



QCD phase structure from Lattice QCD

Heng-Tong Ding (丁亨通)

Central China Normal University (华中师范大学)

- 🖗 简介
- 🖗 研究现状
- 🖗 未来机遇与挑战

量子色动力学的未来:机遇与挑战 2019年11月10-11日@北京大学



Storage: 1 PB

June, 2018 TOP 500 The List.
The GREEN 500

160 more GPUs to arrive next week

Lattice年会: 格点场论领域最高级别会议 第27届, 2009年7月26-31日@北京 第37届, 2019年6月16-22日@武汉

allice 19 The 37th International Symposium on Lattice Field Theory 第37届国际格点场论年会



Lattice 2019: 340余人参会, 其中280余名国际参会者

主办单位:华中师范大学

协办单位:北京大学,清华大学,南开大学,浙江大学,四川大学,湖南师范大学,江苏大学,西安工 业大学,台湾交通大学,中科院高能物理研究所,中科院理论物理研究所,中科院近代物理研究所 3/20



第九届中国物理学会高能物理分会@华中师范大学,2014.4.19-22



第九届中国物理学会高能物理分会@华中师范大学,2014.4.19-22



2019.11.9@北大农园





2019.11.9@北大农园

第九届中国物理学会高能物理分会@华中师范大学, 2014.4.19-22

贺赵老师步入八零后抒怀

高能强子斩棘中,光达九州力学通。 我沐春风行大步,竿头一点揽星空。

Search for QCD criticality: critical end point?



See review paper: HTD, F. Karsch, S. Mukherjee, "Thermodynamics of strong-interaction matter from Lattice QCD" Int.J.Mod.Phys. E24 (2015) no.10, 1530007

See review paper: X. F. Luo and N. Xu, Nucl.Sci.Tech. 28 (2017) no.8, 112

Search for QCD criticality: critical end point?



4th to 2nd order proton number fluctuations

Ashish Pandav, Quark Matter 2019

See review paper: X. F. Luo and N. Xu, Nucl.Sci.Tech. 28 (2017) no.8, 112





7 /20

QCD crossover(平滑过渡) with $m_{\pi} = 140$ MeV from Hadron phase to Quark Gluon Plasma phase

disconnected light quark chiral susceptibility



Bhattacharya, Buchoff, Christ, HTD et al.[HotQCD], Phys. Rev. Lett.,113(2014)082001 **No Criticality:** Rapid crossover!

Ambiguities in the definition of **Pseudo-critical** temperature

Columbia plot: QCD phase diagram in quark mass plane



At physical point: crossover type transition

[HotQCD] PRL,113(2014)082001, PRD 85(2012)054503 [Wuppertal-Budapest], JHEP 1009 (2010) 073

Critical lines of second order transition Pisarski & Wilczek PRD '84 N_f=2: O(4) universality class Kogut & Sinclair, PRD '06 N_f=3: Ising universality class Schmidt PLB '04,...

$\$ Chiral T_c^0 : possible upper bound of transition T at the critical end point

M. A. Halasz et al, PRD 58 (1998) 096007 Y. Hatta & T. Ikeda, PRD67 (2003) 014028 Hegde & HTD, PoS LATTICE2015 (2016) 1

N_f=2+1 QCD: fate of axial U(1) symmetry ?

O. Kaczmarek et al., PRD83 (2011) 014504

- Criticalities that are relevant to QCD thermodynamics at the physical point ?
- Fundamental scale of QCD: chiral T_c⁰ ?

Columbia plot: QCD phase diagram in quark mass plane



Axial U(1) symmetry remains broken at T_{xSB} as $\chi_{l,disc} \neq 0$ In the chiral limit of Nf=2 QCD: 2nd order O(4) phase transition







Not relevant: 1st order chiral phase transition region as it becomes small and is away from the physical point

Columbia plot: QCD phase diagram in quark mass plane





Not relevant: 1st order chiral phase transition region as it becomes small and is away from the physical point

Relevant: 2nd order O(4) chiral phase transition as $U_A(I)$ symmetry is not effectively restored at the critical temperature

QCD chiral crossover temperature New from with $m_{\pi} = 140$ MeV

A. Bazavov, HTD, P. Hegde et al. [HotQCD], Phys. Lett. B795 (2019) 15

> Chiral crossover temperature in the continuum limit: $T_{pc} = 156.5(1.5)MeV$

Chiral phase transition temperature Possible upper bound the transition T at CEP

GAUGE HISQ/tree action $R_{f=1}^{Phy}$ Nf=2+1: $M_{f}=1$ Nt=6,8,12 M_{s}^{phy} = 20, 27, 40, 60, 80 $m_{\pi} \approx 160,140,110,90,80,55$ MeV $M_{T} \approx 160,140,110,90,80,55$ MeV

HTD, P. Hegde, O. Kaczmarek et al.[HotQCD], Phys.Rev. Lett. 123 (2019) 062002

This allows us to perform

infinite volume, continuum and then chiral extrapolation!

