Precision test of Standard Model and search for New Physics using lattice QCD

Xu Feng (冯旭)



Frontier of QCD: Opportunities and Challenges @ PKU, 2019/11/10

云山苍苍,江水泱泱,先生之风,山高水长

恭祝赵老师八十华诞 桃李天下、松柏常青

Role of lattice QCD in flavor physics

Lattice QCD is powerful for observables such as



Flavor Lattice Averaging Group (FLAG) average 2019

	N _f	FLAG average	Frac. Err.
f_K/f_{π}	2 + 1 + 1	1.1932(19)	0.16%
$f_{+}(0)$	2 + 1 + 1	0.9706(27)	0.28%
f_{D_s}/f_D	2 + 1 + 1	1.1783(16)	0.13%
Âκ	2 + 1	0.7625(97)	1.27%

lattice QCD calculations play important role in precision flavor physics

Lattice QCD and rare processes

Search for New Physics in rare processes / high-intensity frontiers

2nd order electroweak interaction



$$Br[K^+ \to \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}] = 1.73^{+1.15}_{-1.05} \times 10^{-10}$$

Electromagnetic correction



 $f_{\mathcal{K}}/f_{\pi} = 1.1932(19) \Rightarrow 0.16\%$ uncertainty

Neutrinoless double beta decay



 $T_{1/2}^{0
u} > 10^{25}$ year

$0\nu 2\beta$ decays



Starting point

Inspired by a talk given by Prof. HuanZhong Huang



Double beta decays

Early in 1935, Goppert-Mayer propose to detect double beta decay

• Nuclear pairing: In some case even-even nucleus is more stable, e.g. Ge⁷⁶



7 / 26

Majorana neutrinos

Majorana's proposal in 1937: $\nu = \bar{\nu}$?

- ⇐ This is allowed by symmetry properties of Dirac's theory
- In single beta decay, one cannot distinguish Dirac or Majorana neutrino
- 1939, Furry propose to search for neutrinoless double beta $(0
 u\beta\beta)$ decays



• The process violates the lepton number by two units

Question: do we need the lepton number conservation?

According to phase space factor, $0 u\beta\beta$ mode is highly favored over $2 u\beta\beta$

$$T_{1/2}^{2
u2eta}pprox 10^{25} \; {
m yr}, ~~ T_{1/2}^{0
u2eta}pprox 10^{19} \; {
m yr}$$

However

- $2\nu\beta\beta$ has been detected in total of 10 nuclei: ${
 m ^{48}Ca}$, ${
 m ^{76}Ge}$, \cdots ${
 m ^{238}U}$
- No $0\nu\beta\beta$ detected yet

Also, in neutrino capture, $\bar{\nu}$ always produce positive charged lepton



Consequence: Introduce lepton number conservation to explain experiments

Maximal Parity Violation

1956, Lee & Yang discover parity violation in weak decays [Nobel prize 1957]

- Neutrino is left-handed, while anti-neutrino is right-handed
- Helicity exactly forbids the second vertex in $0\nu\beta\beta$ already
 - Lepton number conservation is no longer needed



 ν oscillation discovered by Kajita (Super-K) and McDonald (SNO) [Nobel prize 2015]

• New possibility for $0\nu\beta\beta$ search \Rightarrow sensitive to neutrino's absolute mass

Experimental search

0 uetaeta vs 2 uetaeta decay



 ${\cal T}^{0
u}_{1/2}>10^{26}$ yr $\ \Rightarrow$ Ton of isotopes $\sim 10^{28}$ nuclei

 \Rightarrow requires both large decay energy (Q value) and isotope abundance



Experiments underway

0 uetaeta decay

- The easiest way to determine whehter ν is a Majorana fermion
- Give the information on the absolute mass scale of u
- Provide the evidence of lepton number violation

More than 10 experiments underway

CANDLES	Ca-48	60 CaF ₂ crystals in liq.	6 kg	Construction
		scint		
CARVEL	Ca-48	⁴⁸ CaWO ₄ crystal scint.	100 kg	
COBRA	Cd-116,	CdZnTe detectors	10 kg	R&D
	Te-130			
CUROICINO	Te-130	TeO ₂ Bolometer	11 kg	Operating
CUORE	Te-130	TeO ₂ Bolometer	206 kg	Construction
DCBA	Nd-150	Nd foils & tracking	20 kg	R&D
		chambers		
EXO200	Xe-136	Xe TPC	200 kg	Construction
EXO	Xe-136	Xe TPC	1-10t	R&D
GEM	Ge-76	Ge diodes in LN	1 t	
GERDA	Ge-76	Seg. and UnSeg. Ge in	35-40 kg	Construction

