



#### **Quantifying CME in Heavy Ion Collisions**









# Beam Energy Scan: Mapping Out Phases of QCD



\* Establishing a chiral QGP at higher energy via anomalous chiral effects \* Searching for chiral critical point & 1st-order transition at lower energy

NSFC Key Projects: Fudan, SDU, THU, USTC, CCNU,...



Beam Energy Scan Theory (BEST) Collaboration: BNL, IU, LBNL, McGill U, Michigan State U, MIT, NCSU, OSU, Stony Brook U, U Chicago, U Conn, U Huston, UIC

## A Recent Review: Collectivity, Criticality, Chirality

Mapping the Phases of Quantum Chromodynamics with Beam Energy Scan

Adam Bzdak<sup>a</sup>, ShinIchi Esumi<sup>b</sup>, Volker Koch<sup>c</sup>, Jinfeng Liao<sup>d,e</sup>, Mikhail Stephanov<sup>f</sup>, Nu Xu<sup>c,e</sup>

<sup>a</sup>AGH University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Physics and Applied Computer Science, 30-059 Krakow, Poland
 <sup>b</sup>Tomonaga Center for the History of the Universe, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305, Japan
 <sup>c</sup>Nuclear Science Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA
 <sup>d</sup>Physics Department and Center for Exploration of Energy and Matter, Indiana University, 2401 N Milo B. Sampson Lane, Bloomington, IN 47408, USA
 <sup>e</sup>Institute of Particle Physics and Key Laboratory of Quark & Lepton Physics (MOE), Central China Normal University, Wuhan, 430079, China <sup>f</sup>Physics Department, University of Illinois at Chicago, 845 W. Taylor St., Chicago IL 60607-7059, USA

#### Abstract

We review the present status of the search for a phase transition and critical point as well as anomalous transport phenomena in Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD), with an emphasis on the Beam Energy Scan program at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider at Brookhaven National Laboratory. We present the conceptual framework and discuss the observables deemed most sensitive to a phase transition, QCD critical point, and anomalous transport, focusing on fluctuation and correlation measurements. Selected experimental results for these observables together with those characterizing the global properties of the systems created in heavy ion collisions are presented. We then discuss what can be already learned from the currently available data about the QCD critical point and anomalous transport as well as what additional measurements and theoretical developments are needed in order to discover these phenomena.

Keywords: Heavy Ion Collision, Beam Energy Scan, QCD Phase Diagram, Critical Point, Chiral Magnetic Effect

#### arXiv:1906.00936

## INT Program 2020

Chirality and Criticality: Novel Phenomena in Heavy Ion Collisions

May 11 — June 5, 2020 (May18~22 workshop week)

Organizers: J. Liao, M. Stephanov, H.-U. Yee, Z. Xu



A New Paradigm: Quantum Fluid of Spin A nearly perfect fluid (of energy-momentum)



#### What happens to the spin DoF in the fluid???



## Spin: Chirality, Vorticity and Magnetic Field



## **QCD** Matter under New Extreme Conditions



# Chirality 2019 @ Tsinghua Beijing, Apr 2019



~100 people, 4.5 days

Chirality 2015,2016,2017 @ UCLA Chirality 2018 @ Univ. Florence Chirality 2019 @ Tsinghua Univ.

## Interdisciplinary Interests



#### Chiral magnetic effect in ZrTe₅

Qiang Li<sup>1\*</sup>, Dmitri E. Kharzeev<sup>2,3\*</sup>, Cheng Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Yuan Huang<sup>4</sup>, I. Pletikosić<sup>1,5</sup>, A. V. Fedorov<sup>6</sup>, R. D. Zhong<sup>1</sup>, J. A. Schneeloch<sup>1</sup>, G. D. Gu<sup>1</sup> and T. Valla<sup>1\*</sup>

Condensed matter, cold atomic gases, neutron stars, cosmology, plasma physics, etc [Chiral Matter workshops @ RIKEN, NTU]

## **Recent Reviews on Chirality Related Topic**



#### Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 88, 1 (2016)[arXiv:1511.04050 [hep-ph]].