New from

A novel approach to estimate T_c^0

0.40

0.35

 $f_{\gamma}(z)$

 $\frac{1}{2}$ Estimate of the chiral transition T_c^0

$$\frac{H\chi_M(T_{\delta}, H, L)}{M(T_{\delta}, H, L)} = \frac{1}{\delta} \quad \checkmark \quad \mathsf{Z}(\mathsf{T}_{\delta}) = \mathsf{O}$$

$$\chi_M(T_{60}, H) = 0.6\chi_M^{max} - Z(T_{60}) \simeq 0$$

0.30 0.25 1/δ O(4) 60% of peak 0.20 z=0 0.15 0.10 0.05 $z=t/h^{1/\beta\delta}$ 0.00 -2 -1 0 1 2 3

HTD, P. Hegde, O. Kaczmarek et al.[HotQCD], Phys.Rev. Lett. 123 (2019) 062002

^zp

Z(2)

Q(2)

z_p: peak location of the susceptibilityz₆₀: location of 60% of peak height from left

Msmall quark mass dependence

small variations among universality classes

Explore the QCD phase diagram through fluctuations of conserved charges x=B,Q,S

| $M_x(\sqrt{s})$ | $\langle N_x \rangle$ | $-\frac{\chi_1^x(T,\mu_B)}{2} - \mathbf{R}^x(T,\mu_B)$ |
|------------------------|---|--|
| $\sigma_x^2(\sqrt{s})$ | $\overline{\langle (\delta N_x)^2 \rangle}$ | $-\frac{1}{\chi_2^x(T,\mu_B)} - n_{12}(T,\mu_B)$ |

HIC mean: M_x

variance:
$$\sigma$$

skewness: S_x

kurtosis: κ_x hyper-skewness: S_x^h hyper-kurtosis: κ_x^h

$$\kappa_x(\sqrt{s})\,\sigma_x^2(\sqrt{s}) = \frac{\left\langle (\delta N_x)^4 \right\rangle}{\left\langle (\delta N_x)^2 \right\rangle} = \frac{\chi_4^x(T,\mu_B)}{\chi_2^x(T,\mu_B)} = R_{42}^x(T,\mu_B)$$

 $\frac{S_x(\sqrt{s})\,\sigma_x^3(\sqrt{s})}{M_x(\sqrt{s})} = \frac{\langle (\delta N_x)^3 \rangle}{\langle N_x \rangle} = \frac{\chi_3^x(T,\mu_B)}{\chi_1^x(T,\mu_B)} = R_{31}^x(T,\mu_B)$

LQCD generalized susceptibilities $\chi_n^x(T, \mu_B) = \frac{1}{VT^3} \frac{\partial^n \ln Z(T, \vec{\mu})}{\partial (\mu_x/T)^n}$

$$\kappa_x^h(\sqrt{s})\,\sigma_x^4(\sqrt{s}) = \frac{\left\langle (\delta N_x)^6 \right\rangle}{\left\langle (\delta N_x)^2 \right\rangle} = \frac{\chi_6^x(T,\mu_B)}{\chi_2^x(T,\mu_B)} = R_{62}^x(T,\mu_B)$$

 $\frac{S_x^h(\sqrt{s})\,\sigma_x^5(\sqrt{s})}{M_r(\sqrt{s})} = \frac{\langle (\delta N_x)^5 \rangle}{\langle N_x \rangle} = \frac{\chi_5^x(T,\mu_B)}{\chi_1^x(T,\mu_B)} = R_{51}^x(T,\mu_B)$

This makes the comparison between Exp. and LQCD possible

Change in Degree of freedom

Baryon number —> B

Baryon number fluctuation —> B^2

Bound state of quarks: B=1, -1 $B^2=1$

Unbounded quarks: B=1/3, -1/3 $B^2=1/9$

Change in Degree of freedom

Bielefeld-BNL-CCNU: PRL 111(2013) 082301, PLB 737(2014) 210

Change in Degree of freedom

Bielefeld-BNL-CCNU: PRL 111(2013) 082301, PLB 737(2014) 210

QCD v.s. Experimental data: skewness (R₃₁) & kurtosis (R₄₂) ratios

HTD, Quark Matter 2019 Plenary

R₃₁ is almost flat while R₄₂ decreases faster with R₁₂

Nice consistency between QCD and Exp. data at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}=54.4$ GeV

QCD v.s. Experimental data: hyper-skewness (R₅₁) & hyper-kurtosis (R₆₂) ratios

HTD, Quark Matter 2019 Plenary

R₅₁ and R₆₂: Statistics-hungry quantities

LQCD: Both hyper-skewness and hyper-kurtosis are negative down to $\sqrt{s_{NN}}=39$ GeV

Nice consistency seen in skewness & kurtosis at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}=54.4$ GeV with QCD while deviations seen at both $\sqrt{s_{NN}}=54.4$ & 200 GeV

Summary

Chiral crossover transition temperature is determined:

 $T_{pc} = 156.5(1.5) \text{ MeV}$

A. Bazavov, HTD, P. Hegde et al. [HotQCD], Phys. Lett. B795 (2019) 15

Final possible location of critical end point is

HTD, P. Hegde, O. Kaczmarek et al.[HotQCD], Phys.Rev. Lett. 123 (2019) 062002

 $T_c^{CEP} \lesssim T_c^0 = 132^{+3}_{-6} \text{ MeV}$

hyper-skewness and hyper-kurtosis ratios are obtained in NLO in μ_B
HotQCD, in preparation

> $\sqrt{s_{NN}}=200 \text{ GeV}: R_{51}^B = -0.5(3), R_{62}^B = -0.7(3)$ $\sqrt{s_{NN}}=54.4 \text{ GeV}: R_{51}^B = -0.7(4), R_{62}^B = -2(1)$

展望: 寻找QCD临界点

RHIC Beam Energy Scan, Phase II (BES-II) 2019-2020: at least 10 times more statistics for each $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$

LQCD: higher accuracy for the 6th & 8th or even higher order Taylor expansion coefficients

20/20

展望: 寻找QCD临界点

2035

Zetascale

太湖神威

PizDain

祝赵老师 寿比南山,福如东海!