

# Sensitivity analysis of the chiral magnetic effect observables using the AMPT

Reporter : Ling Huang Supervisor : Guo-Liang Ma

Based on arXiv: 1906.11631



### Outline

- Background & Motivation
- Method
- Preliminary Results
- Summary & Discussion



#### **Chiral magnetic effect(CME)**

D. E. Kharzeev, J.Liao, S. A.Voloshin, et. al. Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 88 (2016)



CME: (Extremely large magnetic field) and (P or CP odd metastable domains)
 → Charge current/separation in the direction of magnetic field



#### Background



 $\gamma$  correlator not only includes CME-induced charge separation, but also background induced charge separation.



#### Background





#### Background

Piotr Bożek. PRC 97, 034907 (2018).



Results from the hydrodynamic model with different background sources show that  $R_{\Psi_2}$  and  $R_{\Psi_3}$  are both concave no matter with or without the CME.



#### **Method-Mix**



If there is some amount of CME, the distribution of  $N(\Delta S)$  would be wider than that from only background. So the radio  $C(\Delta S)$  would be concave.



#### **Method-Shuffle**



The shuffling particles method has a similar response to the CME and its background.







#### **Preliminary Results**

method I: mixing particles method method II: shuffling particles method

 $0.35 {
m GeV} < p_T < 2.0 {
m GeV}, \ -1 < \eta < 1$ 



>  $R_{\Psi_2}$  of mixing particles method is consistent with the result of shuffling particles method.

 $R_{\Psi_2}$  from original AMPT is flat, while concave from the AMPT with CME. It can distinguish the CME and its background.



>

#### **Preliminary Results**



 $C_{\Psi_2}$  and  $C_{\Psi_2}^{\perp}$  of mixing particles method are flat at the initial stage, and then convex at the stage of after parton cascade. After the coalescence, they are both trend to be flat, but they become more convex after hadronic rescatterings.

•  $R_{\Psi_2}$  is always flat from initial stage to after hadronic rescatterings.



#### **Preliminary Results**



 $C_{\Psi_2}$  and  $C_{\Psi_2}^{\perp}$  of mixing particles method are concave at the initial stage, and then still concave at the stage of after parton cascade. After the coalescence, they are both trend to be flat, but they become convex after hadronic rescatterings.

 $R_{\Psi_2}$  is concave from initial stage to after parton cascade, but trend to flat after coalescence, then after hadronic rescatterings, it's concave.



>

#### **Preliminary Results**



 $\mathbf{R}_{\Psi_3}$  are both flat no matter whether there is the CME or not.

 $R_{\Psi_3}$  is not a sensitive observable to detect CME.





 $\Delta \gamma$  correlator with 2.5% initial charge separation parameter is similar to that from the original AMPT.

 $\gamma$  correlator with 2.5% initial charge separation parameter is similar to that from the original AMPT.

Only when the charge separation parameter is large enough, e.g. more than 5%,  $\gamma$  can become visible.





#### $R_{\Psi_2}$ correlator through different initial charge separation parameters:



 $R_{\Psi_2}$  with 2.5% initial charge separation parameter is similar to  $R_{\Psi_2}$  with the original AMPT within error bars, they are both flat.

When added 5% initial charge separation parameter, R<sub>Ψ<sub>2</sub></sub> become concave.
 With the initial charge separation percentage increase, C<sub>Ψ<sub>2</sub></sub> become wider and wider, R<sub>Ψ<sub>2</sub></sub> become narrower and narrower.







Comparing the sensitivity to the CME between  $\gamma$  and  $R_{\Psi_2}$ ,  $R_{\Psi_2}$  is more sensitive to the CME than  $\gamma$  when the initial charge separation parameter is very small.

 $R_{\Psi_2}$  from 2.5% initial charge separation percentage and original AMPT are both flat within our current statistics, its width is infinity.

With the initial charge separation increases, the width of  $C_{\Psi_2}$  is bigger and bigger. Which means  $C_{\Psi_2}$  will become more and more concave.





#### **Summary & Discussion**

- In Au+Au 200 GeV collisions,  $R_{\Psi_2}$  is flat if only with background, but concave with the CME.
- $\blacktriangleright$   $R_{\Psi_3}$  is not a sensitive observable to the CME.
- The initial CME signal will be weaken by strong final state interactions.
  - *R* correlator is more sensitive to the CME than  $\gamma$  correlator when the initial charge percentage is very small.



### Thank you for your attention!



## Backup



For 
$$\Delta \gamma$$
 : assume it's proportional to  $f^2$ 

 $\Delta \gamma = Af^2 + B$  A and B is parameters

For  $\sigma$ : assume it's proportional to f

 $\sigma = Cf$  *C* is parameter