The 8th Huada school on QCD @ CCNU, Wuhan, China

Foundations of GW from BNS merger with application to nuclear/hadron physics

Yuichiro Sekiguchi (Toho University)

Introduction

- Era of Gravitational-wave astronomy has come !
- Qualitatively new information provided by GW enabled us
 - Test of Einstein's theory of general relativity as the theory of gravity especially in strong field regimes
 - Test of cosmology via independent estimates of Hubble constant
 - Exploring the physics of dense nuclear matter using GW from binary neutron star (BNS) mergers
- Also, together with observations of electromagnetic signals,
 - The origin of short-hard gamma-ray bursts
 - > The origin of heavy elements, like gold, lanthanides and actinoides
 - Constraining the maximum mass of neutron star by inferring the remnant of BNS merger

Tests of general relativity by BH-BH (1)

10 BH-BH mergers + 3 candidates (S190408an, S190412m, S190503bf)



Tests of general relativity by BH-BH (2)

- Comparison of Post-Newtonian waveform (perturbation expansion in terms of G, v²/c²)
- <u>Upper limit</u> of GW phaseparameter error in each PN order
- -1PN order corresponds to dipolar radiation
 - In GR, GW can be generated only from quadrupolar radiation
 - In some alternative theories, dipolar radiation is predicted



LIGO Virgo Collaboration GWTC-1 paper (2018)



Introduction

- Era of Gravitational-wave astronomy has come !
- Qualitatively new information provided by GW enabled us
 - Test of Einstein's theory of general relativity as the theory of gravity especially in strong field regimes
 - Test of cosmology via independent estimates of Hubble constant
 - Exploring the physics of dense nuclear matter using GW from binary neutron star (BNS) mergers
- Also, together with observations of electromagnetic signals,
 - The origin of short-hard gamma-ray bursts
 - > The origin of heavy elements, like gold, lanthanides and actinoides
 - Constraining the maximum mass of neutron star by inferring the remnant of BNS merger

Test of Cosmology

- Hubble's law
 - $cz = H_0 D_L$
 - z : cosmological redshift
 - D_L : luminosity distance
 - H_0 : Hubble constant
- We have two D_L : of EM and GW luminosities
- They can differ, for

 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.0
- Measurement of H_0 could be used to test Cosmology



Introduction

- Era of Gravitational-wave astronomy has come !
- Qualitatively new information provided by GW enabled us
 - Test of Einstein's theory of general relativity as the theory of gravity especially in strong field regimes
 - Test of cosmology via independent estimates of Hubble constant
 - Exploring the physics of dense nuclear matter using GW from binary neutron star (BNS) mergers
- Also, together with observations of electromagnetic signals,
 - The origin of short-hard gamma-ray bursts
 - > The origin of heavy elements, like gold, lanthanides and actinoides
 - Constraining the maximum mass of neutron star by inferring the remnant of BNS merger

Neutron star structure and EOS

- Deep interiors of NS is still poorly known : many theoretical models
 - Each model predicts its own EOS : NS structure is uniquely determined
 - Model (EOS) ⇒ NS structure



Neutron star structure and EOS

- Deep interiors of NS is still poorly known : many theoretical models
 - Each model predicts its own EOS : NS structure is uniquely determined
 - Model (EOS) ⇒ NS structure
- Inverse problem : <u>NS structure ⇒ constraining the models/EOS</u>



TOV (Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkov) equations

$$\frac{dP}{dr} = -\frac{Gm}{r^2} \left(1 + \frac{P}{\varepsilon}\right) \left(1 + \frac{4\pi r^3 P}{Mc^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r}\right)^{-1}, \qquad \frac{dM}{dr} = 4\pi r^2 \frac{\varepsilon}{c^2}$$

