# Quark-Gluon Plasma and Relativistic Heavy-Ion Collisions

Guang-You Qin (秦广友)

Central China Normal University 华中师范大学

第十七届全国核物理大会 2019年10月8-12日

# Strong-interaction QCD matter

#### How does QCD behave at finite (extreme) temperatures and densities?



More info. on phases of QCD, see talks by Y.-X. Liu, H.-T. Ding, Y. Yin, X.-F. Luo, J. Xu, etc.

# "Standard Model" of RHIC & LHC heavy-ion collisions (Little Bangs)



# Outline

#### • Soft Probes:

 Particle yields & spectra, collective flow, fluctuations & correlations, chiral/magnetic/vortical effect (see Xuguang Huang & Shi Pu's talks), search for critical end point and RHIC beam energy scan (see Yi Yin, Xiaofeng Luo & Shisu Shu's talks), ...

#### • Hard Probes:

 Jets & high p<sub>T</sub> hadrons, heavy quarks, quarkonia & electromagnetic probes (see Zebo Tang & Chi Yang's talks), ...

#### Small Systems

- (See Zhengyu Chen's talk for more info.)

#### Summary

### Soft Probes

# Anisotropic collective flows

• The interaction among QGP constituents translates initial state geometric anisotropy to final state momentum anisotropy.



 Relativistic hydrodynamics has been very successful in describing medium evolution and collective flows. => strongly-coupled QGP

### Relativistic hydrodynamics

• Energy-momentum conservation:

$$\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0$$
  
$$T^{\mu\nu} = \varepsilon U^{\mu}U^{\nu} - (P + \Pi)\Delta^{\mu\nu} + \pi^{\mu\nu}$$

• Equations of motion (Israel-Stewart viscous hydrodynamics):

$$\begin{split} \dot{\varepsilon} &= -(\varepsilon + P + \Pi)\theta + \pi^{\mu\nu}\sigma_{\mu\nu} \\ (\varepsilon + P + \Pi)\dot{U}^{\alpha} &= \nabla^{\alpha}(P + \Pi) + \dot{U}_{\mu}\pi^{\mu\nu} - \Delta^{\alpha}_{\nu}\nabla_{\mu}\pi^{\mu\nu} \\ \dot{\Pi} &= -\frac{1}{\tau_{\Pi}} \bigg[ \Pi + \zeta\theta + \Pi\zeta T\partial_{\alpha} \left(\frac{\tau_{\Pi}}{2\zeta T}U^{\alpha}\right) \bigg] \\ \Delta^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\beta}\dot{\pi}^{\alpha\beta} &= -\frac{1}{\tau_{\pi}} \bigg[ \pi - 2\eta\sigma^{\mu\nu} + \pi^{\mu\nu}\eta T\partial_{\alpha} \left(\frac{\tau_{\pi}}{2\eta T}U^{\alpha}\right) \bigg] \end{split}$$

- Equation of state:  $P = P(\varepsilon)$
- Hadron spectra from Cooper-Fry formula:

$$E\frac{dN_i}{d^3p} = \frac{g_i}{(2\pi)^3} \int p \cdot d^3\sigma f(p \cdot U, T)$$

Hadron rescattering and decay

0902.3663; 1301.2826; 1301.5893; 1311.1849; 1401.0079; 1712.03282; 1712.05815; etc

#### Initial-state fluctuations and final-state flows

• Event-by-event initial state density and geometry fluctuations play an important role in understanding final state anisotropic flows.



Flow observables: high-order v<sub>n</sub>, EBE v<sub>n</sub> fluctuations, v<sub>n</sub>/Φ<sub>n</sub> correlations, symmetric cumulants, non-linear response, longitudinal decorrelations, etc.
 Alver and Roland, PRC 2010; GYQ, Petersen, Bass, Muller, PRC 2010; Staig, Shuryak, PRC 2011; Teaney, Yan, PRC 2011; Gale, Jeon, Schenke, Tribedy, Venugopalan, PRL 2012; etc.

#### EBE v<sub>n</sub> distributions and fluctuations



Gale, Jeon, Schenke, Tribedy, Venugopalan, PRL 2012; Renk, Niemi, PRC, 2014; Fu, PRC 2015; Zhao, Xu, Song, EPJC 2017; Giacalone, Yan, Noronha-Hostler, Ollitrault, PRC 2017; etc.