• 4 Exp. (Majorana, EXO, CUORE, GERDA) reached $T_{1/2}^{0\nu} > 10^{25}$ year

 $\bullet~1$ Exp. (KamLAND-Zen) exceeded the level of 1×10^{26} year

Chinese experiments join in the international competition

PandaX reports the lower limit of $T_{1/2}^{0\nu} > 2.1 \times 10^{23}$ from Chinese experiments

PandaX 实验组发表首个寻找马约拉纳中微子结果

上海交通大学物理与天文学院 1周前

上海交通大学牵头的PandaX合作组近期在"中国物理C"杂志(IF=5.86) 以"编辑推荐"的形式发表了首个利用液氙探测器寻找马约拉纳中微子的实验结 果。他们的实验表明,核同位素136Xe通过马约拉纳中微子而产生的衰变寿命 大于2.1x1023年,即两千一百万亿亿年,比宇宙的年龄长了约15万亿倍。这是 在中国本土物理实验中探测到最长的核素衰变寿命下限。该结果也能解释为马 约拉纳电子中微子有效质量的上限,mee < 1.4~3.7eV/c2(电子伏特)。

Double β decay: generic difficulties

At present, lattice QCD mainly targets on light nuclei

• For nucleus A:
$$\frac{\text{signal}}{\text{noise}} \sim \exp\left[-A(M_N - 3/2m_\pi)t\right] \Rightarrow$$
 a sign problem!

For nuclear matrix element, various models yield O(100%) discrepancies



Single β decay of nuclei

Coupling of currents to nuclei in nuclear EFT [Detmold, talk at Lat18]

• One body coupling dominates



• Two nucleon contributions are subleading but non-negligible



A promising way to provide few-body inputs to ab initio many-body calculations

ECT* workshop on $0\nu 2\beta$

Progress and Challenges in Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay ECT* workshop subscription

ECT*, Strada delle Tabarelle, 286, Villazzano, 38123 Trento, Italy

Monday, 15 July 2019 at 08:00 - Friday, 19 July 2019 at 18:00 (CEST)

Summarize on recent advances in

- Lattice QCD
- Chiral effective field theory
- Many-body nuclear theory

Target on

- a seamless connection between the theory at quark and nuclear level
- reliable calculations of the nuclear matrix elements, with robust uncertainty





If neutrinoless double beta decays exist ... $\pi^-\pi^- \rightarrow ee$ and $\pi^- \rightarrow \pi^+ ee$

Lattice QCD starts with simplest decays

 $\pi^{-}\pi^{-} \rightarrow ee:$ XF, L. Jin, X. Tuo, S. Xia, PRL122 (2019) 022001

 $\pi^- \rightarrow \pi^+ ee:$ X. Tuo, XF, L. Jin, arXiv:1909.13525, accepted by PRD

 $\pi\pi
ightarrow ee$ decay amplitude @ $m_{\pi}=140$ MeV



18 / 26

$\pi^- ightarrow \pi^+ ee$: infinite volume reconstruction



Summary of $\pi^-\pi^- \rightarrow ee$ and $\pi^- \rightarrow \pi^+ ee$

Chiral perturbation theory for $\pi^-\pi^- \rightarrow ee$

[Cirigliano, Dekens, Mereghetti, Walker-Loud, PRC97 (2018) 065501]

$$\frac{\mathcal{A}(\pi^-\pi^- \to ee)}{2F_\pi^2 \, T_{\rm lept}} = 1 - \frac{m_\pi^2}{(4\pi F_\pi)^2} \left(3\log\frac{\mu^2}{m_\pi^2} + \frac{7}{2} + \frac{\pi^2}{4} + \frac{5}{6}g_\nu^{\pi\pi}(\mu) \right)$$

Lattice calculation yields (statistical error only) [XF, L. Jin, X. Tuo, S. Xia, PRL122 (2019) 022001]

$$rac{\mathcal{A}(\pi\pi o ee)}{2F_{\pi}^2 \, T_{
m lept}} = 0.910(3) \quad \Rightarrow \quad g_{
u}^{\pi\pi}(m_{
ho}) = -12.0(3)$$

Chiral perturbation theory for $\pi^-
ightarrow \pi^+ ee$

$$\frac{\mathcal{A}(\pi^- \to \pi^+ e e)}{2F_\pi^2 T_{\rm lept}} = 1 + \frac{m_\pi^2}{(4\pi F_\pi)^2} \left(3\log\frac{\mu^2}{m_\pi^2} + 6 + \frac{5}{6}g_\nu^{\pi\pi}(\mu) \right)$$

Lattice calculation yields (statistical + systematical errors) [X. Tuo, XF, L. Jin, accepted by PRD]

$$\frac{\mathcal{A}(\pi^- \to \pi^+ e e)}{2F_{\pi}^2 T_{\text{lept}}} = 1.105(3)(7) \quad \Rightarrow \quad g_{\nu}^{\pi\pi}(m_{\rho}) = -10.9(3)(7)$$