- ▶ put one-to-one correspondence between EOS \Leftrightarrow NS *M*-*R* relation
 - Lindblom ApJ 398, 569 (1992)
- set maximum mass M_{EOS,max} of NS associated with EOS
 - models with $M_{\rm EOS,max}$ not compatible with $M_{\rm obs,max}$ should be discarded



The most massive NS so far

- A pulsar (PSR J1614-2230) White dwarf (WD) binary
 - pulse profile is modified by the gravity of the WD
 - Mass of WD is determined \Rightarrow NS mass is determined (since total mass is known)
 - $M_{NS} \approx 1.93 M_{\odot}$



The most massive NS so far

- A pulsar (PSR J1614-2230) White dwarf (WD) binary
 - pulse profile is modified by the gravity of the WD
 - Mass of WD is determined \Rightarrow NS mass is determined (total mass is known)
 - $M_{NS} \approx 1.93 M_{\odot}$





Constraining NS EOS with GW

- We will consider to extract information of both mass and radius (infact, mass and tidal deformability, a quantity which represent finite-size effect) from GW emitted in NS-NS merger
- ► Then, we can challenge the inverse problem : <u>NS structure ⇒</u> <u>constraining the models/EOS</u>
- We will also consider to put a constraint on the maximum mass of NS using GW from NS-NS merger

Contents

- Aim : Introduce physics of GW from NS-NS in a fundamental viewpoint
- Lecture 1: Linearized theory
 - ▶ GW propagation, TT gauge, polarization of GW (+, and × modes)
 - GW production, quadrupole formula
- Lecture 2: GW from binary system in circular orbit
 - the (point-particle) chirp signal, tidal deformability
 - Post-Newtonian GW and Numerical Relativity
- Lecture 3: Achievement in GW170817
 - Extraction of tidal deformability and its interpretation
 - Current constraint on EOS (combining with EM signals)
- Lecture 4: Future prospects
 - higher density regions, proving hadron-quark transition
 - Importance of numerical relativity

Lecture 1: Linearized Theory

The goals of lecture 1

 Understanding two polarization modes of GW propagation from fundamental viewpoints (using linearized Einstein's equaion)



Lecture 1: Linearized Theory

the linearized Einstein's equations

Derivation of the linearized equation (1)

In general relativity, the spacetime metric g_{ab} is determined by Einstein's equations :



▶ Now we expand g_{ab} in the background Minkowski metric η_{ab} as

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu} \qquad |h_{\mu\nu}| \ll 1$$

and linearize Einstein's equation

Derivation of the linearized equation (2)

 With a straightforward calculation, the linearized Einstein tensor (the left-hand of Einstein's eqs) is

$$2G_{\mu\nu} = -\Box h_{\mu\nu} + \partial^{\rho}\partial_{\mu}h_{\nu\rho} + \partial^{\rho}\partial_{\mu}h_{\nu\rho} - \partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}h - \eta_{\mu\nu}(\partial^{\rho}\partial^{\sigma}h_{\rho\sigma} - \Box h)$$

which can be simplified (by using the so-called trace-reversed tensor)

$$\bar{h}_{\mu\nu} = h_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\nu}h$$

• So as to satisfy $g^{\mu\lambda}g_{\lambda\nu} = \delta^{\mu}_{\nu}$, the inverse metric is given by $g^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu} - h^{\mu\nu}$.

- Note that the indices of tensors can be raised or lowered in linearized theory by the Minkowski metric η^{ab} , η_{ab} : e.g., $h = h^{\mu}_{\mu} = g^{\mu\nu}h_{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu}h_{\mu\nu}$.
- In terms of $\overline{h}_{\mu\nu}$, the linearized Einstein's equations become $\Box \overline{h}_{\mu\nu} + \eta_{\mu\nu} \partial^{\rho} \partial^{\sigma} \overline{h}_{\rho\sigma} - \partial^{\rho} \partial_{\nu} \overline{h}_{\mu\rho} - \partial^{\rho} \partial_{\mu} \overline{h}_{\nu\rho} = -\frac{16\pi G}{c^4} T_{\mu\nu}$
- We can further simplify it by using the <u>gauge degree of freedom</u> of general relativity