Fukushima, arXiv:1812.08886, PPNP2019. Zhao, Wang, arXiv:1906.11413, PPNP2019. Hattori, Huang, Nucl. Sci. Tech., 28 (2017) no.2, 26. Chiral Magnetic Effect: Many-Body Physics of Chirality, Anomaly, Topology

## **Chiral Symmetry Restoration**

\* Spontaneously broken chiral symmetry in the vacuum is a fundamental property of QCD.



\* A chirally symmetric quark-gluon plasma at high temperature is an equally fundamental property of QCD!

**Could we see direct experimental evidence for that?** 

### Chiral Symmetry: Quantum Anomaly Chiral anomaly is a fundamental aspect of QFT with chiral fermions.

Classical symmetry:

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= i ar{\Psi} \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \Psi \ \mathcal{L} & o i ar{\Psi}_L \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \Psi_L + i ar{\Psi}_R \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \Psi_R \ \Lambda_A &: \Psi o e^{i \gamma_5 heta} \Psi \ \partial_\mu J_5^\mu &= 0 \end{aligned}$$



A

Broken at QM level:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\mu}J_{5}^{\mu} &= C_{A}\vec{E}\cdot\vec{B} \\ \frac{dQ_{5}}{dt} &= \int_{\vec{x}}C_{A}\vec{E}\cdot\vec{B} \end{aligned}$$

\* C\_A is universal anomaly coefficient\* Anomaly is intrinsically QUANTUM effect

[e.g. pi0—> 2 gamma]

## From Gluon Topology to Quark Chirality



$$N_5(t \to +\infty) - N_5(t \to -\infty) = \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} \int dt d^3 \mathbf{r} \, G_a^{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}_{\mu\nu}^a$$

14

QCD anomaly: gluon topology -> chirality imbalance  $N_R - N_L = N_5 = 2Q_w$ 

Net chirality <-> topo fluctuations & chiral restoration

Chiral Magnetic Effect (CME): Macroscopic Chiral Anomaly



[Kharzeev, Fukushima, Warringa, McLerran, ...]

## Intuitive Picture of CME



#### Intuitive understanding of CME:

Magnetic polarization —> correlation between micro. SPIN & EXTERNAL FORCE



Chiral imbalance —> correlation between directions of SPIN & MOMENTUM



Transport current along magnetic field

$$\vec{J} = \frac{Q^2}{2\pi^2} \,\mu_5 \,\vec{B}$$

## Chiral Transport Theory Usual (classical) transport equation:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t + \dot{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{\mathbf{x}} + \dot{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{\mathbf{p}} \end{cases} f^{(c)}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) = C[f^{(c)}] ,\\ \dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{v} = \vec{\nabla}_{\mathbf{p}} E_{\mathbf{p}} , \ \dot{\mathbf{p}} = q(\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) . \end{cases}$$

#### **Chiral transport equation:**

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t + \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} \end{cases} f^{(q)}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) = C[f^{(q)}] , \\ \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{G}} \left[ \widetilde{\mathbf{v}} + \hbar q (\widetilde{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \mathbf{b}_{\chi}) \mathbf{B} + \hbar q \widetilde{\mathbf{E}} \times \mathbf{b}_{\chi} \right] , \ \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{p}} = \frac{q}{\sqrt{G}} \left[ \widetilde{\mathbf{E}} + \widetilde{\mathbf{v}} \times \mathbf{B} + \hbar q (\widetilde{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \mathbf{B}) \mathbf{b}_{\chi} \right]$$

[Son, Yamamoto; Stephanov, Yin; Chen, Wang, et al; Hidaka, Pu, Yang; Mueller, Venugopalan; Huang, Shi, Jiang, JL, Zhuang; ...]