Move to dibaryon

Di-neutron vs deuteron



- 2 point correlation function
 - Bounding energy
- 3 point correlation function
 - g_A quenching effects
 - proton-proton fusion $pp
 ightarrow de^+
 u_e$
- 4 point correlation function
 - $2\nu 2\beta$ decay: $nn \rightarrow ppee\bar{\nu}\bar{\nu}$
 - $0\nu 2\beta$ decay: $nn \rightarrow ppee$

4 point correlation function for $0\nu 2\beta$ decay



Outlook

With developments of supercomputers, computational technologies and novel ideas and methods

• the precision of lattice QCD calculation has been improved significantly and have great potential to further improve

For flavor physics:

- lattice QCD provides useful low-energy QCD information
- plays important role in high-precision frontier

The techniques developed in flavor physics can be used in nuclear physics

- help to study the rare processes related to nuclear matter
- Can one day, nuclear physics become a new flavor physics?



Double β decay of nuclei

Cirigliano, Dekens, Mereghetti, Walker-loud, PRC97 (2018) 065501 Begin with the effective Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{eff} for the single β decay

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{eff}} = 2\sqrt{2}G_F V_{ud}(\bar{u}_L \gamma_\mu d_L)(\bar{e}_L \gamma_\mu \nu_{eL})$$

Contributions are identified into three regions in EFT

• Hard region: $\Lambda \gg 1~\text{GeV}$

$$\int d^4 x \, e^{i\Lambda x} \mathcal{L}_{\rm eff}(x) \mathcal{L}_{\rm eff}(0) \sim 8 G_F^2 V_{ud}^2 \frac{m_{\beta\beta}}{\Lambda^2} (\bar{u}_L \gamma_\mu d_L) (\bar{u}_L \gamma_\mu d_L) \bar{e}_L e_L^c.$$

In lattice QCD, a hard cutoff is introduced by $1/a \Rightarrow O(a^2)$ effects

- Soft region: *O*(100 MeV) *O*(1 GeV)
 - Few-body decay dominates
 - Nuclear potential mediated by pions: ππ → ee, πn → pee, nn → ppee, ···
- Ultrasoft or radiative region: $\Lambda \ll 100 \text{ MeV}$
 - Neutrinos feel the complete nucleus instead of just the nucleons

Diagrams in chiral perturbation theory

LO diagrams (tree level)



NLO diagrams (one loop)

• $\pi\pi \rightarrow ee$



• $\pi n \rightarrow pee$



NLO diagrams (one loop)

• $nn \rightarrow ppee$



Logarithmic divergence at NNLO

Cirigliano, Dekens, De Vries, et.al. PRL120 (2018) 202001



Transition amplitude from EFT

$$A_{\nu} = \langle pp, \text{out} | V_{\nu} | nn, \text{in} \rangle = -\int d^3 \mathbf{r} \, \psi_{\mathbf{p}'}^-(\mathbf{r})^* V_{\nu}(\mathbf{r}) \psi_{\mathbf{p}}^+(\mathbf{r})$$

Wave function

$$\psi_{\mathbf{p}}^{\pm}(\mathbf{r}) = \chi_{\mathbf{p}}^{\pm}(\mathbf{r}) + \chi_{\mathbf{p}}^{\pm}(\mathbf{0}) \mathcal{K}_{E} G_{E}^{\pm}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{0})$$

where

$$G_E^{\pm}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') = \langle \mathbf{r} | \frac{1}{E - H_0 - V_{\pi} \pm i\varepsilon} | \mathbf{r}' \rangle, \quad \mathcal{K}_E = \frac{C}{1 - CG_E^+ \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}}$$

30 / 26

Logarithmic divergence at NNLO



Transition amplitude from EFT

$$\mathcal{A}_{
u} = \langle pp, \mathsf{out} | V_{
u} | nn, \mathsf{in}
angle = -\int d^3 \mathbf{r} \, \psi^-_{\mathbf{p}'}(\mathbf{r})^* V_{
u}(\mathbf{r}) \psi^+_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{r})$$

For $r \rightarrow 0$

 $egin{aligned} V_{
u}(\mathbf{r}) &
ightarrow 1/r, & \text{Neutrino potential} \ \chi^+_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{r}) &
ightarrow ext{constant}, & ext{Yukawa wave function} \ G^\pm_E(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{0}) &
ightarrow rac{m_N}{4\pi r} + \cdots, & ext{Propagator} \end{aligned}$

Need lattice QCD to confirm it

How much chance to obseve $0\nu\beta\beta$?

• The two mass eigenstates that mix most strongly with electron flavor are lighter than the third (normal hierarchy) or heavier (inverted hierarchy)