Derivation of the linearized equation (3)

• The transformation law of components of the 2nd lank tensor via $x^{\mu} \longrightarrow x'^{\mu}(x)$

$$g_{\mu\nu}(x) \longrightarrow g'_{\mu\nu}(x') = \frac{\partial x^{\rho}}{\partial x'^{\mu}} \frac{\partial x^{\sigma}}{\partial x'^{\nu}} g_{\rho\sigma}$$

gives the linear transformation law of $h_{\mu\nu}$ under the infinitesimal coordinate transformation $x'^{\mu} = x^{\mu} - \xi^{\mu}$, as

$$h_{\mu\nu} \longrightarrow h'_{\mu\nu} = h_{\mu\nu} + \partial_{\mu}\xi_{\nu} + \partial_{\nu}\xi_{\mu} = h_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\eta_{\mu\nu}$$

- Because all observers (all coordinate system) are equivalent in general relativity, $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $g'_{\mu\nu}$ (or $h_{\mu\nu}$ and $h'_{\mu\nu}$) must be equivalent as descriptions of gravitational fields
- This is the gauge degree of freedom of general relativity
- geometrically, this reflects the degree of freedom in description of the perturbed field $h_{\mu\nu}$: from which point $h_{\mu\nu}$ deviates



Derivation of the linearized equation (4)

- We can use this gauge degree of freedom to impose de Donder gauge condition $\partial^{\nu} \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} = 0$
 - Because $\partial^{\nu} \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} \longrightarrow \partial^{\nu} \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} + \Box \xi_{\mu}$, de Donder gauge can be chosen by setting ξ_{μ} to be the solution of $\Box \xi_{\mu} = -\partial^{\nu} \bar{h}_{\mu\nu}$
- In de Donder gauge, the linearized Einstein's equations become simple wave equations :

$$\Box \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} + \eta_{\mu\nu} \partial^{\rho} \partial^{\sigma} \bar{h}_{\rho\sigma} - \partial^{\rho} \partial_{\nu} \bar{h}_{\mu\rho} - \partial^{\rho} \partial_{\mu} \bar{h}_{\nu\rho} = -\frac{16\pi G}{c^4} T_{\mu\nu}$$
$$\implies \Box \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{16\pi G}{c^4} T_{\mu\nu}$$

- Note that the de Donder gauge condition gives 4 conditions, so that $h_{\mu\nu}$ have 6 independent components, not 10
- The above linearized Einstein's equation are the start point to study GW

Lecture 1: Linearized Theory

Propagation of Gravitational Waves

The transverse-traceless gauge (1)

- Outside the source (propagation of GW), we can greatly simplify the form of the metric
 - The following discussion closely parallels the situation in electrodynamics
- First, note that de Donder condition does not fix the gauge completely
 - de Donder gauge condition can be imposed by solving $\Box \xi^{\mu} = -\partial_{\nu} \bar{h}^{\mu\nu}$, but we still have degrees of freedom to add a homogeneous solution $\Box \xi^{\mu} = 0$
- We use these 'extra' degrees of freedom as follows
 - We set $\bar{h} = 0$ (traceless) using the time component ξ^0
 - We set $h_{0i} = 0$ using spatial components ξ^i
- Then $h_{\mu\nu} = \overline{h}_{\mu\nu}$, and de Donder condition gives

$$\partial^{\mu}h_{\mu 0} = \partial^{0}h_{00} + \partial^{i}h_{i0} = \partial^{0}h_{00} = 0$$

which indicates that h_{00} describes a static 'Newtonian' potential outside the source \Rightarrow for GW, we will set $h_{00} = 0$

The transverse-traceless gauge (2)