## Fluid Dynamics That Knows Left & Right





[Son, Surowka; Kharzeev, Yee; Hidaka, Yang; Shi, JL, et al; ...]

A new type of hydrodynamics with macro. quantum effect!

## **Extreme Vorticity and Magnetic Field**

### Rotating Quark-Gluon Plasma



Â.

$$L_y = \frac{Ab\sqrt{s}}{2} \sim 10^{4\sim 5}\hbar$$

Angular momentum —> nontrivial vorticity structure and spin polarization effect





 $P_z = +\frac{A\sqrt{s}}{2}$ 

20

[See talks yesterday]

## Strong Electromagnetic Fields

#### The angular momentum together with large (+Ze) nuclear charge —> strong magnetic field!



Strongest B field (and strong E field as well) naturally arises! [Kharzeev,McLerran,Warringa;Skokov,et al; Bzdak-Skokov;
Deng-Huang; Skokov-McLerran;Tuchin; ...]
"Out-of-plane" orientation (approximately) [Bloczynski-Huang-Zhang-Liao]

## Strong Electromagnetic Fields



Quantitative simulations confirm the existence of such extreme fields!

[Many interesting B-field induced effects: di-electron; polarization splitting; quarkonium v2; D meson v1; ...]

## Strong Electromagnetic Fields

Physics Letters B 718 (2013) 1529-1535



Azimuthally fluctuating magnetic field and its impacts on observables in heavy-ion collisions

Huang, Liao, et al PLB2012

John Bloczynski<sup>a</sup>, Xu-Guang Huang<sup>a,\*</sup>, Xilin Zhang<sup>a</sup>, Jinfeng Liao<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Physics Department and Center for Exploration of Energy and Matter, Indiana University, 2401 N Milo B. Sampson Lane, Bloomington, IN 47408, USA <sup>b</sup> RIKEN BNL Research Center, Bldg. 510A, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973, USA

#### Two very important points in this paper: \* azimuthal correlation/de-correlation between B fiend and geometry \* finite size of proton must be taken into account





## **Dynamical Magnetic Fields**

#### Magnetic field may last much longer than vacuum field due to plasma medium feedback effect.



\* Ideal RMHD simulations (ECHO-QGP) [Inghirami, et al, 1609.03042]

See also works by: Deng, Huang; Q. Wang, et al; Tuichin; Skokov, McLerran; ...

### Toward Synergy of B and Rotation

A possible new mechanism: charged fluid + rotation —> magnetic field



[X. Guo, JL, E. Wang: arXiv:1904.04704]

## New Mechanism for Long-Lived B Field



$$e\bar{\mathbf{B}} = rac{e^2}{4\pi}nA\bar{\omega}$$

Important at low beam energy!

Maybe induce considerable polarization splitting!



#### [X. Guo, JL, E. Wang: arXiv:1904.04704]







For Lambda:

$$\varpi_{\rho\sigma} \rightarrow \left[ \varpi_{\rho\sigma} - 2\left(\frac{0.61}{2M_p}\right) \frac{eF_{\rho\sigma}}{T} \right]$$

For anti-Lambda:

$$\varpi_{\rho\sigma} \rightarrow \left[ \varpi_{\rho\sigma} + 2\left(\frac{0.61}{2M_p}\right) \frac{eF_{\rho\sigma}}{T} \right]$$



$$\begin{split} \text{Type-1:} \ , \ &F_B(t_B,t) \equiv \frac{1}{1+(t-t_0)^2/t_B^2} \ (\text{see e.g. [63, 64]}); \\ \text{Type-2:} \ &F_B(t_B,t) \equiv \frac{1}{\left[1+(t-t_0)^2/t_B^2\right]^{3/2}} \ (\text{see e.g. [48]}); \\ \text{Type-3:} \ &F_B(t_B,t) \equiv e^{-|t-t_0|/t_B} (\text{see e.g. [43]}). \end{split}$$



We extract optimal t\_B at each beam energy according

to observed polarization splitting.



