The 8<sup>th</sup> Huada School on QCD in Central China Normal University May 6 - May 10, 2019

# Constraint on NS maximum mass from multi-messenger data of NS-NS mergers

## He Gao (高鹤)

#### Department of Astronomy, Beijing Normal University 北京师范大学 - 天文系

**Collaborators:** Bing Zhang, Zi-Gao Dai, Xue-Feng Wu, Yun-Wei Yu, Hou-Jun Lv, Ang Li, Zhou-Jian Cao, Shunke Ai...





## **GW170817: First NS-NS Merger Detection**





#### **Multi-Messenger**

**GW** detector triggered;

**1.7s** later, Fermi / GBM Detect a Short GRB;

10 hours later, an optical IR counterpart detected;

9 days later, Chandra find a X-ray counterpart;

**16 days later, VLA detected a radio counterpart.** 

## BNS mergers could be used to …

#### Measure Hubble Constant H<sub>0</sub>

Abbott et al. 2017 Nature; Chen et al .2018 Nature; Remya et al. 2018 PRD; Valentino et al. 2018 PRD; Fishbach et al 2019 ApJ; Feeney et al. 2019 PRL ...

#### Test Gravity Theory

Baker et al. 2017 PRL; Langlois et al .2018 PRD; Amendola et al. 2018 PRL; Mendes & Ortiz. 2018 PRL; Burrage 2018 LRR; Arai & Nishizawa 2018 PRD...

#### Constrain NS EoS

Abbott et al. 2018 PRL; Annala et al .2018 PRL; Radice et al. 2018 ApJ; Bauswein et al. 2018 ApJ; Tews et al. 2018 PRC; Malik et al. 2018 PRC...

#### Study the Origin of Heavy Elements Kasen et al .2017 Nature; Pian et al. 2017 Nature; Drout et al. 2017 Science; Cote et al. 2018 ApJ; Hotokezaka et ak. 2018 IJMPD; Cote et al. 2019 ApJ...

Study the Properties of Short Gamma-ray Bursts Goldstein et al .2017 ApJL; Zhang et al. 2018 NC; Meng et al. 2018 ApJ; Lazzati et al. 2018 RPL; Troja et al. 2018 ApJ; Ghirlanda et al. 2019 Science ...

#### Neutron Star / Quark Star Equation of State



In contrast to mass determinations, there are no high-accuracy radius measurements. Moreover, there are no radius measurements for any neutron star with a precise mass determination. Many astrophysical observations that could lead to the extraction of neutron star radii, or combined mass and radius constraints, have been proposed. These observations include the following.

- 1. Thermal X-ray and optical fluxes from isolated and quiescent neutron stars (78).
- 2. Type I X-ray bursts on neutron star surfaces (79).
- 3. Quasi-periodic oscillations from accreting neutron stars (80).
- 4. Spin-orbit coupling, observable through pulsar timing in extremely compact binaries, leading to moments of inertia (81).
- 5. Pulsar glitches, which constrain properties of neutron star crusts (82).
- 6. Cooling following accretion episodes in quiescent neutron stars that also constrain crusts (83).
- 7. Neutron star seismology from X-rays observed from flares from soft  $\gamma$ -ray repeaters (84).
- Pulse profiles in X-ray pulsars, which constrain *M/R* ratios due to gravitational light bending (85).

9. Gravitational radiation from tidal disruption of merging neutron stars (7).

10. Neutrino signals from proto-neutron stars formed in Galactic supernovae (72).

#### Neutron Star / Quark Star Equation of State



Gravitational waves provide
 tidal deformability of NS, which
 could constain NS radius.



Annala, 2018, PRL

#### Neutron Star / Quark Star Equation of State



Sufficiently large observed NS masses could set interesting lower limits to M<sub>TOV</sub>, which could help ruling out soft EoSs.

#### Neutron Star / Quark Star Equation of State



Sufficiently large observed NS masses could set interesting lower limits to M<sub>TOV</sub>, which could help ruling out soft EoSs.



#### Neutron Star / Quark Star Equation of State



BNS mergers are proposed to place stringent constraints on
NS maximum mass, it could be lower limits or upper limits.