#### Correlations of flow magnitudes & angles



Bhalerao,Luzum, Ollitrault, PRC 2011; GYQ, Muller, PRC 2012; Jia, Mohapatra, EPJC 2013; Qiu, Heinz, PRC 2013; Qian, Heinz, He, Huo, PRC 2017, Zhao, Xu, Song, EPJC 2017; etc.

#### Longitudinal fluctuations and decorrelations



Petersen, Bhattacharya, Bass, Greiner, PRC 2011; Xiao, Liu, Wang, PRC 2013; Pang, GYQ, Roy, Wang, Ma, PRC 2015; Wu, Pang, GYQ, Wang, PRC 2018; etc.

#### Deep learning & statistical analysis



Very powerful tools for connecting final state observables with the QGP properties.

Pang, Zhou, Su, Petersen, Stocker, Wang, Naure Commun. 2018; Bernhard, Moreland, Bass, Nature Physics 2019

### Hard Probes

### Jet quenching



- Jets and jet-medium interaction (jet quenching) provide valuable tools to probe hot & dense QGP in heavy-ion collisions (at RHIC & LHC):
- (1) jet energy loss (2) jet deflection and broadening (3) modification of jet structure/substructure (4) jet-induced medium excitation

### Elastic and inelastic interactions



Bjorken 1982; Bratten, Thoma 1991; Thoma, Gyulassy, 1991; Mustafa, Thoma 2005; Peigne, Peshier, 2006; Djordjevic, 2006; Wicks et al (DGLV), 2007; GYQ et al (AMY), 2008; ... BDMPS-Z: Baier-Dokshitzer-Mueller-Peigne-Schiff-Zakharov
ASW: Amesto-Salgado-Wiedemann
AMY: Arnold-Moore-Yaffe (& Caron-Huot, Gale)
GLV: Gyulassy-Levai-Vitev (& Djordjevic, Heinz)
HT: Wang-Guo (& Zhang, Wang, Majumder)

### Recent developments

- Include next-to-eikonal corrections within the path integral formalism
  - Apolinario, Armesto, Milhano, Salgado, JHEP 2015
- Reinvestigate the GLV formalism by relaxing soft gluon emission approximation
  - Blagojevic, Djordjevic, Djordjevic, PRC 2018; Sievert, Vitev, PRD 2018
- Go beyond multiple soft scattering approximation in the BDMPS-Z formalism
  - Mehtar-Tani, JEHP 2019; Blok, Tywoniuk, EPJC 2019
- Generalize the HT formalism by going beyond collinear rescattering expansion & soft gluon emission approximation
  - Zhang, Hou, GYQ, PRC 2018; PRC 2019 arXiv:1804.00470; Zhang, GYQ, Wang, PRD in press
- Investigate the interference between sequential gluon emissions
  - Casalderrey-Solana, Pablos, Tywoniuk, JHEP 2016; Arnold, Chang, Iqbal, JHEP 2015; JHEP 2016

#### Medium-induced inelastic (radiative) process



Medium-induced gluon emission beyond collinear expansion & soft emission limit with transverse & longitudinal scatterings for massive/massless quarks

#### Nuclear modifications of large $p_T$ hadrons



#### Linearized Boltzmann Transport (LBT) Model

• Boltzmann equation:  $p_1 \cdot \partial f_1(x_1, p_1) = E_1 C[f_1]$ 

- Elastic collisions:  $\Gamma_{12\to 34} = \frac{\gamma_2}{2E_1} \int \frac{d^3 p_2}{(2\pi)^3 2E_2} \int \frac{d^3 p_3}{(2\pi)^3 2E_3} \int \frac{d^3 p_4}{(2\pi)^3 2E_4} \\ \times f_2(\vec{p}_2) \left[ 1 \pm f_3(\vec{p}_1 \vec{k}) \right] \left[ 1 \pm f_4(\vec{p}_2 + \vec{k}) \right] \\ \times (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(p_1 + p_2 p_3 p_4) |\mathcal{M}_{12\to 34}|^2 \\ P_{el} = 1 e^{-\Gamma_{el}\Delta t}$  Matrix elements taken from LO pQCD
- Inelastic collisions:  $\langle N_g \rangle = \Gamma_g \Delta t = \Delta t \int dx dk_\perp^2 \frac{dN_g}{dx dk_\perp^2 dt}$

 $P_{inel} = 1 - e^{-\langle N_g \rangle}$ 

- Radiation spectra taken from Guo, Wang PRL 2000; Zhang, Wang, Wang 2004
- Elastic + Inelastic:  $P_{tot} = 1 e^{-\Gamma_{tot}\Delta t} = P_{el} + P_{inel} P_{el}P_{inel}$

He, Luo, Wang, Zhu, PRC 2015; Cao, Luo, GYQ, Wang, PRC 2016, PLB 2018; etc.