- Thus, we have $h_{0\mu} = 0$ ($h_{00} = 0$, $h_{0i} = 0$)
- Traceless condition is now $h_i^i = 0$
- de Donder gauge condition is now $0 = \partial^{\nu} h_{\mu\nu} = \partial^{j} h_{ij}$
- To summarize, we have imposed the so-called <u>TT (Transverse-Traceless)</u> <u>gauge condition</u> for GW outside the source :

$$h^{0\mu} = 0 \qquad h^i_i = 0 \qquad \partial^j h_{ij} = 0$$

Note that in the TT gauge, only 2 degrees of freedom remain (6 - 4 = 2)

Propagation of GW in TT gauge (1)

For a plane wave propagating along z direction, in TT gauge, we have

$$h_{ij}^{\rm TT}(t,z) = \begin{pmatrix} h_{+} & h_{\times} & 0\\ h_{\times} & -h_{+} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cos \omega (t - z/c)$$

- What will happen to two nearby test bodies (in geodesics) when GW propagate through them ?
- The separation vector $X^i = (x_0 + \delta x(t), y_0 + \delta y(t))$ obeys the geodesic deviation equation

$$\frac{d^2 X^a}{d\tau^2} = R_{bcd}{}^a X^b u^c u^d \qquad \qquad \frac{d}{d\tau} =$$

 $u^a V_a$

u^a

- Here $R^{\mu}_{\nu\rho\sigma} = \partial_{\rho}\Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\sigma} \partial_{\sigma}\Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\rho} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\rho}\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\nu\sigma} \Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\sigma}\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\nu\rho}$ is Riemann curvature tensor
- For the linearized theory in TT gauge

 $\ddot{X}^{i} = \frac{1}{2} \ddot{h}_{ij}^{TT} X^{j}$ (dot denotes time-derivative)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 X^a}{\partial \tau^2} &= T^c \nabla_c (T^b \nabla_b X^a) \stackrel{(3.8)}{=} T^c \nabla_c (X^b \nabla_b T^a) \\ &= (T^c \nabla_c X^b) (\nabla_b T^a) + X^b T^c \nabla_c \nabla_b T^a \\ &= (X^c \nabla_c T^b) (\nabla_b T^a) + X^b T^c \nabla_b \nabla_c T^a - X^b T^c [\nabla_b \nabla_c T^a - \nabla_c \nabla_b T^a] \\ &= X^c \nabla_c (T^b \nabla_b T^a) + X^b T^c R_{bcd}{}^a T^d \\ &= R_{bcd}{}^a X^b T^c T^d \end{aligned}$$

$$T^a \nabla_a X^b = X^a \nabla_a T^b \tag{3.8}$$

Propagation of GW in TT gauge (2)

It is easy to solve the geodesic deviation equation and we have two solutions $\begin{pmatrix} h_+ & h_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\ddot{X}^{i} = \frac{1}{2} \ddot{h}_{ij}^{TT} X^{j} \qquad h_{ij}^{TT}(t,z) = \begin{pmatrix} h_{+} & h_{\times} & 0 \\ h_{\times} & -h_{+} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cos \omega (t-z/c)$$

For
$$h_+$$
 (+ polarization)
 $\delta \ddot{x} = -\frac{h_+}{2} (x_0 + \delta x) \omega^2 \cos \omega (t - z/c) \approx -\frac{h_+}{2} x_0 \omega^2 \cos \omega (t - z/c)$
 $\delta \ddot{y} = +\frac{h_+}{2} (y_0 + \delta y) \omega^2 \cos \omega (t - z/c) \approx +\frac{h_+}{2} y_0 \omega^2 \cos \omega (t - z/c)$

The solutions are

$$\delta x = +\frac{h_+}{2} x_0 \cos \omega (t - z/c)$$
$$\delta y = -\frac{h_+}{2} y_0 \cos \omega (t - z/c)$$