Much longer than vacuum field:

$$t_{vac} \simeq \frac{26 \text{GeV} \cdot \text{fm/c}}{\sqrt{s_{NN}}}$$

Indicating significant inmedium magnetic fields due to medium effect!

## Search for CME at RHIC & LHC

[See Fuqiang's talk]

## Search for CME in Heavy Ion Collisions



- (nearly) chiral quarks
   chirality imbalance
   strong magnetic field
- 3) strong magnetic field

## From CME Current to Charge Separation



[Kharzeev 2004; Kharzeev, McLerran, Warringa, 2008;...]

$$\frac{dN_{\pm}}{d\phi} \propto \dots + a_{\pm} \sin(\phi - \Psi_{RP})$$

$$< a_{\pm} > \sim \pm < \mu_5 > B$$

#### Very difficult measurement:

- \* Zero average, only nonzero variance;
- \* Correlation measurement with significant backgrounds;
- \* Signal likely very small

## Fighting with Backgrounds

A two-component decomposition model:

 $\gamma = \kappa v_2 F - H$  F: Bulk Background

 $\delta = F + H$ 

H: Possible Pure CME Signal =  $(a_{1,CME})^2$ 

Bzdak, Koch, JL, 2012

 $H_{SS}-H_{OS} \leftrightarrow 2(a_1)^2$ 

Many interesting proposals of new observables!

Vary v2 for fixed B: AuAu v.s. UU; Varying event-shape; 2-component subtraction.

Vary B for fixed v2: Isobaric collisions with RuRu v.s. ZrZr



#### Current Experimental Status for CME Key challenge: weak signal versus strong backgrounds.

Many new measurements at RHIC and LHC: gamma correlator + certain procedure to constrain backgrounds



Lacey, Magdy, et al: R-correlator consistent with a1~1% at RHIC

Current data provide encouraging hints, esp. @ RHIC energy! Need quantitative modeling of signal+bkg to help exp search!





## Quantitative Modeling of CME

#### Integrate CME into Bulk Evolution

\* Approach based on fluid dynamics (AVFD)

- our focus in this talk

\* Approach based on transport models.

- AMPT based (Guoliang Ma, Yugang Ma, and collaborators)
- Chiral kinetic transport based (Che-ming Ko and collaborators)

# Beam Energy Scan Theory (BEST) Collaboration



- Non-equilibrium anomalous transport coefficient
- Fluid dynamics framework with anomalous current
- Quantification of both signal and backgrounds





Initial





Color Glass Condensates

Glasma Singularity

sOGP perfect fluid

Hadron Gas

## **Axial Charge Initial Conditions**



\* Computed topological Chern-Simons number evolution

- \* Extracted significant non-equilibrium sphaleron rate
- \* Anomalous transport during the pre-thermal stage

[Mace, Schtliting, Venugopalan, PRD2016; Mace, Muller, Schtliting, Sharma, PRD2017]

Will be integrated into the initial condition for further modeling of CME during hydro stage

## **Dynamical Magnetic Fields**



A significant step forward toward full magneto-hydrodynamics (MHD) Code package available: <u>https://bitbucket.org/bestcollaboration/heavy-ion-em-fields</u> [Gursoy, Kharzeev, Rajagopal,Shen, et al, PRC2018] A viable and practical way to integrate dynamical B field!

# Attempting Anomalous Hydro

Physics Letters B 756 (2016) 42-46



# Hydrodynamics with chiral anomaly and charge separation in relativistic heavy ion collisions



Yi Yin<sup>a,\*</sup>, Jinfeng Liao<sup>b,c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973, USA

<sup>b</sup> Physics Department and Center for Exploration of Energy and Matter, Indiana University, 2401 N Milo B, Sampson Lane, Bloomington, IN 47408, USA

<sup>c</sup> RIKEN BNL Research Center, Bldg, 510A, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973, USA

A good first try: albeit assuming ideal hydro charge transport. To be consistent and realistic: need viscous + anomalous

[Yin, Liao, PLB2016]

## **AVFD Framework**

Establishment of Anomalous-Viscous Fluid Dynamics (AVFD): Hydrodynamical realization of CME in HIC.