# Charged hadron & D meson R<sub>AA</sub>



- A state-of-art jet quenching framework (NLO-pQCD + LBT + Hydrodynamics)
- Quark-initiated hadrons have less quenching effects than gluon-initiated hadrons.
- Combining both quark and gluon contributions, we obtain a nice description of charged hadron & D meson R<sub>AA</sub> over a wide range of p<sub>T</sub>.

# Flavor hierarchy of jet quenching



- A state-of-art jet quenching framework (NLO-pQCD + LBT + Hydrodynamics)
- At  $p_T > 30-40$  GeV, B mesons will also exhibit similar suppression effects to charged hadrons and D mesons, which can be tested by future measurements.

Xing, Cao, Qin, Xing, arXiv:1906.00413

### Heavy quark hadronization



Zhao, Shi, Xu, Zhuang, arXiv:1805.10858; He, Ralf, arXiv:1905.09216; Cho, Sun, Ko, Oh, arXiv:1905.09774; etc.

#### Full jet evolution & energy loss in medium



#### $E_{jet} = E_{in} + E_{lost} = E_{in} + E_{rad,out} + E_{kick,out} + (E_{th} - E_{th,in})$

Vitev, Zhang, PRL 2010; GYQ, Muller, PRL, 2011; Casalderrey-Solana, Milhano, Wiedemann, JPG 2011; Young, Schenke, Jeon, Gale, PRC, 2011; Dai, Vitev, Zhang, PRL 2013; Wang, Zhu, PRL 2013; Blaizot, Iancu, Mehtar-Tani, PRL 2013; etc.

### Jet evolution & medium response

$$\frac{df(\bar{p},t)}{dt} = C_{coII.E.Ioss}[f] + C_{coII.broad}[f] + C_{rad}[f]$$
$$\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu}_{\text{QGP}}(x) = J^{\nu}(x) = -\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu}_{\text{jet}}(x) = -\frac{dP^{\nu}_{\text{jet}}}{dtd^{3}x} = -\sum_{i}\int \frac{d^{3}k_{j}}{\omega_{j}}k^{\nu}_{j}k^{\mu}_{j}\partial_{\mu}f_{j}(k_{j},x,t)$$



- V-shaped wave fronts are induced by the jet, and develop with time
- The wave fronts carry the energy & momentum, propagates outward & lowers energy density behind the jet
- Jet-induced flow and the radial flow of the medium are pushed and distorted by each other

Chang, GYQ, PRC 2016 Tachibana, Chang, GYQ, PRC 2017 Chang, Tachibana, GYQ, 1906.09562

### Effect of jet-induced flow on jet shape



The inclusion of jet-induced medium flow does not modify jet shape at small r, but significantly enhance jet broadening effect at large r (r > 0.2-0.25). The contribution from the hydro part is quite flat and finally dominates over the

shower part in the region from r = 0.4-0.5.

Signal of jet-induced medium excitation in full jet shape at large r.

Chang, GYQ, PRC 2016; Tachibana, Chang, GYQ, PRC 2017; Chang, Tachibana, GYQ, 1906.09562

### Effect of jet-induced flow on jet shape



#### Effect of jet-induced flow in $\gamma$ -hadron correlations



Chen, Cao, Luo, Pang, Wang, PLB 2018

#### Jet substructure via soft-drop grooming



- Recursively remove soft wide angle radiation from a jet
- Recluster anti-k<sub>T</sub> jet with Cambridge/Aachen (C/A) algorithm, then de-cluster the angular-ordered C/A tree by dropping soft branches



Butterworth, Davison, Rubin,Salam, PRL (2008); Larkoski, Marzani, Soyez, Thaler, JHEP (2014); Larkoski, Marzani, Thaler, PRD (2015) Chien, Vitev, PRL 2017; Mehtar-Tani, Tywoniuk, JHEP 2017; Chang, Cao, GYQ, PLB 2018; etc.