For h_×(× polarization)

$$\delta x = \frac{h_{\times}}{2} y_0 \cos \omega (t - z/c)$$
$$\delta y = \frac{h_{\times}}{2} x_0 \cos \omega (t - z/c)$$

Propagation of GW in TT gauge (3)

▶ For h₊(+ polarization)

$$\delta x = +\frac{h_+}{2}x_0\cos\omega(t-z/c)$$

$$\delta y = -\frac{h_+}{2} y_0 \cos \omega (t - z/c)$$

• For $h_{\times}(\times \text{ polarization})$

$$\delta x = \frac{h_{\times}}{2} y_0 \cos \omega (t - z/c)$$

$$\delta y = \frac{h_{\times}}{2} x_0 \cos \omega (t - z/c)$$





Propagation of GW in TT gauge (4)

Somewhat different from naïve notion of ripples in spacetime

© LIGO/Caltech

Propagation of GW in TT gauge (4)

Somewhat different from naïve notion of ripples in spacetime



Generalization

- Given a plane wave GW solution $h_{\mu\nu}$ propagating in the direction \vec{n} , we can find solution in the TT gauge as follows
- First we introduce a projection tensor : $P_{ij}(\mathbf{n}) = \delta_{ij} n_i n_j$
 - Note that this tensor is symmetric and transverse $(n^i P_{ij} = 0)$
 - Projection means $P_{ik}P_{kj} = P_{ij}$
- Then we construct a projection tensor

$$\Lambda_{ij,kl}(\boldsymbol{n}) = P_{ik}P_{jl} - \frac{1}{2}P_{ij}P_{kl}$$

- Which is transverse on all indices : $n^i \Lambda_{ij,kl} = n^j \Lambda_{ij,kl} = \cdots = 0$
- Traceless with respect to (i,j) and (k,l)

• Explicitly
$$\Lambda_{ij,kl} = \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl} - n_jn_l\delta_{ik} - n_in_k\delta_{jl} + \frac{1}{2}n_kn_l\delta_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}n_in_j\delta_{kl} + \frac{1}{2}n_in_jn_kn_l$$

The GW in the TT gauge is given by

$$h_{ij}^{\rm TT} = \Lambda_{ij,kl} h_k$$

Energy (& momentum) carried by GW (1)

- Let us regard the linearized gravity h_{ab} as a classical field on Minkowski spacetime
- Then, by Noether's theorem, we can derive the canonical energy momentum tensor for GW from the Einstein-Hilbert action

$$S_G = \frac{c^3}{16\pi G} \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} R \qquad \qquad -g = \det(-g_{\mu\nu}) : \text{determinant of the metric} \\ R = g^{ab} R_{ab} : \text{Ricci tensor}$$

A messy calculation gives

$$S_G = -\frac{c^3}{64\pi G} \int d^4x \left[\partial_\mu h_{\alpha\beta} \partial^\mu h^{\alpha\beta} - \partial_\mu h \partial^\mu h + 2\partial_\mu h^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu h - 2\partial_\mu h^{\mu\nu} \partial_\rho h^\rho_\nu \right]$$

Then, we obtain (canonical) energy-momentum tensor for $h_{\mu\nu}$, as

$$t^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_{\mu}h_{\alpha\beta})}\partial^{\nu}h_{\alpha\beta} + \eta^{\alpha\beta}\mathcal{L} = \frac{c^{4}}{32\pi G} \left\langle \partial^{\mu}h^{\alpha\beta}\partial^{\nu}h_{\alpha\beta} \right\rangle$$

Where we used Einstein's equation □h_{µv} = 0 and de Donder condition
 ⟨ ⟩ denotes an average over GW wavelength (for completeness)

Energy (& momentum) carried by GW (2)