[newest developments: EBE-AVFD; AVFD+axial dynamics; AVFD+LCC]



We now have a versatile tool to quantitatively understand and answer many important questions about CME in heavy ion collisions!

[S. Shi, JL, et al: arXiv:1611.04586; arXiv:1711.02496]

### **AVFD Framework**



Note: bulk properties fully data-validated

[arXiv:1611.04586; arXiv:1711.02496]

$$D_{\mu}J_{R^{\mu}} = + \frac{N_{c}q^{2}}{4\pi^{2}}E_{\mu}B^{\mu} \qquad D_{\mu}J_{L^{\mu}} = -\frac{N_{c}q^{2}}{4\pi^{2}}E_{\mu}B^{\mu}$$

$$J_{R^{\mu}} = n_{R}u^{\mu} + \nu_{R^{\mu}} + \frac{N_{c}q}{4\pi^{2}}\mu_{R}B^{\mu} \qquad \textbf{CME}$$

$$J_{L^{\mu}} = n_{L}u^{\mu} + \nu_{L^{\mu}} + \frac{N_{c}q}{4\pi^{2}}\mu_{L}B^{\mu} \qquad \textbf{Viscous Effect}$$

$$\Delta^{\mu_{\nu}}d\nu_{R,L^{\nu}} = -\frac{1}{\tau_{rlx}}(\nu_{R,L^{\mu}} - \nu_{NS^{\mu}})$$

$$\nu_{NS^{\mu}} = \frac{\sigma}{2}T\Delta^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\nu}\frac{\mu}{T} + \frac{\sigma}{2}qE^{\mu}$$



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Annals of Physics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/aop



Check for

(McGill; PhD @ IUB )

Yin Jiang (Beihang), Yi Yin (MIT), Elias Lilleskov (REU)

Anomalous chiral transport in heavy ion collisions from Anomalous-Viscous Fluid Dynamics

SEVIER

Shuzhe Shi <sup>a,\*</sup>, Yin Jiang <sup>b,c</sup>, Elias Lilleskov <sup>d,a</sup>, Jinfeng Liao <sup>a,e,\*</sup>

arXiv:1711.02496

## Demonstrating the AVFD



Upper: NO magnetic field Lower: with B field (along y+ direction)



## Demonstrating the AVFD



Upper: Left-Handed (LH), with B field (along y+ direction) Lower: Right-Handed (RH), with B field (along y+ direction)



## The Charge Separation from AVFD



B field ⊗ μ₅ ⇒ current ⇒ dipole (charge separation) dN<sub>±</sub>/dφ ∝ 1 + 2 a<sub>1±</sub>sin(φ – ψ<sub>RP</sub>) + ...

 $H_{SS}-H_{OS} \leftrightarrow 2(a_1)^2$ 

## The Influence of the Magnetic Field



Strong influence by B field evolution; Significant theoretical uncertainty!

## The Axial Charge Initial Condition



Very sensitive to initial axial charge; Significant theoretical uncertainty!

## The Influence of the Viscous Transport



First calibration for the influence of the viscous transport on charge separation signal!

### Flavor Dependence

0.8×10

opp, exp. data

same, exp. data

opp, AMPT w/o CME same, AMPT w/o CME

opp, AMPT with CME [N=2] same, AMPT with CME [N=2]

opp, AMPT with CME [N=3]

1.2

1.4

1.6

same, AMPT with CME [N = 3]

Pb+Pb 2.76 TeV (30-50%)

2

Huang, Ma, Ma, PRC2018

2.2

2.4 2.6 p<sup>α</sup><sub>τ</sub>(GeV/c)

-0.2

-0.4

-0.6<sup>LL\_\_\_</sup>

0.8



ALICE 2.76TeV

Kaons are sensitive to anomalous transport of s-quarks.