## **NS M<sub>TOV</sub> constraint from NS-NS mergers**



## **Merger Remnant Mass M**<sub>s</sub>







#### **GW170817 Optical Observation**

# Current GW detectors cannot determine remnant being NS/BH

Search for gravitational waves from a long-lived remnant of the binary neutron star merger GW170817

THE LIGO SCIENTIFIC COLLABORATION AND THE VIRGO COLLABORATION

(Dated: 2018-10-05; report no. LIGO-P1800195)

#### ABSTRACT

One unanswered question about the binary neutron star coalescence GW170817 is the nature of its postmerger remnant. A previous search for post-merger gravitational waves targeted high-frequency signals from a possible neutron star remnant with a maximum signal duration of 500 s. Here we revisit the neutron star remnant scenario with a focus on longer signal durations up until the end of the second Advanced LIGO-Virgo observing run, 8.5 days after the coalescence of GW170817. The main physical scenario for such emission is the power-law spindown of a massive magnetar-like remnant. We use four independent search algorithms with varying degrees of restrictiveness on the signal waveform and different ways of dealing with noise artefacts. In agreement with theoretical estimates, we find no significant signal candidates. Through simulated signals, we quantify that with the current detector sensitivity, nowhere in the studied parameter space are we sensitive to a signal from more than 1 Mpc away, compared to the actual distance of 40 Mpc. This study however serves as a

## Improving GW detector or post-merger waveforms



frequency [kHz]

log spectral amplitude at 50 Mpc

Easter et al. 2018

## **EM signature when remnant being BH**



#### Short GRB Multi-wavelength afterglow ~hours, days Li-Paczyński Nova (Macronova, Kilonova) Optical flare ~ days, weeks Li & Paczyński, 1998 Metzger et al .2010 **Ejecta-ISM** interaction shock Radio

Nakar & Piran, 2011

 $\sim$ years

Metzger & Berger (2012)

## **EM signature when remnant being NS**



#### **SGRB?**

Late central engine activity ~Plateau & X-ray flare **Orphan X-ray Plateau** 1000 ~10000 s

Zhang, 2013 ApJL

Li-Paczyński Nova 🔿 Merger-Nova

Yu, Zhang & Gao 2013 ApJL

Ejecta-ISM shock with Energy Injection

Multi-band transient, ~hours to even years

Gao+ 2013 ApJ, Wang & Dai 2013 ApJL



#### **Evidence for BH as remnant:**

- There was a GRB
- Kilonova is "kilo" and the kinetic energy inferred from sGRB or ejecta afterglow is small (A supramassive NS would inject a huge mount of energy to the ejected material, and GRB jet (~10<sup>52</sup>ergs)
- No evidence of a magnetar (e.g. no internal plateau in X-ray)

#### If you believe:

- Long-lived NS never be the central engine for any GRB
- Secular GW radiation would not take away too much energy



#### **Evidence for NS as remnant:**

- X-ray plateau with/without SGRB association
- Kilonova (10<sup>41</sup>erg/s) becomes 10-100 times brighter (10<sup>42-43</sup>erg/s) or kinetic energy inferred from sGRB or ejecta afterglow is large (~10<sup>52</sup>ergs)
- Late central engine activity, such as late X-ray flare

#### If you believe:

- BH central engine can never give internal plateau signature in X-ray
- There are upper limits for the mass of ejected material, so that there are upper limits for the bright of r-process powered kilonova

#### **NS vs BH: SGRB with plateau feature in X-ray afterglow**



Swift 10 years: 96 SGRBs
30/96 (32%) with plateau,

21/96 (22%) with "internal plateau"



#### **NS vs BH: Kilonova becomes 10-100 times brighter**

10



**21 SGRBs with internal plateau;** 3/21 with high quality late data and z measurement; 3/3 show brighter kilonova



## **GW170817: cannot determine BH or NS**



Time since gravitational-wave trigger (days)

## X-ray observation starts too late



## Optical luminosity is just in between BH and NS cases

### **Future GW Cases**



**Early X-ray afterglow observation** 

## What's M<sub>TOV</sub> from GW170817 / GRB 170817A?

- □ M<sub>TOV</sub> <~ 2.5 M<sub>☉</sub>: if the remnant was a BH or hypermassive NS;
- □ M<sub>TOV</sub> ~ (2.3-2.4) M<sub>☉</sub>: if the remnant was a supramassive magnetar with "typical" parameters surviving ~300 seconds;
- □ M<sub>TOV</sub> ~ (2.3-2.5) M<sub>☉</sub>: if the remnant was still active ~1 day
- □ M<sub>TOV</sub> ~ 2.5 M<sub>☉</sub>: if active at ~155 days, unless the NS parameters are very abnormal (low B, low ∈) Ai et al. (2019)



### Constraints on $M_{TOV}$ with SGRB Data

- Part of (or all) SGRBs are from NS-NS mergers
- □ Given mass distribution of NS-NS systems
- □ Internal plateau indicates supra-massive NS as merger remnant