- Let us compute the energy flux of GWs, t^{0r}
- The conservation of the energy-momentum tensor $\partial_{\mu}t^{\mu\nu} = 0$ implies

$$\int d^3x \left(\partial_0 t^{00} + \partial_i t^{0i}\right) = 0$$

- Integration is taken over a volume V, bounded by a surface S
- In terms of the energy inside the volume V in TT gauge, $E = \int d^3x t^{00}$ the conservation of energy becomes

$$\frac{1}{c}\frac{dE}{dt} = -\int d^3x \,\partial_i t^{0i} = -\int dS \,n_i t^{0i} = -\int dS \,t^{0r}$$

where
$$t^{0r} = \frac{c^4}{32\pi G} \left\langle \partial^0 h^{TT,ij} \partial^r h^{TT}_{ij} \right\rangle = \frac{c^3}{32\pi G} \left\langle \partial^t h^{TT,ij} \partial^r h^{TT}_{ij} \right\rangle \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial x^0} = \frac{\partial}{\partial(ct)}$$

We are interested in the energy flux in a far distance from the source for which a more useful expression can be derived

Energy (& momentum) carried by GW (3)

For GWs propagating radially outward, $h_{ij}^{TT}(t,r) = \frac{1}{r} f_{ij}(t-r/c)$, then

$$\partial^r h_{ij}^{TT} = \partial_r h_{ij}^{TT} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial f_{ij}}{\partial r} - \frac{f_{ij}}{r^2} = -\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial f_{ij}}{\partial (ct)} - \frac{f_{ij}}{r^2}$$
$$= -\partial_0 h_{ij}^{TT} + O(r^{-1}) = +\partial^0 h_{ij}^{TT} + O(r^{-1})$$

So that at large distances,

$$t^{0r} = \frac{c^4}{32\pi G} \left\langle \partial^0 h^{TT,ij} \partial^r h^{TT}_{ij} \right\rangle = \frac{c^2}{32\pi G} \left\langle \dot{h}^{TT,ij} \dot{h}^{TT}_{ij} \right\rangle$$

Thus the energy flux of GW is

$$\frac{1}{c}\frac{dE}{dt} = -\int dS \, t^{0r} \qquad \Longrightarrow$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dP_{\text{gw}}}{d\Omega} &\equiv -\frac{r^2 dE}{dS dt} = \frac{r^2 c^3}{32\pi G} \langle \dot{h}^{TT,ij} \dot{h}^{TT}_{ij} \rangle \\ &= \frac{r^2 c^3}{32\pi G} \langle \dot{h}^2_+ + \dot{h}^2_\times \rangle \end{aligned}$$

This formula will be used in deriving GW from a binary system in lecture 2

Lecture 1: Linearized Theory

Generation of Gravitational Waves

Multipole and low velocity expansion (1)

- Let us start from the following qualitative consideration
- Let ω_s : the typical frequency of the motion inside the source d: the source size, then the typical velocity will be $v \sim \omega_s d$
- The frequency of GW will be $\omega_{\rm gw} \sim \omega_s \sim v/d$, so that GW wavelength is $\lambda_{\rm gw} = c/\omega_{\rm gw} \sim d(c/v)$

which is much larger than the source size ($\lambda_{gw} \gg d$) for low velocity

- This implies that generation of GW will not depends on fine features, but determined by coarse/bulk features of the source
 - ⇒ <u>lower-order multipoles will contribute to GW in lower velocity sources</u> (we will explicitly derive in below)

Multipole and low velocity expansion (2)

In the linearized theory, generation of GWs is governed by the linearized eq.

$$\Box \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{16\pi G}{c^4} T_{\mu\nu}$$

The solution is given using the retarded Green's function as

$$\bar{h}_{\mu\nu}(t, \boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{4G}{c^4} \int d^3 x' \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'|} T_{\mu\nu}(t_{\text{ret}}, \boldsymbol{x}')$$

• where $t_{\text{ret}} = t - |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|/c$ is the retardation time