### AVFD for AuAu Collisions



CME is quantitatively viable for describing relevant experimental observable.

[A lot of detailed results in: Shi, Yin, JL, ..., arXiv:1611.04586; arXiv:1711.02496]

# Event-By-Event AVFD



Include EBE fluctuations:

- Initial Conditions
- Statistic @ Freeze-out
- Hadron Cascade (~ half of all bkg.)

Important for better understanding: \* Interplay between signal and BKG; \* Experimental analysis methods

Shuzhe Shi, Hui Zhang, Defu Hou, JL, to appear soon; QM2018 proceedings.

# Implementing Local Charge Conservation (LCC)



To quantify background correlations in state-of-art hydro framework [Schenke, Shen, Tribedy, 2019]

New development of particlization: the best way to quantify LCC [Koch, Oliinychenko, 2019]

## EBE-AVFD+LCC: Event Shape Engineering

 $\gamma = \kappa v_2 \mathsf{F} - \mathsf{H}$  $\delta = \mathsf{F} + \mathsf{H}$ 

filled: w/ CME open: w/o CME



*First time: full characterization of signal + known major backgrounds* 

[Shi, JL, et al, in prepration.]

**EBE-AVFD** for Testing Observables



Now a key tool for understanding different observables' responses and sensitivity to signal and backgrounds

### **EBE-AVFD** for Testing Observables

#### Invariant mass methods



## **Isobaric Collision**

## A Decisive Experiment: Isobaric Collisions

New opportunity of potential discovery: Isobaric Collision @ RHIC



~2 billion data collected successfully in RHIC 2018 run; processing and analysis underway!

## Isobars: How to Choose Identical Systems?



Insight from initial conditions: joint cut on Multiplicity-Eccentricity

[Shi, Zhang, Hou, JL, arXiv:1807.05604; paper in preparation]

## Isobars: How to Choose Identical Systems?

#### **Eccentricity is guaranteed the same!**

B field differs by 12~20% !



Joint multiplicity-geometry cut: Vanishing difference in bulk properties, Sizable difference in magnetic fields!!!

## Analyzing Actual EBE-AVFD Events for Isobars



#### Millions of EBE-AVFD events: Subject to joint-cut

 $64 < N_{ch, |y|<1} < 96$  $0.1 < q_2 < 0.3$ 



Guaranteed to have two identical sample of isobar events for contrast! -1 <  $\eta$  < 1  $4 < N_{ch} < 96$   $0.05 < v_2^{ref} < 0.25$ AVFD Predictions for Isobars Statistics: 10<sup>7</sup> events in AVFD simulation  $\sim 3 \times 10^8$  events in experiment



Look for absolute difference between isobars (after joint-cut)! Look for consistency between delta- and gamma-correlators! [Shi, Zhang, Hou, JL, arXiv:1807.05604; paper in preparation]

#### **AVFD Predictions for Isobars**



Look for absolute difference between isobars (after joint-cut)! Look for consistency between delta- and gamma-correlators! [Shi, Zhang, Hou, JL, arXiv:1807.05604; paper in preparation]

65

### **AVFD Predictions for Isobars**



Look for absolute difference between isobars (after joint-cut)! Look for contrast between EP / RP!

[Shi, Zhang, Hou, JL, arXiv:1807.05604; paper in preparation]

## **AVFD Predictions for Isobars**



Look for absolute difference between isobars (after joint-cut)! Look for R-correlator shape!

[Shi, Zhang, Hou, JL, arXiv:1807.05604; paper in preparation]

# Summary & Outlook

# Summary: Toward Synergy of Key Ingredients



## **Outlook: Isobaric Collisions**



- Many observables: consistency?
- Very important: understanding observables & their relations!!
- Use sophisticated modeling tools (signal+bkg.) to help





Exciting time(~2020): Stay tuned!