**M<sub>TOV</sub>:** maximum gravitational mass for a nonrotating **NS** 

M<sub>max</sub>: maximum gravitational mass

EoS Parameterization Lasky et al., 2014

$$M_{\rm max} = M_{\rm TOV} (1 + \alpha P^{\beta})$$

 $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are functions of  $M_{TOV}$ , NS radius (R) and moment of inertia (I)

### Constraints on $M_{TOV}$ with SGRB Data

- Part of (or all) SGRBs are from NS-NS mergers
- Given mass distribution of NS-NS systems
- □ Internal plateau indicates supra-massive NS as merger remnant



**M<sub>TOV</sub>:** maximum gravitational mass for a nonrotating **NS** 

**M**<sub>max</sub>: maximum gravitational mass

EoS Parameterization Lasky et al., 2014

$$M_{\rm max} = M_{\rm TOV} (1 + \alpha P^{\beta})$$

 $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are functions of  $M_{TOV}$ , NS radius (R) and moment of inertia (I)

## Mass Distribution of NS-NS Systems

#### Cosmological NS-NS systems have the same mass distribution as the observed Galactic system;

Neutron Star – Neutron Star Binaries (mean = 1.325  $M_{\odot}$ , weighted mean = 1.403  $M_{\odot}$ )

$1.338^{+0.002}_{-0.338}$	z (20)	J1829+2456 (c)	$1.256^{+0.346}_{-0.003}$	z (20)
$1.608^{+0.066}_{-0.608}$	A (21)	J1811-1736 (c)	$0.941^{+0.787}_{-0.021}$	A (21)
$1.694^{+0.012}_{-0.694}$	B (22)	J1906+07 (c)	$0.912^{+0.710}_{-0.004}$	B (22)
$0.72^{+0.51}_{-0.58}$	C (23)	J1518+4904 (c)	$2.00^{+0.58}_{-0.51}$	C (23)
$1.3332^{+0.0010}_{-0.0010}$	K (24)	$1534{+}12$ (c)	$1.3452^{+0.0010}_{-0.0010}$	K (24)
$1.4398^{+0.0002}_{-0.0002}$	q (25)	1913+16 (c)	$1.3886^{+0.0002}_{-0.0002}$	q (25)
$1.358^{+0.010}_{-0.010}$	x (26)	2127+11C (c)	$1.354^{+0.010}_{-0.010}$	x (26)
$1.3381^{+0.0007}_{-0.0007}$	i (27)	J0737-3039B	$1.2489^{+0.0007}_{-0.0007}$	i (27)
$1.312^{+0.017}_{-0.017}$	J (28)	J1756-2251 (c)	$1.258^{+0.017}_{-0.017}$	J (28)
	$\begin{array}{r} 1.338\substack{+0.002\\-0.338}\\ 1.608\substack{+0.066\\-0.608}\\ 1.694\substack{+0.012\\-0.694}\\ 0.72\substack{+0.51\\-0.58}\\ 1.3332\substack{+0.0010\\-0.0010}\\ 1.4398\substack{+0.0002\\-0.0002}\\ 1.358\substack{+0.0002\\-0.0007}\\ 1.3381\substack{+0.0007\\-0.007}\\ 1.312\substack{+0.017\\-0.017}\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Lattimer & Prakash (2010)

### Constraints on $M_{TOV}$ with SGRB Data

#### **EoS Parameterization**

$$M_{\max} = M_{TOV} (1 + \alpha P^{\beta})$$
$$M_{\max} : \qquad \text{maximum gravitational mass}$$

- *M<sub>TOV</sub>*: maximum gravitational mass for a nonrotating NS
  - *P*: spin period



#### Gao et al., 2016 PRD, 93, 044065 Li et al., 2016 PRD, 94, 083010



Bottom line:  $M_{TOV} > \sim 2.3 M_{\odot}$ 

## **Mass Distribution of NS-NS Systems**





Monte Carlo simulation results based on the NS-NS redshift distribution and population synthesis method are consistent with MW.

## Summary

- Era of GW Astronomy has been Opened
- GW-EM joint detection of NS-NS merger event could make tight constraints on NS maximum mass
  - GW+EM give remnant mass
  - GW/EM determine remnant being BH/NS
- Short GRB data only could also make constraints on NS maximum mass
  - Known NS-NS mass distribution
  - Fraction of SGRBs with Internal plateau
  - □ Current data favors M<sub>TOV</sub>~2.3-2.4M<sub>☉</sub>

#### Thanks for the attention !

## **Identifiable EM signature for NS**

