma_{gg \rightarrow gg} \approx 3$  mb gives  $v_2 \approx 2\% \rightarrow \text{need } 15 \times \text{higher opacity}$ radiative  $gg \leftrightarrow ggg$  helps (e.g., BAMPS)... but AMPT has pure elastic  $2 \rightarrow 2$ 

4) MPC with full AMPT initial conditions (same  $\vec{p}$ ,  $\vec{x}$ , t for each parton)



MPC with  $\sigma = 3$  mb ( $\ell = 1$ ) reproduces the elliptic flow from AMPT!

We need to further develop AMPT model with better & new physics in order to more accurately describe the dense matter evolution (including non-equilibrium effects) and extract its properties

AMPT is also a test-bed of different ideas for the community

- Discovery of the triangular flow  $v_3$  Alver & Roland, PRC 81 (2010)
- Longitudinal (de)correlations of flows Pang et a

• v<sub>2</sub> may be dominated by anisotropic escape but has strong flavor dependence

Pang et al. PRC 91 (2015) & EPJA52 (2016)

Sotropic escapeL He et al. PLB 753 (2016)denceZWL et al. NPA 956 (2016)ZWL at SQM2017HL Li et al. PRC 99 (2019)

 CME signal and background
 Shou, Ma & Ma, PRC 90 (2014)

 Huang, Ma & Ma, PRC 97 (2018)

 HJ Xu et al. PRL 121 (2018), CPC 42 (2018)

 Zhao, Ma & Ma, PRC 97 (2018),

 PRC 99 (2019) & PLB 792 (2019)

 Vorticity & polarization observables
 Vorticity & polarization observables
 H Li et al. PRC 94 (2016) H Li et al. PRC 96 (2017) Lan et al. PLB 780 (2018)

#### AMPT codes are available online since 2004

 $\leftarrow \rightarrow \ {
m C}$  (i) Not Secure | myweb.ecu.edu/linz/ampt/

#### **AMPT source codes**

#### (updated December 25, 2018):

A Multi-Phase Transport (AMPT) model is a Monte Carlo transport model for nuclear collisions at relativistic energies. Each of the following versions contains:

the source codes, an example input file, a Makefile, a readme, a required subdirectory for storing output files, and a script to run the code.

- 1. <u>ampt-v1.11-v2.11.tgz</u> (11/2004)
- 2. <u>ampt-v1.21-v2.21.tgz</u> (10/2008)
- 3. Other older versions inbetween
- 4. <u>ampt-v1.26t5-v2.26t5.zip (</u>4/2015)
- 5. <u>ampt-v1.26t7-v2.26t7.zip (</u>10/2016)
- 6. ampt-v1.26t7b-v2.26t7b.zip (5/2018)
- 7. ampt-v1.26t9-v2.26t9.zip (9/2018)
- 8. <u>ampt-v1.26t9b-v2.26t9b.zip (12/2018)</u>

String Melting AMPT since 4/2015 can reasonably describe the bulk matter at high energies at RHIC and LHC.

This readme file lists the main changes up to version v1.26t9b-v2.26t9b ("t" means a version under test):

AMPT Users' Guide

# Outline

- Motivation
- Recent developments to improve AMPT
- Possible future directions

#### Recent efforts to improve the AMPT model include

- Improvement of quark coalescence He & ZWL, PRC 96 (2017)
- Correction of hadron cascade for charge conservation ZWL & GL Ma
- Include finite nuclear thickness in string-melting AMPT ZWL, PRC 98 (2018) ZWL & Mendenhall
- Implement modern PDF and nuclear shadowing & improvements of heavy flavor productions
- Benchmark and improve ZPC parton cascade
- Extension with chiral dynamics & potentials

ZWL & CCNU/Liu, Shi, Zhang & Zheng

X Zhao & ZWL

J Xu et al.