#### Heavy quark jet (structure/substructure)



- The radial distribution of D mesons in jets shifts to the larger radius can be explained by the diffusion of charm quarks in jets inside the QGP medium
- The substructure of heavy flavor jets and its medium modification are different from that of light flavor jets

Wang, Dai, Zhang, Wang, EPJC 2019; Li, Vitev, PLB 2019

### Small systems

## Flow in small collision systems

Plenty of evidences for strong collectivity in small collision systems ۲ Pb+Pb p+Pb p+p √s<sub>NN</sub> = 13 TeV √s<sub>NN</sub> = 5.02 TeV √s<sub>NN</sub> = 5.02 TeV 02(Anj.Ab) C(Δη,Δφ) C(4n,40) 0.98 0.98 0.9 -2 0n 20 2 CMS pPb √s<sub>NN</sub> = 5 TeV PbPb √s<sub>NN</sub> = 2.76 TeV pp **√**s = 13 TeV • v<sub>2</sub><sup>sub</sup>{2, |∆η|>2}· 0.10 v<sub>2</sub>{4} v<sub>2</sub>{6} V<sub>2</sub>{8} O V<sub>2</sub>{LYZ} ><sup>°</sup> <sub>0.05</sub> 0.3 < p<sub>-</sub> < 3.0 GeV/c 0.3 < p<sub>+</sub> < 3.0 GeV/c 0.3 < p\_ < 3.0 GeV/c |η| < 2.4  $|\eta| < 2.4$  $|\eta| < 2.4$ 0 50 100 150 100 200 300 D 100 200 300 0 N<sup>offline</sup> N<sup>offline</sup> N<sup>offline</sup>

What is the dynamical origin of the observed collectivity?

### Final state effect?



• The flow harmonics can be viewed as the final-state effect due to hydrodynamic evolution of small collisional systems with certain amount of initial anisotropy.

Bozek, Broniowski, Torrieri, PRL 2013; Bzdak, Schenke, Tribedy, Venugopalan, PRC 2013; GYQ, Muller, PRC 2014; Bzdak, Ma, PRL 2014; Weller, Romatschke, PLB 2017; zhao, zhou, xu, deng, song, PLB 2018; etc.

# Signature in hard probes?



Up to now, there is no jet quenching observed in pA collisions

### Or initial state effect?



 In color glass condensate (CGC) dilute-dense factorization framework or the saturation formalism, interactions between partons originated from the projectile proton and dense gluons inside the target nucleus can provide significant amount of collectivity (correlations) among partons.

Dusling, Mace, Venugopalan, PRL (2018), 1705.00745; PRD (2018), 1706.06260; etc.

#### Flow of heavy hadrons in small systems

• Charm hadrons also have sizable collectivity in small collision systems.



• Large values of elliptic flow  $v_2$  for J/ $\psi$  mesons and for D<sup>0</sup> mesons in pPb collisions at the LHC, although they are slightly less than the  $v_2$  values of light hadrons

Initial or final state effect?

# $J/\Psi\,v_2$ from final state interaction

• It is difficult for hydrodynamics to generate large collectivity for heavy mesons, since heavy quark in general does not flow as much as the light quark or gluon due to the large quark mass.



- The final state interactions can only provide a small fraction of the observed  $v_2$  for J/ $\Psi$  mesons

Du, Rapp, JHEP 1903 (2019) 015

# $J/\Psi v_2$ from initial state correlations

- J/Ψ production together with a reference light quark (which fragments into light hadrons)
- Based on the dilute-dense factorization in color glass condensate (CGC) and the color evaporation model (CEM)
- J/Ψ v<sub>2</sub> can be generated from the interaction between partons from the proton projectile and dense gluons in the nuclear target
- Little mass dependence implies that Υ should have a similar v<sub>2</sub>, which can be tested in future.



 $V_2[J/\Psi] = V_{2\Lambda}[J/\Psi, \text{ref}] / V_2[\text{ref}]$ 



Zhang, Marquet, GYQ, Wei, Xiao, PRL 2019

# Summary

#### • Soft probes

 Explore the bulk properties of the QGP at higher precision with various observables (spectra, flow, fluctuations, correlations, etc.) for different collision energies and system sizes (centralities) using advanced statistical tools

#### • Hard probes

 Characterize the properties and microscopic structures of the QCD matter via heavy and light flavor jet and jet structure/substructure observables

#### Small systems

 Understand the dynamical origins of the collectivity and correlations of heavy and light particles observed in pp, pA and AA collisions