• We are interested in GWs far from the source, so that with $n^i = x^i/r$,

$$h_{ij}(t, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{r} \frac{4G}{c^4} \int d^3 x' T_{ij} \left(t - \frac{r}{c} + \frac{\mathbf{x}' \cdot \mathbf{n}}{c}, \mathbf{x}' \right) \qquad |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'| = r - x'^i n_i + O(1/r)$$

Now we we write T_{ij} in terms of its Fourier transform

$$T_{ij}\left(t - \frac{r}{c} + \frac{\boldsymbol{x}' \cdot \boldsymbol{n}}{c}, \boldsymbol{x}'\right) = \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \tilde{T}(\omega, \boldsymbol{k}) \exp\left[-i\omega\left(t - \frac{r}{c} + \frac{\boldsymbol{x}' \cdot \boldsymbol{n}}{c}\right) + i\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}'\right]$$

Multipole and low velocity expansion (3)

$$T_{ij}\left(t - \frac{r}{c} + \frac{\boldsymbol{x}' \cdot \boldsymbol{n}}{c}, \boldsymbol{x}'\right) = \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \tilde{T}(\omega, \boldsymbol{k}) \exp\left[-i\omega\left(t - \frac{r}{c} + \frac{\boldsymbol{x}' \cdot \boldsymbol{n}}{c}\right) + i\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}'\right]$$

- Here we note that
 - \tilde{T}_{ij} will be peaked around the typical frequency ω_s
 - Integration is restricted within the source size : |x'| < d

then, we have for low velocity sources

$$\frac{\omega}{c} \boldsymbol{x}' \cdot \boldsymbol{n} \lesssim \frac{\omega_s}{c} d \sim \frac{v}{c} \ll 1$$

Then, in the right-hand-side, we may expand

$$\exp\left[-i\omega\left(t-\frac{r}{c}+\frac{\boldsymbol{x}'\cdot\boldsymbol{n}}{c}\right)\right] = e^{-i\omega(t-r/c)}\left[1-\frac{i\omega}{c}x_i'n^i+\frac{1}{2}\left(-\frac{i\omega}{c}\right)^2(x_i'n^i)(x_j'n^j)+\cdots\right]$$

Substituting to the Fourier transform, we obtain

$$T_{ij}\left(t-\frac{r}{c}+\frac{\boldsymbol{x}'\cdot\boldsymbol{n}}{c},\boldsymbol{x}'\right) \approx T_{ij}\left(t-\frac{r}{c},\boldsymbol{x}'\right) + \frac{1}{c}x'_kn^k\partial_0T_{ij} + \frac{1}{2c^2}x'_kx'_ln^kn^l\partial_0^2T_{ij} + \cdots$$

• Note that there is no mention to the low velocity nature if we regard the above as a result of the direct expansion for small $x' \cdot n/r$

Multipole and low velocity expansion (4)

$$h_{ij}(t, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{r} \frac{4G}{c^4} \int d^3 x' T_{ij} \left(t - \frac{r}{c} + \frac{\mathbf{x}' \cdot \mathbf{n}}{c}, \mathbf{x}' \right)$$
$$T_{ij} \left(t - \frac{r}{c} + \frac{\mathbf{x}' \cdot \mathbf{n}}{c}, \mathbf{x}' \right) \approx T_{ij} \left(t - \frac{r}{c}, \mathbf{x}' \right) + \frac{1}{c} x'_k n^k \partial_0 T_{ij} + \frac{1}{2c^2} x'_k x'_l n^k n^l \partial_0^2 T_{ij} + \cdots$$

Let us define moments

$$S^{ij} = \int d^3x \, T^{ij} \left(t - \frac{r}{c}, \mathbf{x} \right), \quad S^{ij,k} = \int d^3x \, T^{ij} \left(t - \frac{r}{c}, \mathbf{x} \right) x^k, \quad S^{ij,kl} = \int d^3x \, T^{ij} \left(t - \frac{r}{c}, \mathbf{x} \right) x^k x^l$$

then, we get

$$\bar{h}_{ij}^{\rm TT}(t, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{4G}{c^4} \frac{1}{r} \Lambda_{ij,kl} \left[S^{kl} + \frac{1}{c} n_m \dot{S}^{kl,m} + \frac{1}{2c^2} n_m n_p \ddot{S}^{kl,mp} + \cdots \right]$$