# Improve AMPT with Modern PDFs of Nuclei

1.4

1.2

0.8

0.6

0.2

10<sup>-6</sup>

10-5

10-4

10-3

10-2

10-1



We now use **CTEQ6.1M** PDFs for free nucleon **EPS09s:** Spatial-dependent nuclear shadowing, has  $Q^2$  evolution arXiv:1903.03292

**Incorporation of modern nPDFs** should improve AMPT on heavy flavor & high  $p_T$  observables:

Stral

 $\frac{d\sigma^{Q\bar{Q}}}{dp_{\rm T}^2 dy_1 dy_2} = K \sum_{a,b} x_1 f_a(x_1,\mu_F^2) x_2 f_b(x_2,\mu_F^2) \frac{d\sigma^{ab\to Q\bar{Q}}}{d\hat{t}}$ 

# $\pi/K/p$ productions in pp

- π and K productions from the new AMPT model are consistent with data.
- AMPT underestimates anti-proton yields and overestimates the proton yields at low colliding energies.



# Nuclear scaling of minijet cutoff $p_0$



~0.16 at ~ $10^{7}$ AGeV

# $\pi/K/p$ productions in AA



for central AA collisions at RHIC and LHC energies

# **Improve Heavy Flavor (HF) Productions**

 $gg \rightarrow gg$  cross section in leading-order pQCD:

is divergent for massless g, so HIJING uses a **minijet cutoff**  $p_0$ for minijets of all flavors.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma_{gg}}{dt} &= \frac{9\pi\alpha_s^2}{2s^2} \left(3 - \frac{ut}{s^2} - \frac{us}{t^2} - \frac{st}{u^2}\right) \\ &\simeq \frac{9\pi\alpha_s^2}{2} \left(\frac{1}{t^2} + \frac{1}{u^2}\right) \simeq \frac{9\pi\alpha_s^2}{2t^2} \end{aligned}$$

But heavy flavor production should not be subject to this cutoff due to the heavy quark mass >>  $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$ , e.g. in FONLL  $q + \bar{q} \rightarrow Q + \bar{Q}, \quad g + g \rightarrow Q + \bar{Q}$ 

So we now remove the  $p_0$  cut on HF productions

# **Charm quark productions in pp**



- Old AMPT charm yield << data
- Removing p<sub>0</sub> greatly enhances charm yield
- Updated AMPT model well describes world data

# **Charm hadron productions in pp**

pp at 7 TeV



• Reasonable agreement with data for various open charm particles

### Charm hadron productions in AA AuAu 200 GeV



- D<sup>0</sup> yield roughly consistent with STAR data
- **D**<sup>0</sup> **spectrum is softer than data**, but depends on parton cross section and flavor excitation process (*not explored yet*)

Density profiles AMPT is modified to take in arbitrary p & n density profiles: HJ Xu et al. PRL 121 (2018), CPC 42 (2018)





Event by event fluctuations

DFT VS WS From Hanlin Li

### An extended AMPT with mean-field potentials



### An extended AMPT with chiral dynamics

#### Structure of AMPT model with string melting



## Improve String Melting AMPT by Including Finite Nuclear Thickness

The Bjorken formula  $\epsilon(\tau) = \frac{1}{\tau_F A_T} \frac{dE_T(\tau)}{dy}$ neglects the finite thickness of (boosted) nuclei  $\Rightarrow$  it is only valid at high energies where crossing time  $d_t \ll \tau_F$ 

$$d_t = \frac{2R_A}{\sinh y_{CM}} = \frac{2R_A}{\gamma \beta}$$

For central Au+Au collisions:

Crossing time

$\sqrt{s_{NN}} (GeV)$	5	11.5	27	50	200
$d_t (fm/c)$	5.3	2.2	0.91	0.49	0.12

→ the Bjorken formula is only valid for  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \gg 50 GeV$  for  $\tau_F = 0.5 fm/c$ 