- This is multipole formula for GW generation in linearized theory
- Note again that higher multipoles corresponds to higher order in v/c

Quadrupole formula (1)

$$\bar{h}_{ij}^{\rm TT}(t, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{4G}{c^4} \frac{1}{r} \Lambda_{ij,kl} \left[S^{kl} + \frac{1}{c} n_m \dot{S}^{kl,m} + \frac{1}{2c^2} n_m n_p \ddot{S}^{kl,mp} + \cdots \right]$$

- The multipole formula is not very useful because it is given in terms of moments of matter stress tensor T_{ij}
- Using the conservation law \$\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0\$, in the leading order, we can rewrite it using mass (energy) moment \$M\$, \$M^{i}\$, \$M^{ij}\$, ... \$c^{2}M(t) = \$\int d^{3}x T^{00}(t, x)\$, \$c^{2}M^{i}(t) = \$\int d^{3}x T^{00}(t, x)x^{i}\$, \$c^{2}M^{ij}(t) = \$\int d^{3}x T^{00}(t, x)x^{i}x^{j}\$, \$cP^{i}(t) = \$\int d^{3}x T^{0i}(t, x)\$, \$c P^{i,j}(t) = \$\int d^{3}x T^{0i}(t, x)x^{j}\$, \$c P^{i,jk}(t) = \$\int d^{3}x T^{0i}(t, x)x^{j}x^{k}\$, Because \$\partial_{0}T^{i0} = -\partial_{k}T^{ik}\$ and \$\partial_{0}T^{00} = -\partial_{k}T^{0k}\$, \$c\partial_{0}P^{i,j} = \$\int d^{3}x x^{j}\partial_{0}T^{i0} = -\$\int d^{3}x x^{j}\partial_{k}T^{ik} = \$\int d^{3}x (\partial_{k}x^{j})T^{ik} = \$\int d^{3}x \delta_{k}^{j}T^{ik} = S^{ij}\$.

$$c^2 \partial_0 M^{ij} = -\int d^3 x \, x^i x^j \partial_k T^{0k} = \int d^3 x \, T^{0k} \partial_k \left(x^i x^j \right) = c \left(P^{i,j} + P^{j,i} \right)$$

so we obtain

$$2S^{ij} = c^2 \partial_0^2 M^{ij} = \ddot{M}^{ij}$$

Quadrupole formula (2)

Thus, for the leading order, GW are generated by the 2nd time derivative of mass quadrupole moment

$$h_{ij}^{\text{TT, quad}} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{2G}{c^4} [\ddot{M}^{ij}]^{TT} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{2G}{c^4} \Lambda_{ij,kl} \ddot{Q}^{kl} (t - r/c)$$

where

$$Q^{ij} \equiv M^{ij} - \frac{1}{3}\delta^{ij}M_k^k = \int d^3x \rho(t, \boldsymbol{x}) \left(x^i x^j - \frac{1}{3}r^2\delta^{ij} \right)$$

- This is the quadrupole formula
- Order of magnitude estimate gives

$$h_{ij} \sim \epsilon \frac{1}{r} \frac{GMR^2}{c^4} \omega_s^2 \sim \epsilon \frac{1}{r} \frac{GMR^2}{c^4} \left(\frac{v}{R}\right)^2 \sim \epsilon \frac{R}{r} \frac{GM}{c^2 R} \left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2$$

• (nearby $R \sim r$), Non-spherical ($\epsilon \sim 1$), high-velocity ($v \sim c$), strong-gravity ($GM/c^2R \sim 1$) phenomena are promising sources of GW