### Extension of the Bjorken formula: the uniform profile: initial energy (at y~0) is produced uniformly from time $t_1$ to $t_2$

$$\begin{split} \epsilon_{\rm uni}(t) &= \frac{1}{A_{\rm T} t_{21}} \frac{dE_{\rm T}}{dy} \ln\left(\frac{t-t_1}{\tau_{\rm F}}\right), \text{if } t \in [t_1 + \tau_{\rm F}, t_2 + \tau_{\rm F}]; \\ &= \frac{1}{A_{\rm T} t_{21}} \frac{dE_{\rm T}}{dy} \ln\left(\frac{t-t_1}{t-t_2}\right), \text{if } t \geq t_2 + \tau_{\rm F}. \end{split} \qquad t_{21} \equiv t_2 - t_1 \end{split}$$

At low energy:  $t_{21}/\tau_F >> 1$ :





We are incorporating finite thickness into string melting AMPT that has the improved quark coalescence. Ongoing with Yuncun He

# Outline

- Motivation
- Recent developments to improve AMPT
- Possible future directions

Couple AMPT with FRG to explore effects of QCD critical point
Further studies of heavy flavour observables
Validation and improvement of parton cascade

## **AMPT** and **FRG** complement each other

• AMPT: a good dynamical model including important evolution stages of heavyion collisions, can directly compare with experimental observables.



• **FRG**: a non-perturbative **static** QCD approach, well describes QCD phase transitions, and consistent with lattice QCD.

From Wei-Jie Fu



# Plan of combining AMPT with FRG

- Model parton collisions with FRG at finite temperature and chemical potential.
- Relate chiral and deconfinement order parameters to parton potential in AMPT.
- Relate sigma mode near CEP to interaction potential.
- Improve the hadronization in AMPT with FRG phase transition.
- Study hadronic modification of CEP signals.

#### From Wei-Jie Fu

# Further Studies of Heavy Flavor Observables

with CCNU/Shusu Shi et al.



Updated AMPT with modern nPDFs and removal of p0 cutoff provides a good transport model foundation for open heavy flavour. We can study

- HF hadrons together with light hadrons
- ratios like  $\Lambda c/D$  to study quark coalescence picture
- both HF flows and  $R_{AA}$  to extract HF transport property (below the  $p_T$  scale where elastic dE/dx dominates)

## Validation and Improvement of Parton Cascade

Flows like  $v_2 \& v_3$  at high energies mostly comes from the parton cascade in AMPT.

But ZPC/MPC cascade solution of the Boltzmann equation is well known to have causality violation.

Parton subdivision can resolve this problem: but is very CPU-consuming & affects/removes e-by-e fluctuation/correlation.

#### We can

- → study how accurate ZPC is under expected densities from AMPT
- → explore better ways to numerically solve Boltzmann equation
- $\rightarrow$  Then incorporate into AMPT



Zhao Xinli & ZWL

# **Challenges and Opportunities for AMPT**

### **Outstanding physics problems for AMPT:**

- 1) equation of state of the dense/partonic matter
- 2) initial gluons & inelastic parton reactions (*QGP chemical composition*), including jet radiative energy loss
- 3) hadronization (*parton recombination/quark coalescence/fragmentation*)
- 4) potentials (*partonic and hadronic*)
- 5) coupling with vorticity
- 6) coupling with the QCD critical end point
- \*) other

. . .

### → Outstanding problems for AMPT applications/data comparisons:

- $\circ$  extraction of QGP properties like  $\eta$ /s and transport coefficients
- $\circ$  R<sub>AA</sub> & flow at high p<sub>T</sub>, heavy flavor observables
- modern programming for better maintenance & integration to experiments
- how to distinguish escape mechanism/kinetic theory from hydro?
- $\circ$  v<sub>2</sub> splitting at low energies, v<sub>1</sub>
- prediction of polarization observables
- fluctuations from the QCD critical point such as net-baryon cumulants

Challenges and Opportunities for the AMPT Model

- Some are more relevant for lower energies / **BES** energies
- Some are more relevant for higher energies / top RHIC & LHC energies

• It will be beneficial to have coordinated efforts to improve AMPT in one or multiple major areas

#### **Challenges and possible future directions**



### **Challenges and future directions 1: BES**



#### **Challenges and future directions 2: top RHIC & LHC**



### **Challenges and future directions: common areas of 1)&2)**



### **Discussions:**

Your insights and suggestions will be greatly appreciated